ISO/IEC 17025: GPC analysis of NC 18th April 2012 Nathalie Mai and Philip P Gill



www.cranfield.ac.uk



Contents

- 2010 ICT (Deacon *et al*) Conclusions from STANAG 4178 GPC round robin
- ISO/IEC 17025/2005: Application to GPC
 - Sources of uncertainty
 - Precision of the method
 - Accuracy of the method
 - Uncertainty budget
- Conclusions





Good reproducibility: RSD 2.8% (9 Laboratories)



GPC-RR: Nitrocellulose



- Good repeatability but Poor reproducibility
- What are affecting the results?
 - Sample prep
 - Drying method
 - Concentration
 - Solvent (stabilised/unstabilised)
 - Shaking/stirring/Temp
 - Integration of the peak
 - Sensitivity of detector



UK Propellant analysis

• Good reproducibility between 2 labs



ISO/IEC 17025:2005 Requirements/Outputs:

- 1. Demonstrate an effective quality management system
- 2. Technical competence
- 3. Ability to generate technically valid results
- 4. Compatibility with ISO 9001
- 5. Demonstration of proficiency
- 6. Attests to the competence of the lab

Valuable tool to truly understand and evaluate the precision, accuracy and weakness of the method

The validity of absolute measurement is insignificant if the uncertainty of the equipment is not know





Sources of uncertainty for GPC





Sample preparation contribution

Mw [Dalton]

- Concentration
- Temperature
- Time in solution
- 38000 355000 305000 305000 0.00 1.00 2.00 Conc. [mg/m]]
- Drying (for pure NC)



Calibration standards (Easical from Agilent)





- Concentration of narrow std
 - No effect (calibration curve not affected by conc.)
- Uncertainty budget of certified value
 - Unavailable
 - 3% according to Agilent (oral communication)

External standards (Broad PS)



- Concentration
- Uncertainty budget of certified value





Data contribution



- Calibration (1st or nth order)
- Integration
 - Start end of integration
 - Repeatability
- Certificate of software





Equipment contribution

- Flow rate
 - 0.2ml/min variation ____> 1.3% Da
- Injection volume
- Baseline stability
- Column ageing (eliminated in RR)
- Lab temperature (air conditioned)
- Sensitivity of detector





NBS706 accuracy & precision

- Good repeatability (RSD 0.45%)
- Reasonable precision (Mean 264900) but no traceability of material





Precision of the method



Sample sets of 3 or 5 replicates (20 for NC)



Uncertainty budget





Conclusion

- Precision is good
- Accuracy?
- Reproducibility
 - PS <3% (9 labs)
 - Propellant <2% (only 2 labs)
 - NC <?? (9 labs)



Acknowledgement

- DOSG (Carole Baker)
- AWE (Paul Deacon and Ruth Knight)





Dr Nathalie Mai Centre for Defence Chemistry Cranfield University Shrivenham, SN6 8LA, UK E: <u>n.mai@cranfield.ac.uk</u>

QUESTIONS?

STATISTICS.

