

Course Libraries 2016/17

Courses O - Z

Course	Pages
Offshore and Ocean Technology Programme	3 – 23
Operations Excellence	24 – 35
Pre-Masters Course in Engineering	36 – 46
Process Systems Engineering	47 – 60
Procurement and Supply Chain Management	61 – 73
Programme and Project Management	74 – 86
Quality Management in Scientific Research and Development	87 – 96
Renewable Energy Engineering	97 – 109
Renewable Energy Marine Structures (REMS) EngD	110 – 119
Renewable Energy Technology	120 – 132
Safety and Accident Investigation	133 – 172
Safety and Human Factors in Aviation	173 – 186
Security Sector Management (East Africa)	187 – 198
Strategic Marketing	199 – 209
STREAM EngD	210 – 218
Systems Engineering for Defence Capability	219 - 234

Thermal Power	235 – 253
Through-Life System Sustainment	254 – 265
Vehicle and Weapons Engineering (USA)	266 – 281
Waste and Resource Management	282 – 293
Water and Wastewater Engineering	294 – 305
Weapon and Vehicle Systems Programme	306 – 319
Welding Engineering	320 - 331

COURSE SPECIFICATION



Cranfield University: Course Specifications

Course specifications outline the content and structure of a course leading to an award of Cranfield University. This version of the course specification has been approved by Education Committee and every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information.

COURSE TITLE: MSc in Offshore and Ocean Technology

Date of first publication/latest revision: 08/09/16

1. What is the course?

Course information

Course Title	Offshore and Ocean Technology
Course code	MSc, PgDip, PgCert OOT with Risk Management (MSORMFTC, MSORMPTC, PDORMFTC, PDORMPTC, PCORMFTC, PCORMPTC) MSc, PgDip, PgCert OOT with Offshore Materials Engineering MSOMEFTC, MSOMEPTC, PDOMEFTC, PDOMEPTC, PCOMEFTC, PCOMEPTC) MSc, PgDip, PgCert OOT with Offshore Renewable Energy (MSOREFTC, MSOREPTC, PDOREFTC, PDOREPTC, PCOREFTC, PCOREPTC) MSc, PgDip, PgCert OOT with Pipeline Engineering (MSOPEFTC, MSOPEPTC, PDOPEFTC, PDOPEPTC, PCOPEPTC) MSc, PgDip, PgCert OOT with Subsea Engineering (MSOSEFTC, MSOSEPTC, PDOSEFTC, PCOSEFTC, PCOSEFTC)
Academic Year	2016/17
Valid entry routes	MSc, PgDip, PgCert
Additional exit routes	PgDip, PgCert
Mode of delivery	Full-time, Part-time
Location of Study	Cranfield
School(s)	School of Water, Energy and Environment
Theme	Energy and Power
Centre	Centre for Oil and Gas Engineering
Programme Director Course Director	Dr Gill Drew Dr Maurizo Collu (Course Director) Dr Joy Sumner and Dr Patrick Verdin (Deputy Course Directors)
Awarding Body	Cranfield University
Teaching Institution	Cranfield University
Admissions body	Cranfield University
Entry requirements	Standard University entry requirements
UK Qualifications	QAA FHEQ Level 7 (Masters)

Framework Level	
Benchmark Statement(s)	N/A
Registration Period(s) available	Full-time MSc, PgDip, PgCert: 1 year Part-time MSc: Up to 3 years Part-time PgDip and PgCert: 2 years
Course Start Month(s)	Full-time: October Part-time: Throughout the year

Institutions delivering the course

This course is delivered by the Energy and Power these where the research interests include corrosion, project management, offshore inspection, safety risk and reliability offshore, materials in the offshore environment, structural integrity, stress analysis, marine renewable energy. Cranfield University interacts with the following institutions in the following ways:

The programme does not have any formal academic or industrial partners. Some full-time students may undertake their individual project whilst placed within a company or other institution either in the UK or abroad. The course takes advantage of EU Erasmus funding to provide such opportunities in Europe for suitable students. Many students will undertake their individual project and group project in close collaboration with a company whilst remaining on campus.

Cranfield University remains fully responsible for the quality of the delivery of the course.

Accreditation by Public, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)

This course is currently seeking formal accreditation from the Institute of Mechanical Engineers (IMechE).

2. What are the aims of the course?

Cranfield University offers this course in order to:

- To address UK and global shortage of Offshore and Ocean Engineers
- To supply the offshore and offshore renewable energy industries addressed by the course
 with personnel having the knowledge and skills required to work at a professional level safely
 and effectively in this environment
- To be regarded as a major contributor in education and training in the global offshore and offshore renewable energy sector
- To keep in close contact with the offshore and offshore renewable energy industries through collaborating companies and its alumni and in doing so identify research opportunities in this field.

This programme is intended for the following range of students:

- New graduates with an engineering or science background (dependent upon option chosen)
- Experienced professionals working within the offshore industries who wish to further their careers within their field.
- Experienced professionals working in other industries who wish to find employment in an offshore industry that is addressed by this course.

3. What should students expect to achieve in completing the course?

Award intended learning outcomes (ILOs) (skills and knowledge).

A. Postgraduate Certificate in Offshore and Ocean Technology

In completing this course, and achieving the associated award, a diligent student should be able to:

- ILO 1. Explain the technologies employed within the offshore oil & gas and offshore renewable energy industrial sector addressed by the option followed.
- ILO 2. Identify advanced technology, management and environmental issues to enable the development of solutions for international industries and/or research organisations.
- ILO 3. Plan and undertake a feasibility/design study or a short piece of research work related to offshore oil & gas and offshore renewable energy technologies and management, and present the work in the form of written reports.
- ILO 4. Evaluate current research to develop solutions for issues related to offshore oil & gas and offshore renewable energy industries.

B. Postgraduate Diploma in Offshore and Ocean Technology

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 5. Integrate knowledge, understanding and skills from the taught modules in a real-life situation.
- ILO 6. Effectively work in a small project team to identify project objectives and select appropriate methodologies to address problems faced by industrial clients; collaborating with other team members to communicate findings in a professional manner in written, oral and visual forms

C. MSc

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 7. Define a research question, develop aim(s) and objectives, select and execute a methodology, analyse data, and evaluate findings and draw appropriate conclusions.
- ILO 8. To communicate their findings successfully via a thesis, written in an approved School style and in an oral presentation.

4. How is the course taught?

The course has been developed, and is delivered, by leading academics in the field of offshore and offshore renewable energies. Students have access to some of the technical facilities at Cranfield University.

The taught modules vary in style from traditional lectures for subject based learning to practical sessions with a more problem based learning style. The different teaching styles are designed to address the need for different learning styles, in an attempt to reduce gender bias and increase appeal to mid-career change applicants. The course embraces diversity and provides equality of opportunity to all learners.

The group project work for PgDip and MSc students provides a framework for the development of acquired skills in terms of analysis, presentations, report writing, team working, project management and the use, and/or development, of offshore and offshore renewable energy technologies.

Students will be supported in their learning and personal development by:

- The provision of a comprehensive set of course notes
- The use of Blackboard, a virtual learning environment
- Face-to-face meetings with the Course Tutors and members of the Course Team as required
- The Course Tutor, who is the student's main point of contact prior to the course and in the early stages of the course, and supports the student throughout the course
- The Course Administrator, who supports the student throughout the course regarding any administrative matter
- The module leaders, who are available to support the technical content of the taught modules and discuss the assessment of each module
- Course lecturers, who are the primary contact for students on individual lecture content
- The Group Project Supervisor, who provides direction and supervision throughout the duration of the Group Project and its assessment
- The Individual Project Supervisor, who provides direction and personal supervision to a student throughout their MSc project and its assessments

5. What do students need to achieve in order to graduate?

Notwithstanding University Regulations and the authorities and powers exercised by examiners, students will normally need to demonstrate achievement in the elements of the course, as laid out in Section 7. Courses are structured through the accumulation of credit, where 1 credit represents 10 notional learning hours.

In brief, students will normally need to achieve the following in order to be awarded the qualifications:

A. Postgraduate Certificate in Offshore and Ocean Technology with Risk Management

The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction	0
Subsea Oil and Gas Exploitation	10
Safety, Risk and Reliability Offshore	10
Offshore Inspection	10
Corrosion in the Offshore Environment	10
Reliability Engineering and Asset Risk Management	10
Management for Technology	10
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
N/A	
TOTAL:	60

B. Postgraduate Diploma in Offshore and Ocean Technology with Risk Management

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction Subsea Oil and Gas Exploitation Safety, Risk and Reliability Offshore Offshore Inspection Corrosion in the Offshore Environment Reliability Engineering and Asset Risk Management Management for Technology Group Project or Dissertation (P/T)	0 10 10 10 10 10 10
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
2 modules from Offshore Renewable Energy – Technology Materials in the Offshore Environment Structural Integrity Engineering Stress Analysis: Theory and Simulations Offshore Pipeline Design and Installation	20 (10 per module)
TOTAL:	120

C. MSc in Offshore and Ocean Technology with Risk Management

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction Subsea Oil and Gas Exploitation Safety, Risk and Reliability Offshore Offshore Inspection Corrosion in the Offshore Environment Reliability Engineering and Asset Risk Management Management for Technology Group Project or Dissertation (P/T) Individual Thesis Project	0 10 10 10 10 10 10 40 80
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
2 modules from Offshore Renewable Energy – Technology Materials in the Offshore Environment Structural Integrity Engineering Stress Analysis: Theory and Simulations Offshore Pipeline Design and Installation	20 (10 per module)
TOTAL:	200

D. Postgraduate Certificate in Offshore and Ocean Technology with Offshore Materials Engineering

The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction	0
Materials in the Offshore Environment	10
Safety, Risk and Reliability Offshore	10
Offshore Inspection	10
Structural Integrity	10
Corrosion in the Offshore Environment	10
Management for Technology	10
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
N/A	
TOTAL:	60

E. Postgraduate Diploma in Offshore and Ocean Technology with Offshore Materials Engineering

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction Materials in the Offshore Environment Safety, Risk and Reliability Offshore Offshore Inspection Structural Integrity Corrosion in the Offshore Environment Management for Technology Group Project or Dissertation (P/T) ELECTIVE MODULES:	0 10 10 10 10 10 10 40
2 modules from Offshore Renewable Energy – Technology Subsea Oil and Gas Exploitation Engineering Stress Analysis: Theory and Simulations Reliability Engineering and Asset Risk Management Offshore Pipeline Design and Installation	20 (10 per module)
TOTAL:	120

F. MSc in Offshore and Ocean Technology with Offshore Materials Engineering

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits

COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction	0
Materials in the Offshore Environment	10
Safety, Risk and Reliability Offshore	10
Offshore Inspection	10
Structural Integrity	10
Corrosion in the Offshore Environment	10
Management for Technology	10
Group Project or Dissertation (P/T)	40
Individual Thesis Project	80
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
2 modules from	20 (10 per module)
Offshore Renewable Energy – Technology	
Subsea Oil and Gas Exploitation	
Engineering Stress Analysis: Theory and Simulations	
Reliability Engineering and Asset Risk Management	
Offshore Pipeline Design and Installation	
TOTAL:	200

G. Postgraduate Certificate in Offshore and Ocean Technology with Offshore Renewable Energy

The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction	0
Offshore Renewable Energy – Technology	10
Materials in the Offshore Environment	10
Safety, Risk and Reliability Offshore	10
Offshore Inspection	10
Corrosion in the Offshore Environment	10
Management for Technology	10
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
N/A	
TOTAL:	60

H. Postgraduate Diploma in Offshore and Ocean Technology with Offshore Renewable Energy

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction	0
Offshore Renewable Energy – Technology	10
Materials in the Offshore Environment	10
Safety, Risk and Reliability Offshore	10
Offshore Inspection	10

Corrosion in the Offshore Environment Management for Technology	10 10
Group Project or Dissertation (P/T)	40
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
2 modules from Subsea Oil and Gas Exploitation Structural Integrity Engineering Stress Analysis: Theory and Simulations Reliability Engineering and Asset Risk Management Offshore Pipeline Design and Installation	20 (10 per module)
TOTAL:	120

I. MSc in Offshore and Ocean Technology with Offshore Renewable Energy

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction	0
Offshore Renewable Energy – Technology	10
Materials in the Offshore Environment	10
Safety, Risk and Reliability Offshore	10
Offshore Inspection	10
Corrosion in the Offshore Environment	10
Management for Technology	10
Group Project or Dissertation (P/T)	40
Individual Thesis Project	80
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
2 modules from:	20 (10 per module)
TOTAL	200
TOTAL:	200

J. Postgraduate Certificate in Offshore and Ocean Technology with Pipeline Engineering The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction	0
Materials in the Offshore Environment	10
Safety, Risk and Reliability Offshore	10
Offshore Inspection	10
Corrosion in the Offshore Environment	10
Offshore Pipeline Design and Installation	10
Management for Technology	10
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
N/A	
TOTAL:	60

K. Postgraduate Diploma in Offshore and Ocean Technology with Pipeline Engineering

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction Materials in the Offshore Environment Safety, Risk and Reliability Offshore Offshore Inspection Corrosion in the Offshore Environment Offshore Pipeline Design and Installation Management for Technology Group Project or Dissertation (P/T)	0 10 10 10 10 10 10 40
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
2 modules from Offshore Renewable Energy –Technology Subsea Oil and Gas Exploitation Structural Integrity Engineering Stress Analysis: Theory and Simulations Reliability Engineering and Asset Risk Management	20 (10 per module)
TOTAL:	120

L. MSc in Offshore and Ocean Technology with Pipeline Engineering

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction Materials in the Offshore Environment Safety, Risk and Reliability Offshore Offshore Inspection Corrosion in the Offshore Environment Offshore Pipeline Design and Installation Management for Technology Group Project or Dissertation (P/T) Individual Thesis Project	0 10 10 10 10 10 10 40
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
2 modules from Offshore Renewable Energy –Technology Subsea Oil and Gas Exploitation Structural Integrity Engineering Stress Analysis: Theory and Simulations Reliability Engineering and Asset Risk Management	20 (10 per module)
TOTAL:	200

M. Postgraduate Certificate in Offshore and Ocean Technology with Subsea Engineering

The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction	0
Materials in the Offshore Environment	10
Subsea Oil and Gas Exploitation	10
Safety, Risk and Reliability Offshore	10
Offshore Inspection	10
Corrosion in the Offshore Environment	10
Management for Technology	10
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
N/A	
TOTAL:	60

N. Postgraduate Diploma in Offshore and Ocean Technology with Subsea Engineering

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction Materials in the Offshore Environment Subsea Oil and Gas Exploitation Safety, Risk and Reliability Offshore Offshore Inspection Corrosion in the Offshore Environment Management for Technology Group Project or Dissertation (P/T)	0 10 10 10 10 10 10
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
2 modules from Offshore Renewable Energy –Technology Structural Integrity Engineering Stress Analysis: Theory and Simulations Reliability Engineering and Asset Risk Management Offshore Pipeline Design and Installation	20 (10 per module)
TOTAL:	120

O. MSc in Offshore and Ocean Technology with Subsea Engineering

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction Materials in the Offshore Environment Subsea Oil and Gas Exploitation Safety, Risk and Reliability Offshore Offshore Inspection Corrosion in the Offshore Environment Management for Technology Group Project or Dissertation (P/T) Individual Thesis Project	0 10 10 10 10 10 10 40 80
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
2 modules from Offshore Renewable Energy –Technology Structural Integrity Engineering Stress Analysis: Theory and Simulations Reliability Engineering and Asset Risk Management Offshore Pipeline Design and Installation	20 (10 per module)
TOTAL:	200

If a student does not meet the required standards for the award, the examiners for the programme may decide to offer a lower award associated with the programme, providing that a lower exit award exists and the student meets the requirements of that lower award.

Pass Criteria

The University operates standard pass criteria which can be found in the Senate Handbook on Assessment Rules.

In order to achieve your award, you are required to achieve:

- An overall average mark of ≥50%;
- An average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessment;
- All assessments need to be completed and the minimum mark attained: no more than one
 failure to complete an assessment (as defined in Section 2.3) will be permitted throughout
 the course of your studies (Please note that the board of examiners does <u>not</u> have
 discretion to overrule this limit, but can refer a case to Senate's Education Committee);^{1 2}
- **For Taught Assessments**, the minimum mark for each individual taught assessment <u>on the first attempt</u> for the significant majority of the taught assessments, noting that:
 - if you fail to attain the minimum mark for <u>up to 30 learning credits</u>, you will be permitted to re-take all of those assessments (except for circumstances where a resit award capped at 50% would be insufficient to achieve an overall average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessments);

For students who were registered before 1 August 2015, the requirement to obtain a minimum mark for a taught assessment will not apply for taught assessment taken before 31 August 2015 (unless the assessment was designated as a "key assessment" under the previous Assessment Rules).

Providing the minimum mark is met, a mark of 40-49% will be automatically compensated if a student's overall average taught assessment mark (including the failed assessment) is greater than 50%. Students are advised, however, that they retain the right to re-take an assessment with a mark of <40% (but should note that a re-take attempt will be capped at 50%), as long as they haven't failed more than 30 credits. At the discretion of the Board of Examiners or by Board of Examiners Chair's Actions a student may be permitted a re-take attempt of modules in the range of 40-49% only if the average mark of their other taught modules would not allow them to qualify for their award (<50%).

- o if, having failed to attain the minimum mark for 30 learning credits, you fail to obtain the minimum mark for <u>any additional learning credits</u> over the course of your studies you will be disqualified from the right to re-take the assessments: this will normally result in intended award failure. (Please note the board of examiners may at its discretion overrule this limit, but this is not an automatic right);
- it is <u>not</u> permissible for you to fail an elective module and then proceed to take a different elective module in its place.
- For Substantial pieces of assessment (corresponding to ≥40 credits, which are not part of the taught assessment average), the pass mark of ≥50% (where they exist);
- For the thesis, a mark of ≥50% in order to receive a pass (where it exists).

6. How is the course structured?

Full-time students register for the course in early October and are expected to complete the course by a date specified in the following September.

This course is also offered on a part-time basis. Students are expected to complete the course over a two, three or, exceptionally, a four year period.

The course comprises three elements:-

- Coursework the taught element which is given as a series of one-week modules. Students are required to complete 8 modules. The 6 of these modules are determined by the option within the course that is followed. The remaining 2 modules are chosen by the student. The modules comprise lectures, tutorials, case studies, laboratory demonstrations, and workshop exercises in varying proportions as appropriate. The modules are scheduled during the period October to February. Students are expected to spend additional time over and above the contact hours within the week of the module.
- For full-time students, a Group Project, in which groups of typically 4 to 8 students work as a team on a multi-disciplinary problem of industrial relevance.
- Part time students are required to complete a dissertation that is concerned with a module of their choice following consultation with the Course Director.
- Individual MSc Projects can begin at any time during the course, depending on project content
 and the involvement with participating companies. However the individual project activities
 reach their peak during May to August. Individual Project assessments take place in
 September.

Course modules

The following modules outline all parts of the programme leading to an MSc. Other awards associated with the course include some or all of these modules.

					д				Calendar					,	Assessm	ent		
					Visiting		N/N		a)		or		ependent essment	Multi-	part Ass	essment	Submiss	sion dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? \	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	ssessment ubmission and/or xam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
1	I-ENE- INWK	Induction week	G Drew	24		0	Υ		03/10/16	07/10/16	N/A	AO	N/A				N/A	
2	I-OOT- A1081	Offshore Renewable Energy – Technology	F Kara	32		10	Υ		10/10/16	14/10/16	40	EX	100				w/c 12/12/16	
3	I-OOT- A1078	Materials in the Offshore Environment	J Sumner	32		10	Y		17/10/16	21/10/16	40	EX	100				w/c 02/01/17	
4	I-OOT- A1079	Offshore Inspection	M Shafiee	32		10	Υ		24/10/16	28/10/16	40	ICW	100				FT12/11/16 PT19/11/16	
5	I-OOT- A1085	Subsea oil and Gas Exploitation	F Kara	32		10	Υ		07/11/16	11/11/16	40	EX	100		·		w/c 12/12/16	

³ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

⁴ Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

⁵ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

⁶ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually.

⁷ For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

⁸ Failure to submit an element of a **multi-part assessment** will **not** require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then **all** elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

⁹ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

					Ď.				Calendar		Assessment												
										/ Visiting		₹		d)	_	or ,		pendent essment	Multi-	part Ass	essment	Submiss	ion dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	ssessment ubmission and/or xam date³	Assessment / Exam Retake date					
6	I-OOT- A1084	Safety, Risk and Reliability Offshore	M Shafiee	32		10	Υ		14/11/16	18/11/16	40	EX	100				w/c 02/01/17						
7	N- AME- ESA	Engineering Stress Analysis: Theory and Simulations	A Mehmanparast	32		10	Y		28/11/16	02/12/16	40	ICW	100				03/01/17 FT 14/01/17 PT						
8	I-OOT- A1076	Corrosion in the Offshore Environment	M Robinson	32		10	Υ		12/12/16	16/12/16	40	EX	100				w/c 02/01/17						
9	I-OOT- A1083	Reliability Engineering and Asset Risk Management	M Shafiee	32		10			09/01/17	13/01/17	40	ICW	100				FT- 21/01/17 PT- 28/01/17						
10	I-OOT- A1080	Offshore Pipeline Design and Installation	F Kara	32		10	Υ		23/01/17	27/01/17	40	ICW	100				w/c 20/02/17						
11	N- AME- SI	Structural Integrity	A Mehmanparast	38.5		10	Υ		30/01/17	03/02/17	40	EX	100				w/c 20/02/17						
12	G-MTI	Management for Technology	S Carver	50		10	Y		13/02/17	17/02/17	40 40	EX GCW	50 50				20/03/17 25/03/17						
13	I-ENE- GRPP	Group Project	Supervisors	16		40	N		27/02/17	05/05/17	50	GPROJ ICW	80 20				02/05/17						
14	I-ENE- DISS	Dissertation (P/T students)	Supervisors	10		40	N		03/10/16	30/09/17	50	THESIS	100				30/09/17						

					бı				Calendar					,	Assessm	ent		
					, Visitin		Z ×		a)		or ,		pendent essment	Multi-	part Ass	essment	Submission dates	
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? \	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	ssessment ubmission and/or xam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
15	I-ENE- THESI S	Energy Individual Research Project	Supervisors	20		80	N		08/05/17	08/09/17	50	OR THESIS	10 90				04/09/17	

MSc Theme	Offshore and Ocean Technology with Risk Management	Offshore and Ocean Technology with Materials Engineering	Offshore and Ocean Technology with Offshore Renewable Energy	Offshore and Ocean Technology with Pipeline Engineering	Offshore and Ocean Technology with Subsea Engineering	Marketed as short course	Joint with another MSc
Induction	С	С	С	С	С		
Offshore Renewable Energy - Technology	E	E	С	Е	Е		
Materials in the Offshore Environment	E	С	С	С	С		
Subsea Oil and Gas Exploitation	С	E	Е	E	С		
Safety, Risk and Reliability Offshore	С	С	С	С	С		YES
Offshore Inspection	С	С	С	С	С		
Structural Integrity	E	С	E	E	E		YES
Management for Technology	С	С	С	С	С		YES
Corrosion in the Offshore Environment	С	С	С	С	С		
Engineering Stress Analysis: Theory and Simulations	E	E	E	E	E		YES
Reliability Engineering and Asset Risk Management	С	E	E	E	E		
Offshore Pipeline Design and Installation	E	E	E	С	Е		
Group Project	С	С	С	С	С	NO	
Dissertation (for P/T students)	С	С	С	С	С	NO	
Individual Thesis Project	С	С	С	С	С	NO	

Please list all modules that are shared with another existing course.

Module code	Module title	Course that owns the module	Course(s)/programme(s) that share the module
N-AME-SI	Structural Integrity	Advanced Mechanical Engineering	 Flow Assurance for Oil and Gas Production Materials for Energy Systems Design of Rotating Machines Renewable Energy Engineering Safety Accident and Investigation Offshore and Ocean Technology with Subsea Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology with Materials Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology with Risk Management Offshore and Ocean Technology with Pipeline Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology with Pipeline Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology with Pipeline Engineering
G-MTI	Management for Technology	School of Management	 Materials for Energy Systems Advanced Mechanical Engineering Biofuels Process Engineering Design of Rotating Machines Energy Supply for Low Carbon Futures Gas Energy Renewable Energy Engineering Renewable Energy Technology Flow Assurance for Oil and Gas Production Carbon Capture and Storage Energy Systems and Thermal Processes Process Systems Engineering Offshore and Ocean

			Technology with Subsea Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology with Materials Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology with Risk Management Offshore and Ocean Technology with Pipeline Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology with Engineering Technology with Engineering Technology with Engineering Technology with Renewable Energy Energy from Waste
N-AME-ESA	Engineering Stress Analysis: Theory and Simulations	Advanced Mechanical Engineering	 Design of Rotating Machines Renewable Energy Engineering REMS EngD
I-OOT-A1084	Safety, Risk and Reliability Offshore	Offshore and Ocean Technology	 Gas Energy Offshore and Ocean Technology with Subsea Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology with Materials Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology with Risk Management Offshore and Ocean Technology with Pipeline Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology with Renewable Energy
I-OOT-A1085	Subsea Oil and Gas Exploitation	Offshore and Ocean Technology	 Cost Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology with Subsea Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology with Materials Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology with Risk Management Offshore and Ocean Technology with Pipeline Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology with Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology with Renewable Energy

7. How are the ILOs assessed?

The following assessment types are utilised:

The assessment methods used on the course are designed to enable students to achieve the learning outcomes of the course in the following ways:

Written examination and coursework assignments (100% of PgCert, 66.7% of PgDip and 40% of MSc)

Each of the 8 modules undertaken by the student is assessed by a two hour duration written examination or coursework assignments.

Formal written examinations are designed to demonstrate each student's level of understanding and knowledge of the subject area, through their ability to select and apply this knowledge to the questions set.

Coursework assignments take the form of reports and the output from the practical application of software. These assignments will demonstrate skills in the areas including information retrieval, problem solving and analysis, writing style and computer application competence.

Group Project (33.3% of PgDip and 20% of MSc)

For the Group Project (full time students), an individual assessment for each student is calculated based on their continual contribution to the project throughout its duration, their written contribution to the final report and their contribution to an oral presentation by the team. Their mark is moderated to take into account the performance of the team as a whole in each of these 3 aspects.

Dissertation (33.3% of PgDip and 20% of MSc)

For the dissertation (part time students) an individual assessment for each student is done, based on a written report and an oral presentation with the support of a poster. 90% of the mark is based on the written report, while 10% on the oral presentation.

Individual Research Thesis (40% or MSc)

The individual project thesis is assessed by taking into account the quality of its introduction and literature review, the work carried out and results, the analysis/discussion and its style and presentation. The application and effort of the student is taken into account. Students are also required to undertake an oral presentation that has a 10% weighting within the individual project assessment.

This approach is in line with that adopted within the School of Water, Energy and Environment

<u>CROSS-MODULAR ASSESSMENT</u> (including any assessment which rests outside an individual module)

Title	Modules Covered	Assessment			
		Туре	Weight (%)		

8. How will the University assure the quality of the provision?

New course proposals are reviewed by a Course Validation Panel, comprising at least the following membership: normally one subject matter expert external to the School or University, at least 3 academic staff not associated with the proposal. The Panel may include 1 member of professional staff. Panels are supported by an appropriately trained Secretary who acts as advisor to the Panel. Proposals are reviewed in line with the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA) Quality Code, in particular Chapter B1 (Programme Design and Approval) and in the case of partnership arrangements in accordance with Chapter B10 (Managing Higher Education with Others). New courses are ultimately approved by the University's Education Committee, on behalf of Senate.

Course changes are approved by the School's Director of Education on behalf of Education Committee and Senate. Significant changes to a course will be referred to a Course Review Panel at the discretion of the Director of Education.

The University has in place regular monitoring procedures for quality assurance including an Annual Reflective Review for each course and an in depth 6 year review of each School's (total) educational provision known as the Senate Review. For collaborative partnerships, in addition to the Annual Reflective Review there is an Annual Operating Statement and a 5 year review known as a Focussed Review which looks at each course in depth. In addition occasional site inspection visits are made.

Each course has at least one External Examiner who monitors all aspects of the assessment process. This is in line with the guidance provided by the QAA particularly in Chapter B7 (External Examining) which emphasises that external examining is one of the principal means for maintaining UK threshold academic standards within autonomous higher education institutions.

Each course has a formally constituted Examination Board, which includes the External Examiner, and which is responsible for ensuring that awards are made within the Regulations of the University and that students are made awards on the basis of meeting the specified Intended Learning Outcomes of a course at the appropriate standard.

Each course has a formally constituted Course Committee which meets at least twice a year to discuss, inter alia, programme design and planning, the student experience (including feedback) and student progress.

Each course has an Industry Advisory Panel (or similar) which meets at least once a year to engage with external stakeholders on curriculum design and currency of course content.

Student feedback both qualitative and quantitative is collected for each module studied. In addition students are invited to participate in the University's annual New Student Survey and Student Satisfaction Survey along with the annual national Postgraduate Taught Student Experience Survey. The results of all feedback are considered by the Course Committee and additionally, in respect of the University and national surveys, issues of quality are considered by and acted on where appropriate by the Education Committee, Senate, School and University Executives.

9. What opportunities are graduates likely to have on completing the course?

Students successfully completing the course should have gained the knowledge and skills required to enable them to gain employment at a professional level within the industrial sector that is addressed by the option which they followed. The global nature of the industries that are addressed by the course, viz., offshore oil and gas and offshore renewable energy, means that

students will have the opportunities for travel and working in many overseas locations. Also, suitable graduates may have the opportunity of continuing their studies in a related area in pursuance of a research degree such as a PhD.

COURSE SPECIFICATION



Cranfield University: Course Specifications

Course specifications outline the content and structure of a course leading to an award of Cranfield University. This version of the course specification has been approved by Education Committee and every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information. Courses are under constant review, however, and the University reserves the right, without notice, to withdraw, update or amend this course specification at any time.

COURSE TITLE: MSc in Operations Excellence

Date of first publication/latest revision: September 2016

1. What is the course?

Course information

Course Title	MSc in Operations Excellence
Course code	MSOPXPTC, PDOPXPTC, PCOPXPTC
Academic Year	2016/17
Valid entry routes	MSc
Additional exit routes	PgDip, PgCert
Mode of delivery	Part-time
Location of Study	Cranfield University and University of Cambridge
School(s)	School of Aerospace, Transport and Manufacturing
Theme	Manufacturing
Centre	Sustainable Manufacturing Systems Centre
Course Director	Dr Patrick McLaughlin
Awarding Body	Cranfield University
Teaching Institution	Cranfield University
Admissions body	Cranfield University
Entry requirements	Standard University entry requirements
UK Qualifications Framework Level	QAA FHEQ Level 7 (Masters)
Benchmark Statement(s)	N/A
Registration Period(s) available	Part-time MSc - up to three years
Course Start Month(s)	Part-time: October

Institutions delivering the course

This course is delivered by School of Aerospace, Transport and Manufacturing, Manufacturing Theme, Sustainable Manufacturing Systems Centre where the research interests include:

- Manufacturing Systems Engineering
- Product-Service Systems and Innovation Management
- · Simulation and Modelling
- Supply Chain Management

Teaching and assessment is also provided by Cranfield School of Management and University of Cambridge.

Cranfield University interacts with the following institutions and in the following ways:

The course has an Industrial Advisory Panel that formally meets twice a year. The names and affiliations of current members of the Industrial Advisory Panel can be found in the course manual.

Students are involved with field trips off-campus as part of the study tour. In recent years these have included the following organisations:, Jaguar, Land Rover, JCB manufacturing, JCB parts, BMW, AG Barr, Owen Mumford, Sony, Morgan, Weetabix and Virgin Atlantic.

Students undertake their group and individual research project off campus. In recent years, projects have been undertaken within their sponsoring organisation including Rolls-Royce, BAE Systems and Weetabix.

Cranfield University interacts with the Institute of Manufacturing at Cambridge University, as a strategic partner to deliver two course modules.

Cranfield University remains fully responsible for the quality of the delivery of the course.

Accreditation by Public, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)

This course is accredited formally by the Institution of Engineering and Technology (IET), the Institution of Mechanical Engineers (IMechE) and the Royal Aeronautical Society (RAeS) until October 2019.

2. What are the aims of the course?

Cranfield University offers this course in order to:

- To prepare Manufacturing Engineers for a role in a changing world of manufacturing operations, that will lead to an improvement of manufacturing competitiveness within their company.
- To engage students in independent and critical evaluation of the use of operations management knowledge and tools to address manufacturing industry problems.
- To equip students in transferable skills such as communication, administration, team -working, and personal and professional effectiveness.
- To enhance a student's career in the manufacturing and related sectors.
- To assess a student's ability to demonstrate the application of management and technical knowledge and transferable skills to address operations management problems in industry.

Postgraduate Diploma (PgDip) and Postgraduate Certificate (PgCert) exit routes are provided for students who wish to access only parts of the course provided.

This course is intended for the following range of students:

- Those wishing to work nationally or internationally with manufacturing companies that need to address operations management problems.
- Those wishing to work in manufacturing and operations management consultancy.
- Those wishing to work in the public/government sector on industry competitiveness and productivity issues.

3. What should students expect to achieve in completing the course?

Award intended learning outcomes (ILOs) (skills and knowledge).

A. Postgraduate Certificate

In completing this course, and achieving the associated award, a diligent student should be able to:

- ILO 1. Demonstrate knowledge of and an understanding of innovation management practice, its implementation and state-of-the-art tools and techniques for improving performance.
- ILO 2. Demonstrate understanding and evaluate the role of a lean and agile manufacturing function within the wider business context.
- ILO 3. Critically evaluate supply chain strategies and their effect on the performance of a manufacturing organisation.
- ILO 4. Demonstrate knowledge of and an understanding of management accounting, costing and cost/technology trends and demonstrate critical awareness of management and leadership styles.
- ILO 5. Demonstrate knowledge of tools and techniques to measure manufacturing performance and of BPR.
- ILO 6. Critically evaluate the theory behind, and the selection of, appropriate analysis and design tools and apply them to solve manufacturing problems and increase the effectiveness of manufacturing planning and operations.
- ILO 7. Demonstrate key management and personal management skills needed to influence and implement change.
- ILO 8. Apply appropriate analysis and design tools to solve manufacturing problems in order to increase the effectiveness of manufacturing operations.
- ILO 9. Demonstrate the skills necessary for the design, performance assessment, implementation and management of effective manufacturing systems
- ILO 10. Present proposals and results in written and oral format to a variety of audiences.
- ILO 11. Undertake a critical appraisal of technical and/or commercial literature. ILO 12. Demonstrate ability in practical approaches to problem solving.
- ILO 13. Critically evaluate data.
- ILO 14. Discuss their work and relate it to the work of others.
- ILO 15. Work effectively under time pressure.
- ILO 16. Select appropriate technologies and methodologies to suit particular projects.

B. Postgraduate Diploma

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 17. Critically assess the relative merits of various technology management frameworks and select the most appropriate framework for the application.
- ILO 18. Critically assess the key frameworks for the development of business and manufacturing strategies and to understand how, why and when they should be applied.
- ILO 19. Demonstrate team based project skills to solve manufacturing problems within a manufacturing organisation.

C. MSc

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

ILO 20. Demonstrate effective project management and in-depth subject knowledge through the individual project.

4. How is the course taught?

The MSc course is taught in three sections: taught modules (40%), group projects (20%), and an individual research project (40%). The taught modules are typically delivered in one-week residential blocks between October (Year 1) March (Year 2).

The Taught Component

Each assessed module involves some 25-35 hours of class contact time, and a further 65-75 hours of student study to consolidate the work and carry out tutorial exercises, assignments etc (giving a nominal total of 100 learning hours per module).

The teaching methods include debates, case studies, simulations, practical sessions, field visits, lectures, seminars, and presentations.

All students attend a two-day course induction. Within this induction, students will be introduced to personal development planning and asked to reflect on their transferable skills and to take ownership of their personal development during the course. Induction is followed by 8 weeks of assessed modules. There is a non-assessed module entitled Manufacturing in Practice (Study Tour).

Group Project

All students undertake a Group Project or produce a Dissertation. The Group Projects are group-based activities typically undertaken between March and May. The projects are designed to integrate knowledge, understanding and skills from the taught modules in a real-life situation.

The Group Project will involve a team of students, typically 4 to 8, working to investigate a manufacturing opportunity or solve a manufacturing problem.

If in the rare event that a team based activity is not possible because of logistical or confidentiality issues, a Dissertation may be produced. Both constitute 20% of the course. A full briefing will be given during the course.

During the group projects students will be given training in group-working and will reflect on their personal and professional development.

Individual Research Project

All students will undertake a research project (thesis project) under the supervision of a member of academic staff.

For the individual research project, each student is allocated a supervisor. Guidance sessions are provided as to what is required from the thesis and oral presentation.

5. What do students need to achieve in order to graduate?

Notwithstanding University Regulations and the authorities and powers exercised by examiners, students will normally need to demonstrate achievement in the elements of the course, as laid out in Section 7. Courses are structured through the accumulation of credit, where 1 credit represents 10 notional learning hours.

In brief, students will normally need to achieve the following in order to be awarded the qualifications:

A. Postgraduate Certificate

The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction (1) Six modules from Modules 2-5 and 7-10	0 60
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
None	
TOTAL:	60

B. Postgraduate Diploma

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction (1) Manufacturing in Practice (Study Tour) (6) Modules 2-5 and 7-10 Group Project or Dissertation (11a or 11b)	0 0 80 40
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
None	
TOTAL:	120

C. MSc

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction (1)	0
Manufacturing in Practice (Study Tour) (6)	0
Modules 2-5 and 7-10	80
Group Project or Dissertation (11a or 11b)	40
Thesis Project (12)	80
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
None	
TOTAL:	200

If a student does not meet the required standards for the award, the examiners for the programme may decide to offer a lower award associated with the programme, providing that a lower exit award exists and the student meets the requirements of that lower award.

Pass Criteria

The University operates standard pass criteria which can be found in the Senate Handbook on Assessment Rules.

In order to achieve your award, you are required to achieve:

- An overall average mark of ≥50%;
- An average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessment;
- All assessments need to be completed and the minimum mark attained: no more than one
 failure to complete an assessment (as defined in Section 2.3) will be permitted throughout
 the course of your studies (Please note that the board of examiners does not have
 discretion to overrule this limit, but can refer a case to Senate's Education Committee);^{1 2}
- **For Taught Assessments**, the minimum mark for each individual taught assessment <u>on the first attempt</u> for the significant majority of the taught assessments, noting that:
 - if you fail to attain the minimum mark for <u>up to 30 learning credits</u>, you will be permitted to re-take all of those assessments (except for circumstances where a resit award capped at 50% would be insufficient to achieve an overall average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessments);
 - o if, having failed to attain the minimum mark for 30 learning credits, you fail to obtain the minimum mark for <u>any additional learning credits</u> over the course of your studies you will be disqualified from the right to re-take the assessments: this will normally result in intended award failure. (Please note the board of examiners may at its discretion overrule this limit, but this is not an automatic right);
 - o it is <u>not</u> permissible for you to fail an elective module and then proceed to take a different elective module in its place.
- For Substantial pieces of assessment (corresponding to ≥40 credits, which are not part of the taught assessment average), the pass mark of ≥50% (where they exist);
- For the thesis, a mark of ≥50% in order to receive a pass (where it exists).

6. How is the course structured?

Part-time students register for the course in October and are expected to complete the course within 24 calendar months.

The course comprising of taught modules, group project and individual thesis is structured to allow time for more critical and independent learning and reflection.

² Pro

For students who were registered before 1 August 2015, the requirement to obtain a minimum mark for a taught assessment will not apply for taught assessment taken before 31 August 2015 (unless the assessment was designated as a "key assessment" under the previous Assessment Rules).

Providing the minimum mark is met, a mark of 40-49% will be automatically compensated if a student's overall average taught assessment mark (including the failed assessment) is greater than 50%. Students are advised, however, that they retain the right to re-take an assessment with a mark of <40% (but should note that a re-take attempt will be capped at 50%), as long as they haven't failed more than 30 credits. At the discretion of the Board of Examiners or by Board of Examiners Chair's Actions a student may be permitted a re-take attempt of modules in the range of 40-49% only if the average mark of their other taught modules would not allow them to qualify for their award (<50%).

Course modules

The following modules outline all parts of the programme leading to an MSc. Other awards associated with the course include some or all of these modules.

					<u>g</u>				Calend	ar					Asse	ssment		
					/ Visiting		Z >		a)	_	or,		pendent essment	Multi-	part Asses	sment	Sub	omission dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared?	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	=	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments		Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
1	I-OPX- INWK	Induction	Dr Patrick McLaughlin	17		0	N		11/10/16	12/10/16	N/A	AO	N/A				N/A	
2	I-OPX- EF	Effective Factories	Dr Charles Wainwright	35		10	N		07/11/16	11/11/16	40	EX	100				13/02/17	w/c18/09/17
3	I-OPX- BMS	Business and Manufacturing Strategy	Dr Patrick McLaughlin	35		10	N		09/01/17	13/01/17	40			100 MULTI	GCW GCW ICW	20 20 60	24/02/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
4	I-OPX-	Management Accounting	Dr Charles	30		10	N		13/02/17	17/02/17	40	EX	100				20/03/17	w/c18/09/17

³ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

Assessment Types: AO – Attendance only; ICW – Individual Coursework; GCW – Group Coursework; IPRES – Individual Presentation; GPRES – Group Presentation; IPRAC – Individual Practical; GPRAC – Group Practical; IPROJ – Individual Project (>20 credits); GPROJ – Group Project (>20 credits); EX – Examination; RP – Reflective Portfolio; OR- Viva Voce examination; THESIS - thesis

Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

⁵ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

⁶ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually.

For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

⁸ Failure to submit an element of a **multi-part assessment** will **not** require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then **all** elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

⁹ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

					Đ.				Calend	lar		Assessment						
					/ Visiting		N X		Φ		° or		pendent essment	Multi-	part Asses	sment	Sub	omission dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared?	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	idential'		Minimum Mark ² - 40% or 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
	MAHRM	and Human Resource Management	Wainwright															
5	I-OPX- IM	Innovation Management	Dr Patrick McLaughlin	32		10	N		20/03/17	24/03/17	40	ICW	100				05/05/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
6	I-OPX- ST	Manufacturing in Practice (Study Tour)	Dr Patrick McLaughlin	35		0	N		24/04/17	28/04/17	N/A	AO	N/A				N/A	
7	I-OPX- TM	Technology Management	Dr Patrick McLaughlin	35		10	N		26/06/17	30/06/17	40	ICW	100				18/08/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
8	I-OPX- PPC	Production Planning and Control	Dr Charles Wainwright	30		10	N		04/09/17	08/09/17	40			100 MULTI	IPRES ICW	30 70	20/10/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
9	I-OPX- MAI	Manufacturing Assessment and Improvement	Dr Konstantinos Salonitis	31		10	N		20/11/17	24/11/17	40	ICW	100				26/01/18	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year

Assessment Types: AO – Attendance only; ICW – Individual Coursework; GCW – Group Coursework; IPRES – Individual Presentation; GPRES – Group Presentation; IPRAC – Individual Practical; GPRAC – Group Practical; IPROJ – Individual Project (>20 credits); GPROJ – Group Project (>20 credits); EX – Examination; RP – Reflective Portfolio; OR- Viva Voce examination; THESIS - thesis

					βι				Calend	ar		-			Asses	ssment		
					√ Visiting		N/Y		a)		or or		endent ssment	Multi-	part Asses		Sub	omission dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	rs ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? \	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	sidential'	Ιp	Minimum Mark ³ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
10	I-OPX- RCM	Realising Competitive Manufacturing	Dr Patrick McLaughlin	35		10	N		19/02/18	23/02/18	40			100 MULTI	GPRES GCW ICW	30 50 20	16/03/18	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
11a	I-OPX- GP	Group Project	Dr Patrick McLaughlin	40		40	N		24/03/17	01/09/17				100 MULTI	GCW GPRES ICW	64 16 20	04/09/17	
11b	I-OPX- DISS	Dissertation	Dr Patrick McLaughlin	40		40	N		24/03/17	01/09/17		ICW	100				04/09/17	
12	I-OPX- THES	Thesis Project	Dr Patrick McLaughlin	40		80	N		02/01/18	03/09/18		THESIS OR	90 10				03/09/18	

Assessment Types: AO – Attendance only; ICW – Individual Coursework; GCW – Group Coursework; IPRES – Individual Presentation; GPRES – Group Presentation; IPRAC – Individual Practical; GPRAC – Group Practical; IPROJ – Individual Project (>20 credits); GPROJ – Group Project (>20 credits); EX – Examination; RP – Reflective Portfolio; OR- Viva Voce examination; THESIS - thesis

Please list all modules that are shared with another existing course.

Module code	Module title	Course that owns the module	Course(s)/programme(s) that share the module
N/A			

7. How are the ILOs assessed?

The following assessment types are utilised:

The course uses a range of assessment types. Students on the MSc can typically expect to have three written examinations, five pieces of individual assessment by submitted work, one piece of group project work (including a reflective summary of personal contribution to group work), and one element assessed by a thesis and an oral presentation.

This approach has been adopted in order to perform formative and summative assessments of the students to demonstrate their ability in a range of contexts.

Assessment and ILO Mapping

Complete the grid below by inserting in the boxes which assessments from the modules directly assess the Award ILOs.

(Module numbers should correspond with those used in the Course module table above.)

For Example:

Award ILOs Module No.	ILO 1.	ILO 2.	ILO 3.	ILO 4.	ILO 5.	ILO 6.	ILO 7.	ILO 8.	
98	ICW				EX	EX	ICW		
99	ICW1		ICW1	ICW2					

A. Postgraduate Certificate

Award ILOs Module No.					

B. Postgraduate Diploma

In addition to those outlined above, the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs Module No.					

C. MSc

In addition to those outlined above, the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs Module No.					

<u>CROSS-MODULAR ASSESSMENT</u> (including any assessment which rests outside an individual module)

Title	Modules Covered	Assessment	
		Туре	Weight (%)
N/A			

8. How will the University assure the quality of the provision?

New course proposals are reviewed by a Course Validation Panel, comprising at least the following membership: normally one subject matter expert external to the School or University, at least 3 academic staff not associated with the proposal. The Panel may include 1 member of professional staff. Panels are supported by an appropriately trained Secretary who acts as advisor to the Panel. Proposals are reviewed in line with the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA) Quality Code, in particular Chapter B1 (Programme Design and Approval) and in the case of partnership arrangements in accordance with Chapter B10 (Managing Higher Education with Others). New courses are ultimately approved by the University's Education Committee, on behalf of Senate.

Course changes are approved by the School's Director of Education on behalf of Education Committee and Senate. Significant changes to a course will be referred to a Course Review Panel at the discretion of the Director of Education.

The University has in place regular monitoring procedures for quality assurance including an Annual Reflective Review for each course and an in depth 6 year review of each School's (total)

educational provision known as the Senate Review. For collaborative partnerships, in addition to the Annual Reflective Review there is an Annual Operating Statement and a 5 year review known as a Focussed Review which looks at each course in depth. In addition occasional site inspection visits are made.

Each course has at least one External Examiner who monitors all aspects of the assessment process. This is in line with the guidance provided by the QAA particularly in Chapter B7 (External Examining) which emphasises that external examining is one of the principal means for maintaining UK threshold academic standards within autonomous higher education institutions.

Each course has a formally constituted Examination Board, which includes the External Examiner, and which is responsible for ensuring that awards are made within the Regulations of the University and that students are made awards on the basis of meeting the specified Intended Learning Outcomes of a course at the appropriate standard.

Each course has a formally constituted Course Committee which meets at least twice a year to discuss, inter alia, programme design and planning, the student experience (including feedback) and student progress.

Each course has an Industry Advisory Panel (or similar) which meets at least once a year to engage with external stakeholders on curriculum design and currency of course content.

Student feedback both qualitative and quantitative is collected for each module studied. In addition students are invited to participate in the University's annual New Student Survey and Student Satisfaction Survey along with the annual national Postgraduate Taught Student Experience Survey. The results of all feedback are considered by the Course Committee and additionally, in respect of the University and national surveys, issues of quality are considered by and acted on where appropriate by the Education Committee, Senate, School and University Executives.

9. What opportunities are graduates likely to have on completing the course?

In terms of the likely career paths and employability of graduates completing the course, please refer to section 2. Students are sponsored by an employing organisation and are generally seeking a change in role that brings higher levels of formal responsibility, a broadening of existing skills and capabilities and a greater level of professionalism.

COURSE SPECIFICATION



Cranfield University: Course Specifications

Course specifications outline the content and structure of a course leading to an award of Cranfield University. This version of the course specification has been approved by Education Committee and every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information. Courses are under constant review, however, and the University reserves the right, without notice, to withdraw, update or amend this course specification at any time.

COURSE TITLE: Pre-Masters Course in Engineering

Date of first publication/latest revision: September 2016

1. What is the course?

Course information

T
Pre-Masters Course in Engineering
QPSOEFQC
2016/17
Not Applicable
Not Applicable
Full-time
Cranfield University
School of Aerospace, Transport and Manufacturing
Aerospace
Centre for Aeronautics
Dr Amir Zare Shahneh
Cranfield University
Cranfield University
Cranfield University
Ordinary degree or HND (with 3 years' experience) in engineering and physical science disciplines. Previous experience, aptitude and level of academic achievement will be assessed.
QAA FHEQ Level 6/Level 7
Not Applicable
10 Months
October

Institutions delivering the course

This course is delivered by School of Aerospace, Transport and Manufacturing, Aerospace Theme, Centre for Aeronautics where the research interests include:

- Design of Environmentally Friendly Aircraft
- Blended Wing Body (BWB) Aircraft
- Unmanned Air Vehicles (UAV's)

Cranfield University remains fully responsible for the quality of the delivery of the course.

Accreditation by Public, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)

This course is not accredited by any external bodies.

2. What are the aims of the course?

Cranfield University offers this course in order to:

- develop the personal and professional skills needed in the Master's courses and later during the development of the student's career;
- introduce the students to the different aspects of aeronautical and mechanical engineering and lead them into their chosen MSc disciplines;
- refresh and enhance student understanding of engineering sciences and mathematics as applied to the appropriate engineering industries;
- enhance students technical English language skills and knowledge of research methods before entering their chosen MSc courses;
- give students experience of working on open ended project problems in preparation for their MSc Courses and subsequently their careers.

The course is intended for the following range of students:

- Wish to change career direction.
- Have been out of formal education for some time and wish to enhance their knowledge before entering our engineering MSc courses.
- Has a first degree in engineering, physics or mathematics that does not meet the standard entry requirements for a Cranfield MSc.
- EU & overseas students wishing to enhance their technical English language skills and knowledge of research methods before entering our engineering MSc courses.
- Hold a UK Ordinary/Pass degree in engineering & physical science disciplines (or equivalent).

This access course is unique and distinctive because it will develop the student's personal and professional skills needed for a Master's degree and their future career development. In addition, the course will refresh and enhance the student understanding of engineering sciences and mathematics as applied to the appropriate engineering industries.

3. What should students expect to achieve in completing the course?

Course intended learning outcomes (ILOs) (skills and knowledge).

A. Pre-Masters Course in Engineering

In completing this course successfully, a diligent student should be able to:

ILO 1. Obtain a working knowledge of engineering fundamentals and demonstrate understanding of concepts, theories and principles of engineering subject relevant to the chosen MSc course. These are achieved by successfully completing the modules offered within the course, such as Mechanical Design, Propulsion & Power, Basic Aerodynamics, Aeronautical Engineering, etc.;

- ILO 2. Reinforce the necessary facility in mathematics to be applied when solving engineering problems;
- ILO 3. Apply appropriate engineering tools to the analysis of problems by gaining confidence in working with modern computer systems and software packages, such as Visual Basic and CATIA;
- ILO 4. Gain some experience in the use of appropriate practical engineering equipment and skills such as test machines and workshops;
- ILO 5. Manage their time and individual study necessary to undertake a project or other assignment needing creative initiative from the student;
- ILO 6. Develop their skills in presenting work and results successfully to a variety of audiences:
- ILO 7. Use with confidence communication technical English language skills as applied to engineering projects;
- ILO 8. Undertake a structured approach to research for individual projects at master level.

4. How is the course taught?

The course consists of two major groups of elements:

- Lecture Courses; All the lecture courses are mandatory. The only exception is the Academic English language module where it is required for EU/Overseas students needing to improve their academic English prior to attending MSc courses. Students who do not require the Academic English module will carry out further investigations and study on their Individual Project II. This activity will be included in the final project report and will be assessed by the student's supervisor.
- Individual Projects I and II; The Individual Projects I and II aim to provide students wishing
 to progress to MSc. Courses, with exposure to, and experience of, research projects
 similar to the Individual Research Projects to be performed during the MSc year.

Students will be supported in their learning and personal development by:

- Extensive computer network and IT facilities.
- Library facilities including journals, papers, and numerous databases.
- A dedicated course electronic Blackboard.

5. What do students need to achieve in order to proceed to a Masters Course?

Notwithstanding University Regulations and the authorities and powers exercised by examiners, students will normally need to demonstrate achievement in the elements of the course, as laid out in Section 7. Courses are structured through the accumulation of credit, where 1 credit represents 10 notional learning hours.

In brief, students will normally need to achieve the following in order to proceed to a Masters Course:

A. Pre-Masters Course in Engineering

The accumulation of 200 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 1-11 and 13	140
If initial assessment of English Language level is assessed as 'must attend':	
Module 12 (Academic English Language) andModule 14 (Individual Project I)	10 50
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
If initial assessment of English Language level is assessed as either 'should attend' or 'do not need to attend' either: • Module 12 (Academic English Language) and • Module 14 (Individual Project I)	10 50
or	
Module 15 (Individual Project II)	60
TOTAL:	200

If a student does not meet the required standards for the award, the examiners for the programme may decide to offer a lower award associated with the programme, providing that a lower exit award exists and the student meets the requirements of that lower award.

Pass Criteria

In order to proceed to an MSc course students are required to achieve:

- An overall average mark of ≥50%;
- An average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessment;
- All assessments need to be completed and the minimum mark attained: no more than one
 failure to complete an assessment (as defined in Section 2.3) will be permitted throughout
 the course of your studies (Please note that the board of examiners does <u>not</u> have
 discretion to overrule this limit, but can refer a case to Senate's Education Committee);^{1 2}
- **For Taught Assessments,** the minimum mark for each individual taught assessment <u>on the first attempt</u> for the significant majority of the taught assessments, noting that:
 - if you fail to attain the minimum mark for <u>up to 30 learning credits</u>, you will be permitted to re-take all of those assessments (except for circumstances where a resit award capped at 50% would be insufficient to achieve an overall average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessments);

For students who were registered before 1 August 2015, the requirement to obtain a minimum mark for a taught assessment will not apply for taught assessment taken before 31 August 2015 (unless the assessment was designated as a "key assessment" under the previous Assessment Rules).

4

Providing the minimum mark is met, a mark of 40-49% will be automatically compensated if a student's overall average taught assessment mark (including the failed assessment) is greater than 50%. Students are advised, however, that they retain the right to re-take an assessment with a mark of <40% (but should note that a re-take attempt will be capped at 50%), as long as they haven't failed more than 30 credits. At the discretion of the Board of Examiners or by Board of Examiners Chair's Actions a student may be permitted a re-take attempt of modules in the range of 40-49% only if the average mark of their other taught modules would not allow them to qualify for their award (<50%).

- o if, having failed to attain the minimum mark for 30 learning credits, you fail to obtain the minimum mark for <u>any additional learning credits</u> over the course of your studies you will be disqualified from the right to re-take the assessments: this will normally result in intended award failure. (Please note the board of examiners may at its discretion overrule this limit, but this is not an automatic right);
- it is <u>not</u> permissible for you to fail an elective module and then proceed to take a different elective module in its place.
- For Substantial pieces of assessment (corresponding to ≥40 credits, which are not part of the taught assessment average), the pass mark of ≥50% (where they exist);
- For the thesis, a mark of ≥50% in order to receive a pass (where it exists).

6. How is the course structured?

Full-time students register for the course at the end of September/beginning of October and are expected to complete the course by August of the following year.

The majority of the taught components are structured to be delivered during October to April while formal examinations will take place between December and April. The majority of the individual project activities will take place between March and August. The individual project will end by submitting an individual report and presenting the work to panel of staff members and supervisors.

Course modules

The following modules outline all parts of the programme leading to Pre-Masters Course in Engineering. Other awards associated with the course include some or all of these modules.

					бı				Calenda	ır				Ass	essn	nent		
					v Visiting		N X		ø.		or ,		pendent essment		ulti-p essn	art nent	Submiss	ion dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared?	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ³ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸		Assessment / Exam Retake date
1	N-PY- MD	Mechanical Design	Jack Stockford	20		10	Ν		01/02/17	10/03/17	40 40	ICW EX	20 80				17/03/17 04/04/17	26/06/17 (EX)
2	N-PY- ESA	Engineering Stress Analysis	Dr Wenli Liu	20		10	N		14/10/16	11/11/16	40 40	ICW EX	20 80				09/12/16 02/12/16	27/06/17 (EX)

Assessment Types: AO - Attendance only; ICW - Individual Coursework; GCW - Group Coursework; IPRES - Individual Presentation; GPRES - Group Presentation; IPRAC - Individual Presentation; GPRAC - Group - GPRAC - GPR Practical: IPROJ - Individual Project (>20 credits): GPROJ - Group Project (>20 credits): EX - Examination: RP - Reflective Portfolio: OR- Viva Voce examination: THESIS - thesis

³ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

⁴ Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

⁵ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

⁶ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually.

⁷ For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

⁸ Failure to submit an element of a multi-part assessment will not require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then **all** elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

⁹ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

					Đ.				Calenda	ır	-			Ass	essm	nent		
					y Visiting		N N		Φ	4)	6 or		pendent essment	Multi-part Assessment			Submission dates	
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ² - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
3	N-PY-AE	Aeronautical Engineering	Jack Stockford	20		10	N		31/10/16	18/11/16	40	EX	100				14/12/16	28/06/17
4	N-PY- BAEM	Basic Aerodynamics	Dr Amir Zare Shahneh	20		10	N		03/10/16	21/10/16	40	EX	100				09/01/17	29/06/17
5	N-PY-PP	Propulsion and Power	Prof Peri Pilidis	20		10	N		20/01/17	17/03/17	40	EX	100				26/04/17	30/06/17
6	N-PY-M1	Mathematics I	Kath Tipping	40		20	N		04/10/16	07/12/16	40	EX	100				05/01/17	26/06/17
7	N-PY-M2	Mathematics II	Kath Tipping	40		20	N		09/01/17	17/03/17	40	EX	100				28/04/17	30/06/17
8	N-PY- EMF	An Introduction to Engineering Materials and Failure Analysis	Dr David Ayre	26		10	N		06/02/17	20/02/17	40	EX	100				24/03/17	27/06/17
9	N-PY-T	Thermofluids	Dr Panagiotis Laskaridis	20		10	N		21/11/16	09/12/16	40	EX	100				11/01/17	28/06/17
10	N-PY- CAD	Computer Aided Design (CATIA)	Dr Adrian Clarke	15		10	N		16/01/17	25/01/17	40	ICW	100				24/02/17	30/06/17
11	N-PY- CF90	Computing Course	Dr Irfan Madani	30		10	N		10/10/16	11/11/16	40 40	ICW ICW	35 65				04/11/16 02/12/16	30/06/17

					Đ.	<u> </u>			Calenda	ır	-			Ass	essn	nent		
		y V iting		Φ				pendent essment		ulti-p essn	nent	Submission dates						
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared?`	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	<u> </u>	Minimum Mark ³ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
12	N-PY- AEL	Academic English Language	Joanne Holden	30		10	N		06/10/16	01/12/16	40 40	ICW IPRES	40 60				27/01/17 03/02/17	30/06/17
13	N-PY- RM	Research Methods	Dr Amir Zare Shahneh	20		10	N		17/01/17	02/03/17	40 40	ICW IPRES	40 60				10/02/17 02/03/17	30/06/17
14	N-PY- IP1	Individual Project I	Various	30		50	N		07/11/16	05/07/17		THESIS OR	90 10				02/07/17 05/07/17	
15	N-PY- IP2	Individual Project II	Various	30		60	N		07/11/16	05/07/17		THESIS OR	90 10				02/07/17 05/07/17	

Please list all modules that are shared with another existing course.

Module code	Module title	Course that owns the module	Course(s)/programme(s) that share the module

7. How are the ILOs assessed?

The following assessment types are utilised:

The Students are assessed by a combination of 9 written examinations, 6 pieces of assessment by written assignments, 1 element of assessment by oral presentation. In addition the Individual Project I & II will be examined by a report and oral presentation.

This approach has been adopted to ensure that students develop their personal and professional skills needed for a Master's degree and the use the methodologies, philosophies and tools used in industry to provide them with the experience of working on engineering related projects.

Assessment and ILO Mapping

Complete the grid below by inserting in the boxes which assessments from the modules directly assess the Course ILOs.

(Module numbers should correspond with those used in the Course module table above.)

For Example:

Course ILOs Module									
No.	ILO 1.	ILO 2.	ILO 3.	ILO 4.	ILO 5.	ILO 6.	ILO 7.	ILO 8.	
98	ICW				EX	EX	ICW		
99	ICW1		ICW1	ICW2					

A. Pre-Masters Course in Engineering

Course ILOs Module No.					

<u>CROSS-MODULAR ASSESSMENT</u> (including any assessment which rests outside an individual module)

	Туре	Weight (%)

8. How will the University assure the quality of the provision?

New course proposals are reviewed by a Course Validation Panel, comprising at least the following membership: normally one subject matter expert external to the School or University, at least 3 academic staff not associated with the proposal. The Panel may include 1 member of professional staff. Panels are supported by an appropriately trained Secretary who acts as advisor to the Panel. Proposals are reviewed in line with the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA) Quality Code, in particular Chapter B1 (Programme Design and Approval) and in the case of partnership arrangements in accordance with Chapter B10 (Managing Higher Education with Others). New courses are ultimately approved by the University's Education Committee, on behalf of Senate.

Course changes are approved by the School's Director of Education on behalf of Education Committee and Senate. Significant changes to a course will be referred to a Course Review Panel at the discretion of the Director of Education.

The University has in place regular monitoring procedures for quality assurance including an Annual Reflective Review for each course and an in depth 6 year review of each School's (total) educational provision known as the Senate Review. For collaborative partnerships, in addition to the Annual Reflective Review there is an Annual Operating Statement and a 5 year review known as a Focussed Review which looks at each course in depth. In addition occasional site inspection visits are made.

Each course has at least one External Examiner who monitors all aspects of the assessment process. This is in line with the guidance provided by the QAA particularly in Chapter B7 (External Examining) which emphasises that external examining is one of the principal means for maintaining UK threshold academic standards within autonomous higher education institutions.

Each course has a formally constituted Examination Board, which includes the External Examiner, and which is responsible for ensuring that awards are made within the Regulations of the University and that students are made awards on the basis of meeting the specified Intended Learning Outcomes of a course at the appropriate standard.

Each course has a formally constituted Course Committee which meets at least twice a year to discuss, inter alia, programme design and planning, the student experience (including feedback) and student progress.

Each course has an Industry Advisory Panel (or similar) which meets at least once a year to engage with external stakeholders on curriculum design and currency of course content.

Student feedback both qualitative and quantitative is collected for each module studied. In addition students are invited to participate in the University's annual New Student Survey and Student Satisfaction Survey along with the annual national Postgraduate Taught Student Experience Survey. The results of all feedback are considered by the Course Committee and additionally, in respect of the University and national surveys, issues of quality are considered by and acted on where appropriate by the Education Committee, Senate, School and University Executives.

9. What opportunities are graduates likely to have on completing the course?

The Pre-Master Course in Engineering covers many aspects of general engineering fields including aerospace, automotive and offshore. On successful completion of this programme the

Schoo Enviro	l of nmer	Aeros nt offei	pace, r stude	Transports ent	oort and rv to the	d N eir N	/lanuf //Sc c	factur cours	ring es in	and thes	the se se	Schood ctors.	ol of	Water,	Energy	and
					,											

COURSE SPECIFICATION



Cranfield University: Course Specifications

Course specifications outline the content and structure of a course leading to an award of Cranfield University. This version of the course specification has been approved by Education Committee and every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information.

COURSE TITLE: MSc in Process Systems Engineering

Date of first publication/latest revision: 05/09/16

1. What is the course?

Course information

Course Title	Process Systems Engineering
Course code	MSPSEFTC, MSPSEPTC
Academic Year	2016/17
Valid entry routes	MSc
Additional exit routes	PgDip, PgCert
Mode of delivery	Full-Time, Part-Time
Location of Study	Cranfield University
School(s)	School of Water, Energy and Environment
Theme	Energy & Power
Centre	Centre for Oil and Gas Engineering
Course Director	Dr Giorgos Kopanos
Awarding Body	Cranfield University
Teaching Institution	Cranfield University
Admissions body	Cranfield University
Entry requirements	Standard University entry requirements
UK Qualifications Framework Level	QAA FHEQ Level 7 (Masters)
Benchmark Statement(s)	Not Applicable
Registration Period(s) available	1 year Full-Time, 3 years Part-time
Course Start Month(s)	October

Institutions delivering the course

This course is delivered by the School of Water, Energy and Environment and Agrifood, Energy Theme, Centre for Power Engineering where the research interests include:

- Process and Energy Systems Design, Simulation and Optimisation
- Multi-Phase Flow and Processes
- Flow Measurement
- Process Control
- Technical and Economic Viability Assessments of Conventional and Renewable Energy Systems
- Environmental Protection

Cranfield University interacts with the following institutions and in the following ways:

Teaching and/or assessment is also provided by the School of Management of Cranfield University.

Cranfield University remains fully responsible for the quality of the delivery of the course.

Accreditation by Public, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)

This course is currently seeking formal re-accredited by the Institution of Mechanical Engineers (IMechE).

2. What are the aims of the course?

Cranfield University offers this course in order to provide engineering and applied science graduates with current theory and practice of the technical and managerial aspects of process systems. Material presented in the course modules is generic and thus applicable to the design, operation and control of a wide range of process plants, including those employed by the oil and gas, petrochemical, chemical, pharmaceutical, water, food and drink and power industries. The course has evolved over the past 10 years as a result of discussions with Industrial Advisory Panels, employers, sponsors and previous students. The content of the programme of study is up-dated regularly to reflect changes arising from technical advances, economic factors and changes in legislation, regulations and standards.

This programme is intended for the following range of students:

- Engineering and applied science graduates and practicing engineers wishing to pursue a technical management career in the strongly growing process industry sector.
- Applicants are required to have at least a UK 2nd class honours degree or its equivalent.
 Applications from candidates with lesser qualifications but with considerable relevant working experience will be considered.

3. What should students expect to achieve in completing the course?

Award intended learning outcomes (ILOs) (skills and knowledge).

A. MSc

In completing this course, and achieving the associated award, a diligent student should be able to:

- ILO 1. Demonstrate competence in the current theory and practice of process systems.
- ILO 2. Demonstrate an understanding of the technical and economic issues involved in the design and operation of process plants.
- ILO 3. Apply effectively the knowledge gained to the design and control of process systems.
- ILO 4. Make effective use of a range of software employed for process modelling, optimisation and control.
- ILO 5. Demonstrate a critical awareness of current development in selected principle topics in the area of process systems technology.
- ILO 6. Demonstrate an ability to undertake independent learning, especially via the effective use of information retrieval systems.
- ILO 7. Demonstrate a competent and professional approach to problem solving.
- ILO 8. Demonstrate good time management and work effectively to deadlines.
- ILO 9. Operate effectively in a team.
- ILO 10. Demonstrate an in-depth understanding of the technical, economic and environmental issues involved in the design and operation of process plants.
- ILO 11. Demonstrate a knowledge of some key technical management principles, including project management, people management, technology marketing, product development and finance.
- ILO 12. Use of the techniques appropriate for the management of a modern process plant
- ILO 13. Communicate effectively, both orally and in writing.
- ILO 14. Integrate knowledge, understanding and skills from the taught modules in a real-life situation.
- ILO 15. Effectively work in a small project team to identify project objectives and select appropriate methodologies to address problems faced by industrial clients; collaborating with other team members to communicate findings in a professional manner in written, oral and visual forms
- ILO 16. Evaluate critically current research in selected topics in the area of process systems engineering.
- ILO 17. Develop a professional ability to undertake a critical appraisal of technical and/or commercial literature.
- ILO 18. Demonstrate an ability to manage research studies, and plan and execute processrelated projects.
- ILO 19. Define a research question, develop aim(s) and objectives, select and execute a methodology, analyse data, and evaluate findings and draw appropriate conclusions.
- ILO 20. To communicate their findings successfully via a thesis, written in an approved School style and in an oral presentation

4. How is the course taught?

Students will be supported in their learning and personal development by:

- A dedicated electronic Blackboard site
- One-day workshop in MATLAB training
- 1-day seminar in principles and techniques of process intensification
- Arrangement of attendance of relevant modules offered by other MSc programmes

The taught programme is generally delivered from October to February. Each module is generally delivered over one week.

The Group Project is delivered between March and May. Each group will typically include 4-6 students and an academic supervisor will be assigned to each group. Formal project review meetings will be held on a bi-weekly basis at which each student will be required to provide a brief presentation on the work performed to date. The academic supervisor will participate in these project review meetings to record attendance, assess the individual oral presentations and level of contribution to the project and to provide guidance as appropriate. Students taking the group project are required to participate in at least 80% of these review meetings. Additionally, it is expected that students will meet and work on the project outside of the formal meetings. A (student) project co-ordinator will be nominated to ensure that these meetings are used to good effect and appropriate minutes are taken and findings reported to the academic supervisor. Students will be required to attend in person the initial and final project review meetings. Students are required to keep a journal throughout as documentary evidence of his/her contribution to the Group Project

Part-time students will carry out a dissertation project in place of the Group project.

The Individual Research Project is typically delivered between May and September. Each student is allocated a supervisor, who will guide and assess the student work. During the Individual research project period, the supervisor and the student should meet every two weeks to review progress made and agree future actions.

5. What do students need to achieve in order to graduate?

Notwithstanding University Regulations and the authorities and powers exercised by examiners, students will normally need to demonstrate achievement in the elements of the course, as laid out in Section 7. Courses are structured through the accumulation of credit, where 1 credit represents 10 notional learning hours.

In brief, students will normally need to achieve the following in order to be awarded the qualifications:

A. Postgraduate Certificate

The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	

Management for Technology Risk & Reliability Engineering Process Plant Operations	10 10 10	
ELECTIVE MODULES:		
3 modules from Process Design and Simulation Pumps and Pumping Systems Process Measurement Systems Advanced Control Systems Computational Fluid Dynamics for Industrial Processes Thermal Systems Operation and Design	30	(10 credits per module)
TOTAL:	60	

B. Postgraduate Diploma

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Management for Technology Risk & Reliability Engineering Process Plant Operations Process Design and Simulation Group Project (Compulsory for FT Students) ELECTIVE MODULES:	10 10 10 10 40
4 modules from: Pumps and Pumping Systems Process Measurement Systems Advanced Control Systems Computational Fluid Dynamics for Industrial Processes Thermal Systems Operation and Design Dissertation in place of group project (for part time students)	40 (10 credits per module)
TOTAL:	120

C. MSc

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Management for Technology	10
Risk & Reliability Engineering	10
Process Plant Operations	10
Process Design and Simulation	10
Group Project (Compulsory for FT Students)	40
Individual research project	80

ELECTIVE MODULES:	
4 modules from	40 (10 credits per
Pumps and Pumping Systems	module)
Process Measurement Systems	
Advanced Control Systems	
Computational Fluid Dynamics for Industrial Processes	
Thermal Systems Operation and Design	
Dissertation in place of group project (for part time students)	40
TOTAL:	200

If a student does not meet the required standards for the award, the examiners for the programme may decide to offer a lower award associated with the programme, providing that a lower exit award exists and the student meets the requirements of that lower award.

Pass Criteria

The University operates standard pass criteria which can be found in the Senate Handbook on Assessment Rules.

In order to achieve your award, you are required to achieve:

- An overall average mark of ≥50%;
- An average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessment;
- All assessments need to be completed and the minimum mark attained: no more than one
 failure to complete an assessment (as defined in Section 2.3) will be permitted throughout
 the course of your studies (Please note that the board of examiners does not have
 discretion to overrule this limit, but can refer a case to Senate's Education Committee);^{1 2}
- **For Taught Assessments**, the minimum mark for each individual taught assessment <u>on</u> the first attempt for the significant majority of the taught assessments, noting that:
 - if you fail to attain the minimum mark for <u>up to 30 learning credits</u>, you will be permitted to re-take all of those assessments (except for circumstances where a resit award capped at 50% would be insufficient to achieve an overall average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessments);
 - o if, having failed to attain the minimum mark for 30 learning credits, you fail to obtain the minimum mark for <u>any additional learning credits</u> over the course of your studies you will be disqualified from the right to re-take the assessments: this will normally result in intended award failure. (Please note the board of examiners may at its discretion overrule this limit, but this is not an automatic right);
 - o it is <u>not</u> permissible for you to fail an elective module and then proceed to take a different elective module in its place.
- For Substantial pieces of assessment (corresponding to ≥40 credits, which are not part of the taught assessment average), the pass mark of ≥50% (where they exist):
- For the thesis, a mark of ≥50% in order to receive a pass (where it exists).

For students who were registered before 1 August 2015, the requirement to obtain a minimum mark for a taught assessment will not apply for taught assessment taken before 31 August 2015 (unless the assessment was designated as a "key assessment" under the previous Assessment Rules).

Providing the minimum mark is met, a mark of 40-49% will be automatically compensated if a student's overall average taught assessment mark (including the failed assessment) is greater than 50%. Students are advised, however, that they retain the right to re-take an assessment with a mark of <40% (but should note that a re-take attempt will be capped at 50%), as long as they haven't failed more than 30 credits. At the discretion of the Board of Examiners or by Board of Examiners Chair's Actions a student may be permitted a re-take attempt of modules in the range of 40-49% only if the average mark of their other taught modules would not allow them to qualify for their award (<50%).

5. How is the course structured?

Full-time students register for the course in October and are expected to complete the course within 12 calendar months.

This course is also offered on a part-time basis. Students would instead attend the required modules of the taught component according to the schedule agreed with the course director. MSc research projects are commonly undertaken in collaboration with the candidate's place of work.

Each module is taught over one week, with the second week largely free of structured teaching to allow time for more independent learning and reflection.

Postgraduate Diploma (PgDip) and Postgraduate Certificate (PgCert) exit routes are provided as fall back routes for MSc candidates.

Course modules

The following modules outline all parts of the programme leading to an MSc. Other awards associated with the course include some or all of these modules.

					gı				Calendar					A	Assessm	ent		
					/ Visiting		Υ'N		ø)	_	or or		pendent essment	Multi-	-part Ass	essment	Submiss	sion dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers 4	Credits	Is the module shared? \	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
1	I-ENE- INWK	Induction	G Drew	24		0	Υ		03/10/16	07/10/16	N/A	AO	N/A				N/A	
2	N-PSE- PPO	PSE11 Process Plant Operations	G Kopanos	30		10	Υ		10/10/16	14/10/16	40	EX	100				w/c 12/12/16	
4	N-AME- RR	PSE03 Risk and Reliability Engineering	A Kolios	30		10	Υ		07/11/16	11/11/16	40	EX	100				w/c 02/01/17	

³ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

Assessment Types: AO - Attendance only: ICW - Individual Coursework: GCW - Group Coursework: IPRES - Individual Presentation: GPRES - Group Presentation: IPRAC - Individual Practical: GPRAC - Group Coursework: IPRES - Individual Presentation: IPRAC - INDIVIDUAL PR Practical; IPROJ - Individual Project (>20 credits); GPROJ - Group Project (>20 credits); EX - Examination; RP - Reflective Portfolio; OR- Viva Voce examination; THESIS - thesis

⁴ Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

⁵ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

⁶ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually. ⁷ For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

⁸ Failure to submit an element of a multi-part assessment will not require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then all elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

⁹ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

					Đ.				Calendar		Assessment							
					y Visiting		N/Y	_	Φ	a	6 or		pendent essment	Multi	-part Ass	essment	Submiss	ion dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
3	N-PSE- FSD	PSE05 Pumps and Pumping Systems	J Amaral Teixeira	30		10	Y		24/10/16	28/10/16	40	ICW	100				FT05/01/16 PT12/11/16	
6	N-PSE- TSOD	PSE19 Thermal Systems Operation and Design	I Sher	30		10	Y		28/11/16	02/12/16				100	EX EX	50 50	w/c 02/01/17 02/01/17	
7	N-PSE- CETIP	PSE17 Computational Fluid Dynamics for Industrial Processes	P Verdin	30		10	Υ		05/12/16	09/12/16	40	ICW	100				FT 21/01/17 PT 28/01/17	
5	N-PSE- ACS	PSE12 Advanced Control Systems	Y Cao	30		10	Y		14/11/16	18/11/16	40	ICW	100				FT 26/11/16 PT 03/12/16	
8	N-PSE- PSD	PSE13 Process Design and Simulation	G Kopanos	41		10	Υ		23/01/17	27/01/17	40	GCW	100				FT 04/03/17 PT 11/03/17	
10	G-MTI	PSE02 Management for Technology	S Carver	50		10	Y		13/02/17	17/02/17	40	EX GCW	50 50				20/03/17 25/03/17	
9	N-PSE- PMS	PSE10 Process Measurement Systems	Dr Liyun Lao	30		10	Υ		06/02/17	10/02/17	40	ICW	100				FT 18/03/17 PT 25/03/17	

					бı				Calendar					ļ	Assessm	ent		
					/ Visiting		N/Y		0		or or		pendent essment	Multi-	part Ass	essment	Submiss	sion dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? \	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
11	I-ENE- GRPP	Group Project	Supervisors	16		40	Y		27/02/17	05/05/17	50 50	GPROJ ICW	80 20				02/05/17 06/05/17	
12	I-ENE- DISS	Dissertation for part time students	Supervisors	10		40	Υ		03/10/16	30/09/17	50 50	IPROJ	100				30/09/17	
13	I-ENE- THESIS	Energy Individual Research Project	Supervisors	20		80	Υ		08/05/17	08/09/17	50 50	OR THESIS	10 90				04/09/17	

Please list all modules that are shared with another existing course.

Module code	Module title	Course that owns the module	Course(s)/programme(s) that share the module
G-MTI	Management for Technology	School of Management	 Materials for Energy Systems Advanced Mechanical Engineering Biofuels Process Engineering Design of Rotating Machines Energy Supply for Low Carbon Futures Gas Energy Offshore and Ocean Technology With Offshore Materials Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology With Pipeline Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology With Offshore Renewable Energy Offshore and Ocean Technology With Subsea Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology With Subsea Engineering Renewable Energy Technology Flow Assurance for Oil and Gas Production Carbon Capture and Storage Energy Systems and Thermal Processes Process Systems Engineering
N-AME-RR	Risk and Reliability Engineering	Advanced Mechanical Engineering	 Flow Assurance for Oil and Gas Production Renewable Energy Engineering Carbon Capture and Storage Process Systems Engineering
N-PSE-ACS	Advanced Control Systems	Process Systems	Advanced Mechanical

		Engineering	 Engineering Biofuels Process Engineering Flow Assurance for Oil and Gas Production Carbon Capture and Storage Energy Systems and Thermal Processes
N-PSE- CETIP	Computational Fluid Dynamics for Industrial Processes	Process Systems Engineering	 Energy Systems and Thermal Processes Flow Assurance for Oil and Gas Production Carbon Capture and Storage
N-PSE-PSD	Process Design and Simulation	Process Systems Engineering	 Biofuels Process Engineering Carbon Capture and Storage Flow Assurance for Oil and Gas Production
N-PSE-PMS	Process Measurement Systems	Process Systems Engineering	 Flow Assurance for Oil and Gas Production Carbon Capture and Storage Energy Systems and Thermal Processes
N-PSE-PPO	Process Plant Operation	Process Systems Engineering	 Flow Assurance for Oil and Gas Production Biofuels Process Engineering Carbon Capture and Storage Cost Engineering
N-PSE-FSD	Pumps and Pumping Systems	Process Systems Engineering	Flow Assurance for Oil and Gas Production
N-PSE- TSOD	Thermal Systems Operation and Design	Process Systems Engineering	Energy Systems and Thermal Processes

7. How are the ILOs assessed?

The following assessment types are utilised:

The course uses a range of assessment types. Students can expect to have 6-8 written examinations, 7 pieces of assessment by submitted work and 4-5 elements of assessment by presentation or viva.

This approach has been adopted in order to:

- Assess the knowledge of the students using methods appropriate to the nature of the subject area
- Help the students to improve their technical writing and oral presentation skills

<u>CROSS-MODULAR ASSESSMENT</u> (including any assessment which rests outside an individual module)

Title	Modules Covered	Assessment	
		Туре	Weight (%)

8. How will the University assure the quality of the provision?

New course proposals are reviewed by a Course Validation Panel, comprising at least the following membership: normally one subject matter expert external to the School or University, at least 3 academic staff not associated with the proposal. The Panel may include 1 member of professional staff. Panels are supported by an appropriately trained Secretary who acts as advisor to the Panel. Proposals are reviewed in line with the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA) Quality Code, in particular Chapter B1 (Programme Design and Approval) and in the case of partnership arrangements in accordance with Chapter B10 (Managing Higher Education with Others). New courses are ultimately approved by the University's Education Committee, on behalf of Senate.

Course changes are approved by the School's Director of Education on behalf of Education Committee and Senate. Significant changes to a course will be referred to a Course Review Panel at the discretion of the Director of Education.

The University has in place regular monitoring procedures for quality assurance including an Annual Reflective Review for each course and an in depth 6 year review of each School's (total) educational provision known as the Senate Review. For collaborative partnerships, in addition to the Annual Reflective Review there is an Annual Operating Statement and a 5 year review known as a Focussed Review which looks at each course in depth. In addition occasional site inspection visits are made.

Each course has at least one External Examiner who monitors all aspects of the assessment process. This is in line with the guidance provided by the QAA particularly in Chapter B7 (External Examining) which emphasises that external examining is one of the principal means for maintaining UK threshold academic standards within autonomous higher education institutions.

Each course has a formally constituted Examination Board, which includes the External Examiner, and which is responsible for ensuring that awards are made within the Regulations of the University and that students are made awards on the basis of meeting the specified Intended Learning Outcomes of a course at the appropriate standard.

Each course has a formally constituted Course Committee which meets at least twice a year to discuss, inter alia, programme design and planning, the student experience (including feedback) and student progress.

Each course has an Industry Advisory Panel (or similar) which meets at least once a year to engage with external stakeholders on curriculum design and currency of course content.

Student feedback both qualitative and quantitative is collected for each module studied. In addition students are invited to participate in the University's annual New Student Survey and Student Satisfaction Survey along with the annual national Postgraduate Taught Student Experience Survey. The results of all feedback are considered by the Course Committee and additionally, in respect of the University and national surveys, issues of quality are considered by and acted on where appropriate by the Education Committee, Senate, School and University Executives.

9. What opportunities are graduates likely to have on completing the course?

Graduates of the course have been successful in gaining employment in:

- Engineering consultancies and design practices
- Industries:
 - · Oil and gas
 - Petrochemical
 - Chemical
 - Pharmaceutical
 - Water
 - Power
 - Food and drink
- Research organisations
- Academic institutions

COURSE SPECIFICATION



Cranfield University: Course Specifications

Course specifications outline the content and structure of a course leading to an award of Cranfield University. This version of the course specification has been approved by Education Committee and every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information.

COURSE TITLE: MSc in Procurement and Supply Chain Management

Date of first publication/latest revision: August 2016

1. What is the course?

Course information

Course Title	MSc in Procurement and Supply Chain Management
Course code	MSPSCFTC, PDPSCFTC, PCPSCFTC
Academic Year	2016/17
Valid entry routes	MSc
Exit routes	PgDip, PgCert
Mode of delivery	Full-time
Location of Study	Cranfield Campus
School(s)	School of Management
Theme	Leadership and Management
Centre	Centre for Demand Chain Management
Course Director	Dr Denyse Julien
Awarding Body	Cranfield University
Teaching Institution	Cranfield University
Admissions body	Cranfield University
Entry requirements	Standard University entry requirements
UK Qualifications Framework Level	QAA FHEQ Level 7 (Masters)
Benchmark Statement(s)	N/A
Registration Period(s) available	Full-time MSc - one year
Course Start Month(s)	September

Institutions delivering the course

This course is delivered by the School of Management/Centre for Demand Chain Management, where the research interests include procurement, logistics, supply chain management and marketing.

Cranfield University remains fully responsible for the quality of the delivery of the course.

Accreditation by Public, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)

This course is accredited formally by The Chartered Institute of Logistics & Transport until 2021 and The Chartered Institute of Purchasing and Supply until August 2017.

2. What are the aims of the course?

Cranfield University offers this course in order to fulfil a market demand for highly capable graduates in the field of Procurement and Supply Chain Management. This is addressed through the aims of the course, which are to provide students with:

- An overall appreciation of procurement and supply chain management and their importance to modern business.
- Appropriate technical knowledge in the key areas of procurement and supply chain management.
- Analytical, managerial and critical thinking skills that will enable them to apply this knowledge within a business environment.
- A critical understanding of the need to manage and plan supply chains within an overall business environment in an integrated and co-ordinated manner.
- Development in their ability to manage in complex and uncertain situations by focusing on soft skills such as communication, team-working and negotiation,
- Development in their ability to analyse, synthesise and critically evaluate information to take more effective management decisions.
- An understanding of the ethical and environmental implications of procurement and supply chain management decisions,

This programme is intended for graduates from a wide range of backgrounds who are interested in developing a career in procurement and supply chain management. This course may also appeal to candidates who want to move into procurement from a different management field.

Postgraduate Diploma (PgDip) and Postgraduate Certificate (PgCert) exit routes are provided for students who do not progress to the full MSc.

3. What should students expect to achieve in completing the course?

Award intended learning outcomes (ILOs) (skills and knowledge).

A. Postgraduate Certificate

In completing this course, and achieving the associated award, a diligent student should be able to:

- ILO 1. Be able to identify appropriate techniques to address specific challenges in supply chain management.
- ILO 2. Analyse and solve supply chain problems systematically.
- ILO 3. Make reasoned judgements in the absence of complete data.
- ILO 4. Critically evaluate the application of current supply chain management research and evaluate its relevance to organisational practice.
- ILO 5. Communicate their conclusions clearly to specialist and non-specialist audiences.

B. Postgraduate Diploma

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 6. Possess a systematic understanding of supply chain knowledge, and a critical awareness of current supply chain problems and new thinking at the forefront of their discipline.
- ILO 7. Be able to be original in the application of knowledge, together with a practical understanding of the analytical and managerial skills that will enable them to apply this knowledge within an overall business environment in a logical and coherent manner.
- ILO 8. Be able to analyse and solve complex procurement and supply chain problems systematically and creatively.
- ILO 9. Demonstrate self-direction and originality in solving supply chain problems and to act professionally in planning and implementing tasks and projects.
- ILO 10. Demonstrate additional transferrable skills, including; effective communication, consultancy, project management, negotiation, cultural awareness and leadership.

C MSc

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 11. Independently and confidently be able to apply procurement and supply management theories, tools and techniques to a variety of situations.
- ILO 12. Demonstrate the ability to adapt appropriate procurement and supply management frameworks and contextualise for a specific organisational issue accurately.
- ILO 13. Display practical ability in self-directed research, data gathering, data analysis and interpretation, report writing and presentation skills.
- ILO 14. Judge appropriate research methodologies for conducting research, and draw justifiable inferences from the data and analysis generated.
- ILO 15. Critically evaluate and synthesis the published literature.
- ILO 16. Undertake independent study on a relevant procurement and supply management subject, demonstrating the ability to plan, manage and execute an industrial (private or public sectors) or research based project with specified time scales.
- ILO 17. Produce a high quality thesis and critically evaluate the interpretations of the data.

4. How is the course taught?

Students will be supported in their learning and personal development by:

- Lectures
- Student centred learning/reflection
- Case studies
- Workshops
- Video and audio materials
- Simulation
- Tutorials
- Problem based learning projects
- The supply chain game played over an extended period is designed to develop team working skills and also as activity which acts to integrate skills and knowledge learned elsewhere on the course.
- Individual research project with academic supervisors

In addition to these methods the programme offers:

- Orientation week
- An international study tour which takes place in Term 3
- A programme of visits and lectures by external speakers
- Learning teams supported by an academic tutor
- Extensive use is made of BlackBoard (VLE) as a means of delivering material to support and augment classroom learning
- Library induction, referencing and plagiarism sessions
- PDP specifically supported through SOM careers development sessions

The aim is to provide a varied, stimulating and experiential learning environment. All taught modules consist of formal lecturers, in-class case discussions, group and self-study. Group project work, reflective practice and class exercises are used to develop problem solving skills. The students are exposed to leading procurement and supply chain concepts through the use of expert external speakers and the output of faculty research.

Two of the key elements of the teaching and learning strategy of the course are centred on the individual thesis where the focus is on problem analysis and solution development of a sponsoring organisations supply chain problem. Tutorial support is given to aid the students to develop their own skills and to apply what has been taught on the course.

5. What do students need to achieve in order to graduate?

Notwithstanding University Regulations and the authorities and powers exercised by examiners, students will normally need to demonstrate achievement in the elements of the course, as laid out in Section 7. Courses are structured through the accumulation of credit, where 1 credit represents 10 notional learning hours.

In brief, students will normally need to achieve the following in order to be awarded the qualifications:

A. Postgraduate Certificate

The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Module 1 plus 50 credits from the taught modules	60
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
N/A	N/A
TOTAL:	60

B. Postgraduate Diploma

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
10 x 10-credit modules (1-10) 2 x 5-credit modules (11-12)	100 10
ELECTIVE MODULES:	

2 x 5-credit modules (13-21)	10
TOTAL:	120

C. MSc

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
10 x 10-credit modules (1-10)	100
2 x 5-credit modules (11-12)	10
Personal Development (22)	0
Research Methods (23)	0
Individual Thesis (24)	80
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
2 x 5-credit modules (13-21)	10
TOTAL:	200

If a student does not meet the required standards for the award, the examiners for the programme may decide to offer a lower award associated with the programme, providing that a lower exit award exists and the student meets the requirements of that lower award.

Pass Criteria

The University operates standard pass criteria which can be found in the Senate Handbook on Assessment Rules.

In order to achieve your award, you are required to achieve:

- An overall average mark of ≥50%;
- An average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessment;
- All assessments need to be completed and the minimum mark attained: no more than one
 failure to complete an assessment (as defined in Section 2.3) will be permitted throughout
 the course of your studies (Please note that the board of examiners does not have
 discretion to overrule this limit, but can refer a case to Senate's Education Committee);^{1 2}
- **For Taught Assessments**, the minimum mark for each individual taught assessment <u>on</u> the first attempt for the significant majority of the taught assessments, noting that:
 - if you fail to attain the minimum mark for <u>up to 30 learning credits</u>, you will be permitted to re-take all of those assessments (except for circumstances where a resit award capped at 50% would be insufficient to achieve an overall average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessments);
 - o if, having failed to attain the minimum mark for 30 learning credits, you fail to obtain the minimum mark for **any additional learning credits** over the course of your

For students who were registered before 1 August 2015, the requirement to obtain a minimum mark for a taught assessment will not apply for taught assessment taken before 31 August 2015 (unless the assessment was designated as a "key assessment" under the previous Assessment Rules).

Providing the minimum mark is met, a mark of 40-49% will be automatically compensated if a student's overall average taught assessment mark (including the failed assessment) is greater than 50%. Students are advised, however, that they retain the right to re-take an assessment with a mark of <40% (but should note that a re-take attempt will be capped at 50%), as long as they haven't failed more than 30 credits. At the discretion of the Board of Examiners or by Board of Examiners Chair's Actions a student may be permitted a re-take attempt of modules in the range of 40-49% only if the average mark of their other taught modules would not allow them to qualify for their award (<50%).

- studies you will be disqualified from the right to re-take the assessments: this will normally result in intended award failure. (Please note the board of examiners may at its discretion overrule this limit, but this is not an automatic right);
- it is <u>not</u> permissible for you to fail an elective module and then proceed to take a different elective module in its place.
- For Substantial pieces of assessment (corresponding to ≥40 credits, which are not part of the taught assessment average), the pass mark of ≥50% (where they exist);
- For the thesis, a mark of ≥50% in order to receive a pass (where it exists).

6. How is the course structured?

Full-time students register for the course in September and are expected to complete the course in September the following year.

The course is structured around four eleven week terms. In the first from September to December the students are given a thorough grounding in procurement and supply chain management through a series of six compulsory core elements, including the participation in a supply chain game, which integrates students' learning from the course and develops their team working skills.

In the second term from January to March, students study the remaining four compulsory 10 credit modules, two procurement 5 credit modules and two 5 credit options. The electives allow the students to start to specialise and to tailor their learning to their own interests within procurement and supply chain management.

The third and fourth terms are effectively merged and during this period the students undertake an individual thesis project. It is expected that the majority of students will undertake this thesis project within an organisation, which can be in the profit or not for profit sector. Alternatively, students can undertake a Cranfield led research based thesis project.

Course modules

The following modules outline all parts of the programme leading to an MSc. Other awards associated with the course include some or all of these modules.

					g				Calendar		Assessment							
					/ Visiting		N/Y		o)		o or		endent ssment	Multi-p	art Asses			ion dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared?	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark° - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
1	M-L/PSP	Principles of Strategic Procurement	Farooq Habib	25		10	Y	03/10/16	03/10/16	16/12/16	40	ICW	100				15/12/16	
2	M-L/SCSS	Supply Chain Strategy and Sustainability	Dr Heather Skipworth	25		10	Y	03/10/16	03/10/16	16/12/16	40 40	GPRES ICW	25 75				07/11/16 24/11/16	
3	M-L/ACF	Accounting and Finance	Dr Simon Templar	25		10	Y	03/10/16	03/10/16	16/12/16	40	EX	100				w/c 12/12/16	
4	M-L/ATS	Analytical Techniques for Supply Chain Management	Dr Emel Aktas	25		10	Υ	03/10/16	03/10/16	16/12/16	40	EX	100				w/c 12/12/16	
5	M-L/FRT	Freight Transport	Melvyn Peters	25		10	Υ	03/10/16	03/10/16	16/12/16	40	ICW	100				13/01/17	

³ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

⁵ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

⁶ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually.

⁷ For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

⁸ Failure to submit an element of a **multi-part assessment** will **not** require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then **all** elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

⁹ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

					<u>g</u>				Calendar		Assessment							
					y Visiting		N N		Φ	4)	or or	Independent Assessment		Multi-p	art Assess		Submission dates	
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark° - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment8	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
6	M-L/IOM	Inventory and Operations Management	Dr Benny Tjahjono	25		10	Y	03/10/16	03/10/16	16/12/16	40			100 MULTI	GCW	80 20	18/01/17	
7	M-L/ISB	Information Systems and e-Business	Dr Vahid Mirza Beiki	25		10	Y	09/01/17	09/01/17	24/03/17	40 40	GCW ICW	80 20				25/03/17 25/03/17	
8	M-M/PMI	Project Management Introduction	John Algar	20		10	Y	30/01/17	30/01/17	03/02/17	40	EX	40	60 MULTI	GCW GPRAC GPRES	10 30 20	03/02/17	
9	M-P/SSE	Supplier Selection and Evaluation	Dr Soroosh Saghiri	25		10	N	13/01/17	13/01/17	10/03/17	40	ICW	100				10/04/17	
10	M-P/NCM	Negotiation and Contract Management	Dr Ian Speakman	25		10	N	20/01/17	20/01/17	17/03/17	40 40	GPRAC ICW	30 70				17/03/17 24/03/17	
11	M-P/BPO	Business Process Outsourcing	Dr Vahid Mirza Beiki	12		5	Y	09/01/17	09/01/17	24/03/17	40			100 MULTI	GCW ICW	75 25	17/02/17	
12	M-P/RSC	Designing and Managing Resilient Supply Chains	Dr Uta Jüttner	12		5	Υ	09/01/17	09/01/17	24/03/17	40	GCW	100				03/03/17	
13	M-L/OUT	Logistics Outsourcing	Melvyn Peters	12		5	Υ	09/01/17	09/01/17	24/03/17	40	ICW	100				10/02/17	
14	M-L/PRR	Planning and Resourcing Road Freight Transport	Melvyn Peters	12		5	Υ	09/01/17	09/01/17	24/03/17	40			100 MULTI	GPRES GCW ICW	10 40 50	10/03/17 10/03/17 17/03/17	

					бı				Calendar		Assessment							
					/ Visiting		N/		Ø)		o or		endent ssment	Multi-part Assessment			Submission dates	
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ^a - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
15	M-L/HLR	Humanitarian Logistics	Dr Silvia Rossi Tafuri	12		5	Υ	09/01/17	09/01/17	24/03/17	40	ICW	100				28/04/17	
16	M-L/SIM	Simulation	Dr Nicky Yates	12		5	Υ	09/01/17	09/01/17	24/03/17	40	ICW	100				17/03/17	
17	M-L/SXS	Six Sigma	Farooq Habib	12		5	Y	09/01/17	09/01/17	24/03/17	40			100 MULTI	GCW ICW	75 25	13/04/17	
18	M-L/PFM	Performance Measurement in the Supply Chain	Dr Andrey Pavlov	12		5	Y	09/01/17	09/01/17	24/03/17	40	ICW	100				24/02/17	
19	M-L/SOP	Sales and Operations Planning	Dr Heather Skipworth	12		5	Y	09/01/17	09/01/17	24/03/17	40	ICW	100				24/04/17	
20	M-L/RLO	Retail Logistics	Prof Michael Bourlakis	12		5	Υ	09/01/17	09/01/17	24/03/17	40	ICW	100				24/03/17	
21	M-L/SNCC	Social Network Analysis in a Supply Chain Context	Dr Leila Alinaghian	12		5	Y	22/03/17	22/03/17	24/03/17	40	ICW	100				01/05/17	
22	M-L/PDV	Personal Development	Dr Denyse Julien	0		0	Υ	03/10/16	03/10/16	24/03/17	N/A	AO	N/A				N/A	
23	M-L/RSM	Research Methods	Dr Denyse Julien	14		0	Υ	10/04/17	10/04/17	30/04/17	N/A	AO	N/A				N/A	
24	M-L/THS	Individual Thesis	Supervisor	0		80	Υ	18/04/17	18/04/17	04/06/17		THESIS	100				01/09/17	

Please list all modules that are shared with another existing course.

Module	Module title	Course that owns	Course(s)/programme(s)
<u>code</u>		the module	that share the module
M-L/PSP	Principles of Strategic	Logistics and Supply	Logistics and Supply Chain
	Procurement	Chain Management	Management
M-L/SCSS	Supply Chain Strategy and	Logistics and Supply	Logistics and Supply Chain
	Sustainability	Chain Management	Management
M-L/ACF	Accounting and Finance	Logistics and Supply	Logistics and Supply Chain
		Chain Management	Management
M-L/ATS	Analytical Techniques for	Logistics and Supply	Logistics and Supply Chain
	Supply Chain Management	Chain Management	Management
M-L/FRT	Freight Transport	Logistics and Supply	Logistics and Supply Chain
101 = 2/1 1 (1	Treight Transport	Chain Management	Management Supply Chair
M-L/IOM	Inventory and Operations	Logistics and Supply	Logistics and Supply Chain
IVI-L/IOIVI	Management	Chain Management	Management
M-L/ISB			Logistics and Supply Chain
IVI-L/IOD	Information Systems and e-	Logistics and Supply	
14 14/D14	Business	Chain Management	Management
M-M/PMI	Project Management	MBA	MBA, Logistics and Supply
	Introduction		Chain Management
M-P/BPO	Business Process Outsourcing	Procurement and	Logistics and Supply Chain
		Supply Chain	Management
		Management	
M-P/RSC	Designing and Managing	Procurement and	Logistics and Supply Chain
	Resilient Supply Chains	Supply Chain	Management
		Management	
M-L/OUT	Logistics Outsourcing	Logistics and Supply	Logistics and Supply Chain
		Chain Management	Management
M-L/PRR	Planning and Resourcing	Logistics and Supply	Logistics and Supply Chain
	Road Freight Transport	Chain Management	Management
M-L/HLR	Humanitarian Logistics	Logistics and Supply	Logistics and Supply Chain
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Chain Management	Management
M-L/SIM	Simulation	Logistics and Supply	Logistics and Supply Chain
101 27 01101	Cirrialation	Chain Management	Management
M-L/SXS	Six Sigma	Logistics and Supply	Logistics and Supply Chain
IVI-L/3/3	SIX Sigilia	Chain Management	Management
M-L/PFM	Dorformanaa Magauramant in		
IVI-L/PFIVI	Performance Measurement in	Logistics and Supply	Logistics and Supply Chain
M 1 (000	the Supply Chain	Chain Management	Management
M-L/SOP	Sales and Operations Planning	Logistics and Supply	Logistics and Supply Chain
		Chain Management	Management
M-L/RLO	Retail Logistics	Logistics and Supply	Logistics and Supply Chain
		Chain Management	Management
M-L/[new	Social Network Analysis in a	Logistics and Supply	Logistics and Supply Chain
code]	Supply Chain Context	Chain Management	Management
M-L/PDV	Personal Development	Logistics and Supply	Logistics and Supply Chain
	-	Chain Management	Management
M-L/RSM	Research Methods	Logistics and Supply	Logistics and Supply Chain
		Chain Management	Management
M-L/THS	Individual Thesis	Logistics and Supply	Logistics and Supply Chain
		Chain Management	Management
I	_1		

7. How are the ILOs assessed?

The following assessment types are utilised:

- Technical reports, case analysis, simulations, use of computer packages to analyse problems, and examinations.
- The individual thesis is focused on real world problems and is also used in assessing the course.

This approach has been adopted because:

A wide range of assessments are used on the course in order to determine whether or not course, module and lesson learning objectives are achieved. These assessments are used to monitor student progress and to inform the teaching learning strategies of the course and individuals teaching on the course.

Assessment and ILO Mapping

Complete the grid below by inserting in the boxes which assessments from the modules directly assess the Award ILOs.

Award ILOs																	
	ILO1	ILO2	ILO3	ILO4	ILO5	ILO6	ILO7	ILO8	ILO9	ILO10	ILO11	ILO12	ILO13	ILO14	ILO15	ILO16	ILO17
			rtificate Mana	gemen	t	ain	PG Dip	oloma ii nd Sup	n Procu ply Cha gement	irement ain	t MSc in Procurement and Supply Chain Management						
1	✓	√		✓	✓	✓				✓		✓					
2		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓		✓					
3				✓				✓									
4	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓				✓					
5		✓		✓	✓	✓											
6	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓		✓					
7	✓			✓						✓							
8	✓		✓		✓				✓	✓							
9	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
10	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓			✓	✓							
11			✓		✓	✓				✓	✓	✓					
12	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓						
13																	
14																	
15	✓				✓	✓				✓	✓						
16																	
17	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓				✓	✓	✓					
18					✓	✓				✓	✓		✓				
19	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓				✓	✓						
20																	
21																	
22			1		✓				✓			√	√			✓	
23				✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	√	✓	✓	✓
24				✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	1	✓	✓	✓

<u>CROSS-MODULAR ASSESSMENT</u> (including any assessment which rests outside an individual module)

Title	Modules Covered	Assessment		
		Туре	Weight (%)	
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
		N/A	N/A	

8. How will the University assure the quality of the provision?

New course proposals are reviewed by a Course Validation Panel, comprising at least the following membership: normally one subject matter expert external to the School or University, at least 3 academic staff not associated with the proposal. The Panel may include 1 member of professional staff. Panels are supported by an appropriately trained Secretary who acts as advisor to the Panel. Proposals are reviewed in line with the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA) Quality Code, in particular Chapter B1 (Programme Design and Approval) and in the case of partnership arrangements in accordance with Chapter B10 (Managing Higher Education with Others). New courses are ultimately approved by the University's Education Committee, on behalf of Senate.

Course changes are approved by the School's Director of Education on behalf of Education Committee and Senate. Significant changes to a course will be referred to a Course Review Panel at the discretion of the Director of Education.

The University has in place regular monitoring procedures for quality assurance including an Annual Reflective Review for each course and an in depth 6 year review of each School's (total) educational provision known as the Senate Review. For collaborative partnerships, in addition to the Annual Reflective Review there is an Annual Operating Statement and a 5 year review known as a Focussed Review which looks at each course in depth. In addition occasional site inspection visits are made.

Each course has at least one External Examiner who monitors all aspects of the assessment process. This is in line with the guidance provided by the QAA particularly in Chapter B7 (External Examining) which emphasises that external examining is one of the principal means for maintaining UK threshold academic standards within autonomous higher education institutions.

Each course has a formally constituted Examination Board, which includes the External Examiner, and which is responsible for ensuring that awards are made within the Regulations of the University and that students are made awards on the basis of meeting the specified Intended Learning Outcomes of a course at the appropriate standard.

Each course has a formally constituted Course Committee which meets at least twice a year to discuss, inter alia, programme design and planning, the student experience (including feedback) and student progress.

Each course has an Industry Advisory Panel (or similar) which meets at least once a year to engage with external stakeholders on curriculum design and currency of course content.

Student feedback both qualitative and quantitative is collected for each module studied. In addition students are invited to participate in the University's annual New Student Survey and Student Satisfaction Survey along with the annual national Postgraduate Taught Student Experience Survey. The results of all feedback are considered by the Course Committee and additionally, in respect of the University and national surveys, issues of quality are considered by and acted on where appropriate by the Education Committee, Senate, School and University Executives.

9. What opportunities are graduates likely to have on completing the course?

As supply chains become longer and more complex, the job market increasingly demands graduates with procurement skills and expertise. Hays' (2014) survey indicates that the most important recruitment requirement for many organisations is to attract in new procurement talent. In manufacturing sectors, professionals with analytical, planning and leadership skills who can manage complex procurement and supply processes are increasingly sought after. The situation in the public sector is also promising, as the role procurement plays in containing costs has

resulted in increased public scrutiny and government interest. Thus, there is a strong demand for a range of procurement professionals across the private and public sectors.

In the UK, the job market is confronting a shortage of procurement professionals. Hays' (2014) survey underlines the existing concerns about finding experienced, qualified, skilful candidates for available vacancies. The survey shows that more than one third of companies cannot find well-qualified procurement professionals, and skills shortage is a problem for nearly half of the companies. This trend is not only limited to the UK; research in Europe, the USA and the Asia Pacific region also indicate a shortage of talent in procurement and supply. This situation presents candidates with a fertile ground to find job opportunities after completing the course.

Reference: Hays (2014), Driving strategic value creating a higher profile. HAYS Recruiting Experts in Procurement, hays.co.uk.

COURSE SPECIFICATION



Cranfield University: Course Specifications

Course specifications outline the content and structure of a course leading to an award of Cranfield University. This version of the course specification has been approved by Education Committee and every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information. Courses are under constant review, however, and the University reserves the right, without notice, to withdraw, update or amend this course specification at any time.

COURSE TITLE: MSc in Programme and Project Management

Date of first publication/latest revision: November 2016

1. What is the course?

Course information

Course Title	MSc in Programme and Project Management
Course code	MSPPMPTR, PDPPMPTR, PCPPMPTR
Academic Year	2016-17
Valid entry routes	MSc, PgDip, PgCert.
Additional exit routes	PgDip, PgCert
Mode of delivery	Part-Time
Location of Study	Shrivenham
School(s)	Cranfield School of Management and Cranfield Defence and Security
Theme	Leadership and Management
Centre	Cranfield University, School of Management
Course Director	Aidan Turner
Awarding Body	Cranfield University,
Teaching Institution	Cranfield University,
Admissions body	Cranfield University
Entry requirements	UK 1st or 2nd class honours degree in relevant subject areas or international equivalent or relevant work experience in combination with or without a degree below 2nd class honours
UK Qualifications Framework Level	QAA FHEQ Level 7 (Masters))
Benchmark Statement(s)	N/A
Registration Period(s) available	5 years for MoD Part-time
Course Start Month(s)	January

Institutions delivering the course

This course is delivered by Cranfield University School of Management and Cranfield Defence and Security where the research interests include a wide range of private and public sector management issues.

Cranfield University remains fully responsible for the quality of the delivery of the course.

Accreditation by Public, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)

The MSc is accredited formally by Association for Project Management APM until April 2017.

2. What are the aims of the course?

Cranfield University aims to bring together programme and project managers to maximise their understanding, develop new skills and competences and encourage new solutions for previously unsolved project and programme related problems. In particular:

- 1. To equip students so that upon completion of their MSc dissertation, students will be able to facilitate the development of future knowledge in the subject area from a practice perspective.
- 2. To develop the capabilities to conduct independent research into an aspect of programme management, strategic project management or programme leadership in a defence management or government context.
- 3. To enable students to demonstrate critical awareness and evaluation of current research and advanced practice in the field of managing programmes of projects.

This post-experience programme is intended for project/programme professionals or those who are actively involved in projects/programmes in their organisations. A typical participant would normally:

- 1. Have been in a management or command position for at least 2 years and have had relevant experience for a minimum of 5 years **and**
- 2. Hold a relevant recognised UK degree with honours in class 1 or 2 or
- 3. Hold academic or professional qualifications judged equivalent to a degree or
- 4. Have met specific standards, as prescribed by Cranfield University, designed to assess numeracy, verbal reasoning, report writing, presentation and interview skills to MSc entrance level.

3. What should students expect to achieve in completing the course?

Award intended learning outcomes (ILOs) (skills and knowledge).

A. Postgraduate Certificate

In completing this course, and achieving the associated award, a diligent student should be able to:

- ILO 1. to apply the basic theoretical concepts that underpin programme and project management
- ILO 2. to analyse programme and project management literature to ensure competence in a wide range of related project management techniques
- ILO 3. to demonstrate a critical application of the general theory of strategic management and to understand its implementation through the strategic management of programmes and projects
- ILO 4. to be able to evaluate ways of planning and implementing project progress through monitoring and control, configuration management and the ways of accelerating a project

- ILO 5. to be able to carry out the financial analysis and value management of programmes and projects
- ILO 6. to make effective oral and written presentations.

B. Postgraduate Diploma

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 7. to apply the basic concepts of defining project authority, contractual agreements and procurement theory
- ILO 8. to analyse the roles and responsibilities within project work groups and the relationship between organisation and culture
- ILO 9. to analyse the fundamental principles underpinning effective teams, motivation and leadership
- ILO 10. to be able to differentiate between programme and project management and to evaluate the managerial competencies required for each
- ILO 11. to identify and analyse the key management issues that affect the success of programmes
- ILO 12. to demonstrate a critical application/analysis of current problems in the execution of programmes especially in the context of Defence related programmes
- ILO 13. to demonstrate their ability to synthesize qualitative and quantitative data from theory and practice to reach conclusions and implementable solutions
- ILO 14. students will be required to exercise initiative in developing and scoping suitable projects /programmes and carrying them out in a real-world context
- ILO 15. the various activities during the programme and the work in group projects will help students develop interpersonal skills including team working, the ability to make and argue their case, presentation skills both written and oral, individually and in teams, and interaction skills

C. MSc

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 16. to integrate their learning from the PgCert and PgDip and apply it to a research topic appropriate to their organisation
- ILO 17. to critically evaluate the techniques and literature applicable to their own research and scholarship
- ILO 18. to make professional judgements about how established techniques of enquiry are used to create and interpret knowledge that is applicable to a practical context

4. How is the course taught?

Each of the course modules is delivered via two, 2 ½-days residential workshops at Cranfield Defence and Security which is based at the Defence Academy of the United Kingdom in Shrivenham.

Students will be supported in their learning and personal development by a varied and stimulating learning environment. A typical session will consist of a mix of formal lectures, in-class case discussions, scenario simulation and role-play, 'hot-topic' debate, group work and self-study. Group project work, reflective practice, and class exercises are used to develop problem solving and communication skills. Additional practical expertise will be provided through visiting lecturers and guest speakers. Demonstrations, role plays and simulations are also part of the learning experience.

Students have access to the extensive library and on-line facilities both at Shrivenham and at the Management Information and Resources Centre at the School of Management. Students are encouraged to make regular contact by e-mail or phone with the relevant module leader. During

the thesis phase, students are encouraged to meet with supervisors at least twice per six month cycle.

5. What do students need to achieve in order to graduate?

Notwithstanding University Regulations and the authorities and powers exercised by examiners, students will normally need to demonstrate achievement in the elements of the course, as laid out in Section 6 above. Courses are structured through the accumulation of credit, where 1 credit represents 10 notional learning hours.

In brief, students will normally need to achieve the following in order to be awarded the qualifications:

A. Postgraduate Certificate

The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 1-4	15 credits per module
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
N/A	
TOTAL:	60

B. Postgraduate Diploma

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 1-8	15 credits per module
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
N/A	
TOTAL:	120

C. MSc

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	

Modules 1-8 Module 9 Research Methods Module 10 Thesis	120 15 65
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
N/A	
TOTAL:	200

If a student does not meet the required standards for the award, the examiners for the programme may decide to offer a lower award associated with the programme, providing that a lower exit award exists and the student meets the requirements of that lower award.

Pass Criteria

The University operates standard pass criteria which can be found in the Senate Handbook on Assessment Rules.

In order to achieve your award, you are required to achieve:

- An overall average mark of ≥50%;
- An average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessment;
- All assessments need to be completed and the minimum mark attained: no more than one
 failure to complete an assessment (as defined in Section 2.3) will be permitted throughout
 the course of your studies (Please note that the board of examiners does not have
 discretion to overrule this limit, but can refer a case to Senate's Education Committee);^{1 2}
- **For Taught Assessments**, the minimum mark for each individual taught assessment <u>on the first attempt</u> for the significant majority of the taught assessments, noting that:
 - if you fail to attain the minimum mark for <u>up to 30 learning credits</u>, you will be permitted to re-take all of those assessments (except for circumstances where a resit award capped at 50% would be insufficient to achieve an overall average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessments);
 - o if, having failed to attain the minimum mark for 30 learning credits, you fail to obtain the minimum mark for any additional learning credits over the course of your studies you will be disqualified from the right to re-take the assessments: this will normally result in intended award failure. (Please note the board of examiners may at its discretion overrule this limit, but this is not an automatic right):
 - o it is <u>not</u> permissible for you to fail an elective module and then proceed to take a different elective module in its place.
- For Substantial pieces of assessment (corresponding to ≥40 credits, which are not part of the taught assessment average), the pass mark of ≥50% (where they exist);
- For the thesis, a mark of ≥50% in order to receive a pass (where it exists).

5. How is the course structured?

_

For students who were registered before 1 August 2015, the requirement to obtain a minimum mark for a taught assessment will not apply for taught assessment taken before 31 August 2015 (unless the assessment was designated as a "key assessment" under the previous Assessment Rules).

Providing the minimum mark is met, a mark of 40-49% will be automatically compensated if a student's overall average taught assessment mark (including the failed assessment) is greater than 50%. Students are advised, however, that they retain the right to re-take an assessment with a mark of <40% (but should note that a re-take attempt will be capped at 50%), as long as they haven't failed more than 30 credits. At the discretion of the Board of Examiners or by Board of Examiners Chair's Actions a student may be permitted a re-take attempt of modules in the range of 40-49% only if the average mark of their other taught modules would not allow them to qualify for their award (<50%).

Part-time students register for the course in January and are expected to complete the course within 3 years. Whilst students are registered for five years, the normal time to complete the course is three. The first four modules are delivered in year 1 (Certificate level) and the next four modules are delivered in year 2 (Diploma level). Year 3 is set aside for the final module on Research Methods and for students to work on their thesis.

Due to the size of the cohort students will be split into Group A and Group B at the first workshop. Group A students should attend all future 'A' workshops and Group B should attend all future 'B' workshops.

Course modules

The following modules outline all parts of the programme leading to MSc. Other awards associated with the course include some or all of these modules.

			ing						Calendar						Asses	ssment		
					/ Visiting		Z ×	re-			or		pendent essment	Mult	i-part Ass	sessment	Submissi	on dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? \	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	Residential' Start Date	Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
1	R-PPM- FPPM R-PPM-	Foundations of Programme and Project Management	Mr Aidan Turner	40	Vari able	15	i e		Part 1 A&B			ICW	80	7 1 0		_	1/02/17 Group A:	7 8
	FPPM2								01/02/17 Part 2B: 08/02/17	13/02/17 10/02/17	40%	ICW	20				22/03/17 Group B: 29/03/17	
2	BCFM	Business Case and Financial Management	Dr Marco Amaral Feris	40	Vari able	15	N	22/03/17	Part 1B:	24/03/17 31/03/17	40%	GCW	80				Group A: 24/04/17 Group B: 02/05/17	

³ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

⁴ Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

⁵ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

⁶ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually.
⁷ For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

⁸ Failure to submit an element of a multi-part assessment will not require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then all elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

⁹ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

Assessment Types: CW - Coursework; EX - Examination; GPRES - Group Presentation; OR- Viva Voce examination; PRAC - practical; GPREP report on group exercise; THESIS - thesis

					Ď.				Calendar	Calendar						ssment		
					Visitir		Į Į	re-			5		ependent essment	Mult	i-part As:	sessment	Submission	on dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Visiting Lecturers 4	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark [。] - 40% or 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
	R-PPM- BCFM2								Part 2B:	26/04/17 05/05/17	40%	ICW	20				Group A: 10/06/17 Group B: 19/016/17	
3	R-PPM- PC R-PPM- PC2	Planning, Control and Performance Management	Dr. Liz Lee- Kelley	40	Vari able	15	N	12/06/17	Part 2A: 13/09/17 Part2B:	21/06/17	40% 40%		70				Group A: 13/09/17 Group B: 20/19/17 Group A: 11/10/17 Group B 18/10/17	
4	R-PPM- ROM R-PPM- ROM2	Risk and Uncertainty Management	Dr. Elmar Kutsch	40	Vari able	15	N	11/10/17	Part 1A: 11/10/17 Part1B: 18/10/17 Part 2A: 22/11/17 Part 2B: 29/11/17	16/10/17 20/10/17 24/11/17 01/12/17	<mark>40%</mark>	ICW	100				Part 1: No submissions Group A: 11/01/18 Group B: 18/01/18	
5	R-PPM- OI	The Reflective Manager: The Craft of	Mr. Jeremy Hilton	40	Vari able	15	N	11/01/17	Part 1A: 11/01/17 Part1B:	13/01/17	40%	ICW	50				Group A: 20/02/17 Group B:	

Assessment Types: CW – Coursework; EX – Examination; GPRES – Group Presentation; OR- Viva Voce examination; PRAC – practical; GPREP report on group exercise; THESIS - thesis

					б				Calendar						Asses	ssment		
					/ Visitir		Z X	re-			ō		ependent essment	Mult	i-part As:	sessment	Submission	on dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Visiting Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% or 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
	R-PPM- OI2	Managing Projects and Programmes							Part 2B:	20/01/17		ICW	50				27/02/17 Group A: 03/04/17 Group B: 10/04/17	
6	R-PPM- SCSM R-PPM-	Supply Chain and Strategic Management	Part 1: Mr Matt Summers Part 2: Professor	40	Vari able	15	N	03/04/17	Part 1A: 03/04/17 Part1 B: 10/04/17	05/04/17 12/04/17	40%	ICW	50				Group A: 08/05/17 Group B: 15/05/17	
	SCSM2		Patrick Reinmoeller						Part 2A: 08/05/17 Part 2B: 15/05/17	10/05/17 17/05/17	40%	ICW	50				Group A 19/06/17 Group B 26/06/17	
7	R-PPM- LTCOL R-PPM- LTCOL2	Organisational Learning and Leading Transformationa I Change	Dr. Neil Turner and Dr. Jacquie Drake	40	Vari able	15	Y	19/06/17	Part 1B: 26/06/17 Part 2A:	28/06/17	40%		50				Group A: 23/10/17 Group B: 30/10/17 Group A:	
									Part 2B	25/10/17 01/11/17	40%	ICW	50				23/01/18 Group B 30/01/18	

Assessment Types: CW – Coursework; EX – Examination; GPRES – Group Presentation; OR- Viva Voce examination; PRAC – practical; GPREP report on group exercise; THESIS - thesis

					рс				Calendar						Asses	ssment		
					Visiting		ا ج	re-			or		pendent essment	Mult	i-part As:	sessment	Submission	on dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% of 50% o	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	eigh odul ses	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
8	R-PPM - AP	Group Action Project	Dr. Liz Lee- Kelley	40	Vari able	15	N	18/09/17	Part 1B:	20/19/17	40% 40%	GCW	85 15				Groups A&B 15/12/17 15/12/17	·
9	R-PPM- RM R-PPM- RM2	Research Methods and Developing Personal Performance	Dr. Neil Turner and Mr Stephen Carver	40	Vari able	15	N	30/01/17	Part 1B: 08/02/17 Part 2A: 06/03/17 Part 2B:	01/02/17 Part 1B: 10/02/17	50% 50%		80				Group A 03/04/17 Group B: 02/05/16 Group A: 02/05/17 Group B 04/05/17	
10	R-PPM- DISS	Thesis	Various Supervisors	0	0	65	N	30/01/17	30/01/17	20/11/17	50%	THESIS	100				20/11/17	

6. How are the ILOs assessed?

The course uses a range of assessment types. Students can expect to have a mixture of individual and group written assessments and presentations with a final thesis dissertation.

This approach has been adopted in order to create a fit with the module contents, the mix assessment approaches and to enable formative assessment (with feedback, for instance, supporting students in their group projects and theses work).

Assessment and ILO Mapping

Complete the grid below by inserting in the boxes which assessments from the modules directly assess the Award ILOs.

(Module numbers should correspond with those used in the Course module table above.)

A. PgCert

Award ILOs Module								
No.	ILO1	ILO2	ILO3	ILO4	ILO5	ILO6		
1	ICW	ICW	ICW			ICW		
2	GCW		GCW	ICW	ICW			
3		ICW		ICW		GWC		
4			ICW	ICW		ICW		

B. PgDip

In addition to those outlined above, the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs Module									
No.	ILO7	ILO8	ILO9	ILO10	ILO11	ILO12	ILO13	ILO14	ILO15
5		ICW	ICW		ICW	ICW			
6	ICW	ICW		ICW	ICW				
7	ICW	ICW		ICW		ICW	ICW		
8	ICW		ICW	ICW			GWC	GWC	GWC

C. MSc

In addition to those outlined above, the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs						
Module No.	ILO16	ILO17	ILO18			
9	ICW	ICW				
10	THESIS	THESIS	THESIS			

8. How will the University assure the quality of the provision?

New course proposals are reviewed by a Course Validation Panel, comprising at least the following membership: normally one subject matter expert external to the School or University, at least 3 academic staff not associated with the proposal. The Panel may include 1 member of professional staff. Panels are supported by an appropriately qualified Secretary who acts as advisor to the Panel. Proposals are reviewed in line with the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA) Quality Code, in particular Chapter B1 (Programme Design and Approval) and in the case of partnership arrangements in accordance with Chapter B10 (Managing Higher Education with Others). New courses are ultimately approved by the University's Education Committee, on behalf of Senate.

Course changes are approved by the School's Director of Education on behalf of Education Committee and Senate.

The University has in place regular monitoring procedures for quality assurance including an Annual Reflective Review for each course and an in depth 6 year review of each School's (total) educational provision known as the Senate Review. For collaborative partnerships, in addition to the Annual Reflective Review there is an Annual Operating Statement and a 5 year review known as a Focussed Review which looks at each course in depth. In addition occasional site inspection visits are made.

Each course has at least one External Examiner who monitors all aspects of the assessment process. This is in line with the guidance provided by the QAA particularly in Chapter B7 (External Examining), which emphasises that external examining is one of the principal means for maintaining UK threshold academic standards within autonomous higher education institutions.

Each course has a formally constituted Examination Board, which includes the External Examiner, and which is responsible for ensuring that awards are made within the regulations of the University and that students are made awards on the basis of meeting the specified Intended Learning Outcomes of a course at the appropriate standard.

Each course has a formally constituted Course Committee which meets at least twice a year to discuss, inter alia, programme design and planning, the student experience (including feedback) and student progress.

Each course has an Industry Advisory Panel (or similar), which meets at least once a year to engage with external stakeholders on curriculum design and currency of course content.

Student feedback both qualitative and quantitative is collected for each module studied. In addition students are invited to participate in the University's annual New Student Survey and Student Satisfaction Survey along with the annual national Postgraduate Taught Student Experience Survey. The results of all feedback are considered by the Course Committee and additionally, in respect of the University and national surveys, issues of quality are considered by and acted on where appropriate by the Education Committee, Senate, School and University Executives.

9. What opportunities are graduates likely to have on completing the course?

The course was originally developed in response to the Ministry of Defence's need for quality project and programme personnel. Central funding was available for MOD civilians and military personnel each year. The anticipation was that the students would move to project/programme management roles upon completion of the course. The MOD have recognised the benefits from previous graduates and have identified PPM as a key skill by increasing the number of students they sponsor on the course.

Cranfield University and this programme also provide opportunities for you to network with fellow professionals, leading figures from industry and academic experts.

COURSE SPECIFICATION



Cranfield University: Course Specifications

Course specifications outline the content and structure of a course leading to an award of Cranfield University. This version of the course specification has been approved by Education Committee and every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information. Courses are under constant review, however, and the University reserves the right, without notice, to withdraw, update or amend this course specification at any time.

COURSE TITLE: MSc in Quality Management in Scientific Research and Development

Date of first publication/latest revision: September 2016

1. What is the course?

Course information

Course Title	MSc in Quality Management in Scientific Research and Development
Course code	MSQMRPTC, PDQMRPTC
Academic Year	2016/17
Valid entry routes	MSc, PgDip, PgCert
Additional exit routes	Not Applicable
Mode of delivery	Part-time
Location of Study	Cranfield University
School(s)	School of Aerospace, Transport and Manufacturing
Theme	Manufacturing
Centre	Sustainable Manufacturing Systems Centre
Course Director	Dr Charles Wainwright
Awarding Body	Cranfield University
Teaching Institution	Cranfield University
Admissions body	Cranfield University
Entry requirements	Standard University entry requirements
UK Qualifications Framework Level	QAA FHEQ Level 7 (Masters)
Benchmark Statement(s)	enter text here
Registration Period(s) available	Part-time MSc - up to three years, Part-time PgDip - two years, Part-time PgCert - two years
Course Start Month(s)	Throughout the year

Institutions delivering the course

This course is delivered by the School of Aerospace, Transport and Manufacturing, Manufacturing Theme, Sustainable Manufacturing Systems Centre where the research interests include development and implementation of operations management techniques, including quality management, within the context of healthcare practitioners

Cranfield University interacts with the following institutions and in the following ways:

Research Quality Association (RQA) as a partner in course delivery.

Cranfield University remains fully responsible for the quality of the delivery of the course.

Accreditation by Public, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)

This course is not accredited by any external bodies.

2. What are the aims of the course?

To equip students with an in-depth understanding of how quality management systems can be implemented and developed to support organisations working in pharmaceutical, healthcare, biotechnology, chemical and similar sectors and in particular to support the philosophy of Quality Management in regulated and non-regulated scientific research and development.

This programme is intended for the following range of students:

Individual holding a professional career in research and development in the safety and efficacy of pharmaceuticals, biologicals, devices, agrochemicals and chemicals in man, animals and the environment.

3. What should students expect to achieve in completing the course?

Award intended learning outcomes (ILOs) (skills and knowledge).

A. Postgraduate Certificate

In completing this course, and achieving the associated award, a diligent student should be able to:

- ILO 1. Demonstrate a thorough understanding and critical awareness of the key concepts of Quality Assurance within the context of a representative organisation (i.e. health-based, biotechnology, or pharmaceutical organisation).
- ILO 2. Critically evaluate appropriate methodologies, based on previous observations, practice and experience, to acquire knowledge of products, processes and systems.
- ILO 3. Critically evaluate internationally recognised standard procedures and processes, using tools including systems analysis and process mapping, that are representative of a Strategic Quality Management System.
- ILO 4. Develop coherent strategies to manage, apply and transfer principles of Quality Management to demonstrate and initiate ethical responsibility at a professional level, and optimise quality performance.
- ILO 5. Demonstrate understanding of a range of management competencies, styles and techniques to enable critical evaluation of personal strengths and weaknesses.
- ILO 6. Demonstrate an ability to make informed judgements at a professional level independently or as part of a team.

B. Postgraduate Diploma

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

ILO 7. Collate, analyse and discuss information from a variety of sources.

C. MSc

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

ILO 8. Demonstrate the ability to apply sound experimental design principles and appropriate research methods to obtain, analyse and evaluate data through the individual research project.

4. How is the course taught?

Students will be supported in their learning and personal development by:

- Use of case studies and class exercises to help develop knowledge and skills in analysis and critical evaluation.
- Use of the Blackboard VLE as a source of information on learning and assessment materials plus routes to additional information and sources of help if required.
- Provision of lectures from external speakers to strengthen teaching in selected areas from academia and industry outside the University's area of expertise.
- Access to library resources, both on-campus and online, which are introduced at the beginning of the course by the Health Information Specialist.
- Focused portfolio tutorial sessions to support the development of information assimilation, written communication and critical evaluation skills.
- Use of an Integrated Portfolio a self-directed activity of reflection and action planning, designed to encourage independent development of transferable skills such as oral presentation, written communication and project management.
- The opportunity to carry out a research project in the student's place of employment to enable practical application of the theory learned during the taught course and development of research skills.

5. What do students need to achieve in order to graduate?

Notwithstanding University Regulations and the authorities and powers exercised by examiners, students will normally need to demonstrate achievement in the elements of the course, as laid out in Section 7. Courses are structured through the accumulation of credit, where 1 credit represents 10 notional learning hours.

In brief, students will normally need to achieve the following in order to be awarded the qualifications:

A. Postgraduate Certificate

The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
None	
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Any 6 modules from 1 - 8	60
TOTAL:	60

B. Postgraduate Diploma

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 1 - 8 Integrating Portfolio (9)	80 40
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
None	
TOTAL:	120

C. MSc

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 1 - 8 Integrating Portfolio (9) Individual Research Project (10)	80 40 80
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
None	
TOTAL:	200

If a student does not meet the required standards for the award, the examiners for the programme may decide to offer a lower award associated with the programme, providing that a lower exit award exists and the student meets the requirements of that lower award.

Pass Criteria

The University operates standard pass criteria which can be found in the Senate Handbook on Assessment Rules.

In order to achieve your award, you are required to achieve:

- An overall average mark of ≥50%;
- An average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessment;
- All assessments need to be completed and the minimum mark attained: no more than one
 failure to complete an assessment (as defined in Section 2.3) will be permitted throughout
 the course of your studies (Please note that the board of examiners does not have
 discretion to overrule this limit, but can refer a case to Senate's Education Committee);^{1 2}

For students who were registered before 1 August 2015, the requirement to obtain a minimum mark for a taught assessment will not apply for taught assessment taken before 31 August 2015 (unless the assessment was designated as a "key assessment" under the previous Assessment Rules).

Providing the minimum mark is met, a mark of 40-49% will be automatically compensated if a student's overall average taught assessment mark (including the failed assessment) is greater than 50%. Students are advised, however, that they retain the right to re-take an assessment with a mark of <40% (but should note that a re-take attempt will be capped at 50%), as long as they haven't failed more than 30 credits. At the discretion of the Board of Examiners or by Board of Examiners Chair's Actions a

- **For Taught Assessments,** the minimum mark for each individual taught assessment <u>on the first attempt</u> for the significant majority of the taught assessments, noting that:
 - if you fail to attain the minimum mark for <u>up to 30 learning credits</u>, you will be permitted to re-take all of those assessments (except for circumstances where a resit award capped at 50% would be insufficient to achieve an overall average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessments);
 - o if, having failed to attain the minimum mark for 30 learning credits, you fail to obtain the minimum mark for any additional learning credits over the course of your studies you will be disqualified from the right to re-take the assessments: this will normally result in intended award failure. (Please note the board of examiners may at its discretion overrule this limit, but this is not an automatic right);
 - it is <u>not</u> permissible for you to fail an elective module and then proceed to take a different elective module in its place.
- For Substantial pieces of assessment (corresponding to ≥40 credits, which are not part of the taught assessment average), the pass mark of ≥50% (where they exist);
- For the thesis, a mark of ≥50% in order to receive a pass (where it exists).

Where Public, Statutory or Regulatory Body (PSRB) accreditation requires additional or higher levels of assessment the PSRB requirements will take precedence.

6. How is the course structured?

MSc students are expected to complete the course within 36 calendar months. PgDip and PgCert students are expected to complete within 24 months.

Each module is usually taught over 3 days with students typically taking four modules per year.

Course modules

The following modules outline all parts of the programme leading to MSc. Other awards associated with the course include some or all of these modules.

					ğ,				Calenda	ır				Ass	essm	ent		
					/ Visiting		Z ×		Ø)		o or		pendent essment		ulti-pa essn		Submiss	ion dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers 4	Credits	Is the module shared? \	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date		Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
1	N-CRE- A1009	Ethics and Regulatory Framework	Prof Phil Warner	25		10	N			nning in 6/17	40	ICW	100					
2	N-CRE- A1010	Strategic Quality Management	Dr Charles Wainwright	25		10	N			nning in 6/17	40	ICW	100					
3	N-CRE- A1011	Audit as a Management Tool for Compliance Assurance	Prof Phil Warner	25		10	N			nning in 6/17	40	ICW	100					
4	N-CRE- A1012	Quality Audit Practice: Digital Quality Management	Dr Charles Wainwright	25		10	N		25/10/16	27/10/16	40	ICW	100				12/12/16	06/03/17

³ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

⁵ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

⁶ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually.

For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

⁸ Failure to submit an element of a **multi-part assessment** will **not** require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then **all** elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

⁹ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

					бı				Calenda	ır				Ass	essm	ent		
					/ Visiting		N/Y		0		or or		pendent essment		ulti-pa sessm	nent	Submiss	ion dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers 4	Credits	Is the module shared? \	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	_	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment8	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
5	N-CRE- A1013	Quality Management in the Global Organisation	Dr Charles Wainwright	25		10	N		17/01/17	19/01/17	40	ICW	100				06/03/17	30/05/17
6	N-CRE- A1014	Business Process Development	Dr Charles Wainwright	25		10	N		04/04/17	06/04/17	40	ICW	100				22/05/17	14/08/17
7	N-CRE- A1015	Managing Governance, Risk and Compliance	Dr Nicola White	25		10	N		04/07/17	06/07/17	40	ICW	100				21/08/17	13/11/17
8	N-CRE- A1016	Managing Quality Teams	Dr Charles Wainwright	25		10	N		Not rur 201	nning in 6/17	40	ICW	100					
9	N-CRE- A1030	Integrating portfolio QMSRD	Dr Charles Wainwright	24		40	N		Various ¹	Various ²				100 MULTI	RP RP	80 20	Various ³	n/a
10	N-CRE- A1031	Individual Research Project QMSRD	Dr Charles Wainwright	25		80	N		06/01/17 Occ A 03/07/17			IPROJ THESIS IPRES	10 80 10				05/06/17 08/09/17 13/09/17	Various ⁴
									Occ B	04/04/18							02/03/18 04/04/18	

Notes to table:

- 1 Student registration start date
- 2 End date of final course element (Module 1 8, Individual Research Project) 3 Two working weeks after final course assessment submission date (Module 1 8, Individual Research Project)
- 4 As determined by Examination Board

Please list all modules that are shared with another existing course.

Module code	Module title	Course that owns the module	Course(s)/programme(s) that share the module
N/A			

7. How are the ILOs assessed?

The following assessment types are utilised:

The course uses a range of assessment types by submitted work, which may include oral or written pieces plus a research thesis, and one element of assessment by formal presentation.

This approach has been adopted in order to develop and assess the knowledge and skills required in addition to assessing the student's ability to integrate and apply information in a practical setting.

Assessment and ILO Mapping

Complete the grid below by inserting in the boxes which assessments from the modules directly assess the Award ILOs.

(Module numbers should correspond with those used in the Course module table above.)

For Example:

Award ILOs Module No.	ILO 1.	ILO 2.	ILO 3.	ILO 4.	ILO 5.	ILO 6.	ILO 7.	ILO 8.	
98	ICW				EX	EX	ICW		
99	ICW1		ICW1	ICW2					

A. Postgraduate Certificate

Award ILOs Module No.					

B. Postgraduate Diploma

In addition to those outlined above, the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs Module No.					

C. MSc

In addition to those outlined above, the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs Module No.					

<u>CROSS-MODULAR ASSESSMENT</u> (including any assessment which rests outside an individual module)

Title	Modules Covered	Assessment	
		Туре	Weight (%)
N/A			

8. How will the University assure the quality of the provision?

New course proposals are reviewed by a Course Validation Panel, comprising at least the following membership: normally one subject matter expert external to the School or University, at least 3 academic staff not associated with the proposal. The Panel may include 1 member of professional staff. Panels are supported by an appropriately trained Secretary who acts as advisor to the Panel. Proposals are reviewed in line with the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA) Quality Code, in particular Chapter B1 (Programme Design and Approval) and in the case of partnership arrangements in accordance with Chapter B10 (Managing Higher Education with Others). New courses are ultimately approved by the University's Education Committee, on behalf of Senate.

Course changes are approved by the School's Director of Education on behalf of Education Committee and Senate. Significant changes to a course will be referred to a Course Review Panel at the discretion of the Director of Education.

The University has in place regular monitoring procedures for quality assurance including an Annual Reflective Review for each course and an in depth 6 year review of each School's (total)

educational provision known as the Senate Review. For collaborative partnerships, in addition to the Annual Reflective Review there is an Annual Operating Statement and a 5 year review known as a Focussed Review which looks at each course in depth. In addition occasional site inspection visits are made.

Each course has at least one External Examiner who monitors all aspects of the assessment process. This is in line with the guidance provided by the QAA particularly in Chapter B7 (External Examining) which emphasises that external examining is one of the principal means for maintaining UK threshold academic standards within autonomous higher education institutions.

Each course has a formally constituted Examination Board, which includes the External Examiner, and which is responsible for ensuring that awards are made within the Regulations of the University and that students are made awards on the basis of meeting the specified Intended Learning Outcomes of a course at the appropriate standard.

Each course has a formally constituted Course Committee which meets at least twice a year to discuss, inter alia, programme design and planning, the student experience (including feedback) and student progress.

Each course has an Industry Advisory Panel (or similar) which meets at least once a year to engage with external stakeholders on curriculum design and currency of course content.

Student feedback both qualitative and quantitative is collected for each module studied. In addition students are invited to participate in the University's annual New Student Survey and Student Satisfaction Survey along with the annual national Postgraduate Taught Student Experience Survey. The results of all feedback are considered by the Course Committee and additionally, in respect of the University and national surveys, issues of quality are considered by and acted on where appropriate by the Education Committee, Senate, School and University Executives.

9. What opportunities are graduates likely to have on completing the course?

It is anticipated that completion of this course will enhance career progression by providing a broader appreciation of complex quality management systems. As the students taking this course are already in employment, completion of the course is expected to provide formal recognition of the skills and knowledge acquired during the course and in their field of work. Integration of the theory and practice is a key objective of the course.

COURSE SPECIFICATION



Cranfield University: Course Specifications

Course specifications outline the content and structure of a course leading to an award of Cranfield University. This version of the course specification has been approved by Education Committee and every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information.

COURSE TITLE: MSc in Renewable Energy Engineering

Date of first publication/latest revision: 23/02/16

1. What is the course?

Course information

Course Title	Renewable Energy Engineering
Course code	MSREEFTC, MSREEPTC,
Academic Year	2016/17
Valid entry routes	MSc
Additional exit routes	PgDip, PgCert
Mode of delivery	Full-time, Part-time
Location of Study	Cranfield
School(s)	School of Water, Energy and Environment
Theme	Energy & Power
Centre	Centre for Oil and Gas Engineering
Course Director	Dr Takafuni Nishino
Awarding Body	Cranfield University
Teaching Institution	Cranfield University
Admissions body	Cranfield University
Entry requirements	Standard University entry requirements.
UK Qualifications Framework Level	QAA FHEQ Level 7 (Masters)
Benchmark Statement(s)	N/A
Registration Period(s) available	Full-time MSc - one year, Part-time MSc - up to three years
Course Start Month(s)	Full-time: October Part-time: throughout the year

Institutions delivering the course

This course is delivered by the Centre for Offshore Renewable Energy Engineering (OREE) where the research interests include:

Hydrostatic, Hydrodynamic and aerodynamic design, analysis and testing of novel wind, wave and tidal energy conversion devices

Structural Intergrity of offshore structures including inspection and testing

Naval architecture

Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)

Finite Element Analysis (FEA)

The OREE Centre has access to impressive materials and fatigue testing facilities, large wave tanks for hydrodynamic experiments as well as wind tunnels.

Teaching and assessment is also provided by the School of Management.

Cranfield University remains fully responsible for the quality of the delivery of the course.

Accreditation by Public, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)

This course, together with several other Energy MSc course, is currently in the process of getting an accreditation from the Institute of Mechanical Engineers (IMechE) - this will be confirmed within the next few months.

2. What are the aims of the course?

Cranfield University offers this course in order to provide engineering and applied science graduates with the advanced interdisciplinary skills required to design, optimise and evaluate the technical and economic viability of renewable energy schemes. The objective is thus to develop suitably trained and qualified engineers, capable of contributing significantly to the increased demand for renewable energy technologies.

Postgraduate Diploma (PgDip) and Postgraduate Certificate (PgCert) exit routes are provided for students who wish to only access parts of the course provided.

This programme is intended for the following range of students:

The course will be suitable for aeronautical, mechanical and electrical engineering graduates or related science degrees, keen to specialise in renewable energy power generation applications.

Graduates currently in employment keen to extend their qualifications or to pursue a career change.

3. What should students expect to achieve in completing the course?

Award intended learning outcomes (ILOs) (skills and knowledge).

A. Masters' in Renewable Energy Engineering

In completing this course, and achieving the associated award, a diligent student should be able to:

ILO 1. Critically evaluate current theory and practice of renewable energy conversion systems

- ILO 2. Review and assess the technical and economic issues involved in the design and operation of renewable energy conversion systems
- ILO 3.
- ILO 4. Systematically assess and apply the use is a range of software employed for modelling, optimisation and control of renewable energy conversion systems
- ILO 5. Critically assess current development in selected principle topics in the area of renewable energy conversion systems
- ILO 6. Demonstrate an ability to undertake independent learning
- ILO 7. Demonstrate a competent and professional approach to problem solving
- ILO 8. Communicate effectively in writing
- ILO 9. Demonstrate good time management and work effectively to deadlines
- ILO 10. Integrate knowledge, understanding and skills from the taught modules in a real-life situation
- ILO 11. Effectively work in a small project team to identify project objectives and select appropriate methodologies to address problems faced by industrial clients; collaborating with other team members to communicate findings in a professional manner in written, oral and visual forms
- ILO 12. Define a research question, develop aim(s) and objectives, select and execute a methodology, analyse data, and evaluate findings and draw appropriate conclusions Define a research question, develop aim(s) and objectives, select and execute a methodology, analyse data, and evaluate findings and draw appropriate conclusions

4. How is the course taught?

Students will be supported in their learning and personal development by:

A dedicated electronic Blackboard site Practical workshops in: MATLAB training Commercial CFD package Commercial FEA package Wave tank and wind tunnel testing

Arrangement of attendance of relevant modules offered by other MSc courses.

The taught programme is generally delivered from October to February. Each module is generally delivered over one to two weeks.

The group project is delivered between February and April. Each group will typically include 4-6 students and an academic supervisor will be assigned to each group. A formal project review meeting will be held on a weekly basis. All students taking the group project (i.e. both full-time and part-time) are required to participate in at least 80% of the weekly project review meetings. Additionally, it is expected that students will be responsible to ensure that these meetings are used to good effect, and that appropriate minutes are taken and findings reported to the academic supervisor. Students are allowed to use tele-conferencing, video-conferencing and webconferencing facilities to participate in the group project review meetings i.e. they're not always

required to attend in person. This will afford students with the experience of working within a disperse project team. However, all students will be required to attend in person the initial and final project review meetings.

Part-time students have the option of completing a dissertation as an alternative to the Group Project. Students opting for the part-time dissertation will be assigned a supervisor by the Course Director and will agree with the supervisor an appropriate topic of study. This may be related to a workplace/industrial activity that is relevant to the student's work environment. The dissertation will include a comprehensive review of classical and contemporary related material and also a discussion and properly argued conclusions. Where appropriate the dissertation will acknowledge the work and contribution of others. The dissertation module will be assessed in a similar way to the group project by presentation and formal report.

The individual research project is typically delivered between May and September. Each student is allocated an academic supervisor who will guide and assess the students work. Again, it is expected that a formal weekly review meeting will occur at which the students will provide a brief presentation on the work performed to date and record minutes and arising actions.

5. What do students need to achieve in order to graduate?

Notwithstanding University Regulations and the authorities and powers exercised by examiners, students will normally need to demonstrate achievement in the elements of the course, as laid out in Section 7. Courses are structured through the accumulation of credit, where 1 credit represents 10 notional learning hours.

In brief, students will normally need to achieve the following in order to be awarded the qualifications:

A. Postgraduate Certificate

The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Dynamics of Fluidic Devices Risk and Reliability Engineering Structural Integrity Management for Technology	10 10 10 10
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Select 2 modules from the remaining 4 taught modules	20
TOTAL:	60

B. Postgraduate Diploma

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	

Dynamics of Fluidic Energy Devices	10
Risk and Reliability Engineering	10
Structural Integrity	10
Management for Technology	10
Engineering Stress Analysis	10
Computational Fluid Dynamics for Renewable Energy	10
Power Electronics and Machines	10
Testing and Routes to Certification	10
Group project FT	40
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Group Project / Dissertation (PT)	40
TOTAL:	120

C. MSc

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Dynamics of Fluidic Energy Devices Risk and Reliability Engineering Structural Integrity Management for Technology Engineering Stress Analysis Computational Fluid Dynamics for Renewable Energy Power Electronics and Machines Testing and Routes to Certification Group project (FT) Individual research project	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 40 80
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Group Project/Dissertation (PT)	40
TOTAL:	200

If a student does not meet the required standards for the award, the examiners for the programme may decide to offer a lower award associated with the programme, providing that a lower exit award exists and the student meets the requirements of that lower award.

Pass Criteria

The University operates standard pass criteria which can be found in the Senate Handbook on Assessment Rules.

In order to achieve your award, you are required to achieve:

- An overall average mark of ≥50%;
- An average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessment;
- All assessments need to be completed and the minimum mark attained: no more than one failure to complete an assessment (as defined in Section 2.3) will be permitted throughout

- the course of your studies (Please note that the board of examiners does <u>not</u> have discretion to overrule this limit, but can refer a case to Senate's Education Committee);¹²
- **For Taught Assessments**, the minimum mark for each individual taught assessment <u>on the first attempt</u> for the significant majority of the taught assessments, noting that:
 - if you fail to attain the minimum mark for <u>up to 30 learning credits</u>, you will be permitted to re-take all of those assessments (except for circumstances where a resit award capped at 50% would be insufficient to achieve an overall average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessments);
 - o if, having failed to attain the minimum mark for 30 learning credits, you fail to obtain the minimum mark for any additional learning credits over the course of your studies you will be disqualified from the right to re-take the assessments: this will normally result in intended award failure. (Please note the board of examiners may at its discretion overrule this limit, but this is not an automatic right);
 - it is <u>not</u> permissible for you to fail an elective module and then proceed to take a different elective module in its place.
- For Substantial pieces of assessment (corresponding to ≥40 credits, which are not part of the taught assessment average), the pass mark of ≥50% (where they exist);
- For the thesis, a mark of ≥50% in order to receive a pass (where it exists).

6. How is the course structured?

Full-time students register for the course in October and are expected to complete the course within 12 calendar months. This course is also offered on a part-time basis. Students would instead attend the required modules of the taught component according to a schedule agreed with the Course Director. Part-time students taking the group project would still be expected to complete this within a 7 month period, the same as for full-time students.

Each module is taught over one week, with the second week largely free of structured teaching to allow time for more independent learning and reflection, and completion of assignments.

For students who were registered before 1 August 2015, the requirement to obtain a minimum mark for a taught assessment will not apply for taught assessment taken before 31 August 2015 (unless the assessment was designated as a "key assessment" under the previous Assessment Rules).

Providing the minimum mark is met, a mark of 40-49% will be automatically compensated if a student's overall average taught assessment mark (including the failed assessment) is greater than 50%. Students are advised, however, that they retain the right to re-take an assessment with a mark of <40% (but should note that a re-take attempt will be capped at 50%), as long as they haven't failed more than 30 credits. At the discretion of the Board of Examiners or by Board of Examiners Chair's Actions a student may be permitted a re-take attempt of modules in the range of 40-49% only if the average mark of their other taught modules would not allow them to qualify for their award (<50%).

Course modules

The following modules outline all parts of the programme leading to an MSc. Other awards associated with the course include some or all of these modules.

	ق ا								Calendar		Assessment							
					/ Visiting		Z X		0		% or		ependent sessment	Multi-part Assessment			Submission dates	
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? \	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
1	I- ENE- INWK	Induction	G Drew	24		0	N		03/10/16	07/10/16	N/A	AO	N/A				N/A	
2	G- MTI	Management for Technology	S Carver	50		10	Υ		13/02/17	17/02/17	40 40	EX GCW	50 50				20/03/17 25/03/17	
3	N- REE- DFE D	Dynamics of Fluidic Energy Devices	T Nishino	30		10	N		10/10/16	14/10/16	40	ICW	100				FT 22/10/16 PT 29/10/16	

³ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

⁴ Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

⁵ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

⁶ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually.

⁷ For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

⁸ Failure to submit an element of a **multi-part assessment** will **not** require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then **all** elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

⁹ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

					<u>g</u> r				Calendar Assessment									
					/ Visiting		N/Y		d)		o or		ependent sessment	Multi-p	oart Asse		Submissi	on dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared?`	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
4	N- AME- SI	Structural Integrity	A Mehmanparast	38.5		10	Y		30/01/17	03/02/17	40	EX	100				W/C 20/02/17	
5	N- AME- RR	Risk and Reliability Engineering	A Kolios	30		10	Y		07/11/16	11/11/16	40	EX	100				WC 03/01/17	
6	N- AME- ESA	Engineering Stress Analysis: Theory and Simulations	A Mehmanparast	32		10	Y		28/11/16	02/12/16	40	ICW	100				07/01/17 FT 14/01/17 PT	
7	N- REE- CFD R	Computational Fluid Dynamics for Renewable Energy	T Nishino	30		10	у		05/12/16	09/12/16	40	ICW	100				14/01/17 FT 21/01/17 PT	
8	N- REE- PEM	Power Electronics and Machines	P Luk	30		10	N		24/10/16	28/10/16	40	EX	100				w/c 12/12/16	
9	N- REE- TRC	Testing & Routes to Certification	F Trarieux	18		10	N		23/01/17	27/01/17	40	ICW	100				FT 18/02/17 PT 25/02/17	

	- β _U								Calendar		Assessment							
					/ Visiting		N/Y		0		o or	Independent Assessment		Multi-part Assessment			Submission dates	
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared?`	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
10	I- ENE- GRP P	Group Project	Supervisor	16		40	Υ		27/02/17	05/05/17	50	GPROJ ICW	80 20				02/05/17 06/05/17	
11	I- ENE- DISS	Dissertation (part-time option)	Supervisor	10		40	Y		03/10/17	30/09/17	50	IPROJ	100				30/09/17	
12	I- ENE- THES IS	Individual Research Project	Supervisor	20		80	Y		08/05/17	08/09/17	50	THESIS OR	90 10				04/09/17	

Please list all modules that are shared with another existing course.

Module code	Module title	Course that owns the module	Course(s)/programme(s) that share the module
G-MTI	Management for Technology	School of Management	 Materials for Energy Systems Advanced Mechanical Engineering Biofuels Process Engineering Design of Rotating Machines Energy Supply for Low Carbon Futures Gas Energy Offshore and Ocean Technology With Offshore Materials Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology With Pipeline Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology With Offshore Renewable Energy Offshore and Ocean Technology With Risk Management Offshore and Ocean Technology With Subsea Engineering Renewable Energy Technology Flow Assurance for Oil and Gas Production Carbon Capture and Storage, Energy Systems and Thermal Processes Process Systems Engineering Renewable Energy Energy Systems Engineering Renewable Energy Renewable Energy Engineering
N-AME-SI	Structural Integrity	Advanced Mechanical Engineering	 Flow Assurance for Oil and Gas Production Materials for Energy Systems Design of Rotating Machines Offshore and Ocean Technology With Offshore Materials Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology With Pipeline Engineering

			 Offshore and Ocean Technology With Offshore Renewable Energy Offshore and Ocean Technology With Risk Management Offshore and Ocean Technology With Subsea Engineering Safety & Accident Investigation Renewable Energy Engineering
N-AME-RR	Risk and Reliability Engineering	Advanced Mechanical Engineering	 Flow Assurance for Oil and Gas Production Process Systems
N-AME-ESA	Engineering Stress Analysis: Theory and Simulations	Advanced Mechanical Engineering	 Design of Rotating Machines Offshore and Ocean Technology With Offshore Materials Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology With Pipeline Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology With Risk Management Offshore and Ocean Technology With Subsea Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology With Offshore Renewable Energy Renewable Energy Engineering

7. How are the ILOs assessed?

The following assessment types are utilised:

The course uses a range of assessment types. Students can expect to have up to eight written examinations, seven pieces of assessment by submitted work, a group project report and individual research thesis and three elements of assessment by presentation or viva.

This approach has been adopted in order to:

- Assess the knowledge of the students using methods appropriate to the nature of the subject area
- Help the students to improve their technical writing and oral presentation skills

<u>CROSS-MODULAR ASSESSMENT</u> (including any assessment which rests outside an individual module)

Title	Modules Covered	Assessment	
		Туре	Weight (%)

8. How will the University assure the quality of the provision?

New course proposals are reviewed by a Course Validation Panel, comprising at least the following membership: normally one subject matter expert external to the School or University, at least 3 academic staff not associated with the proposal. The Panel may include 1 member of professional staff. Panels are supported by an appropriately trained Secretary who acts as advisor to the Panel. Proposals are reviewed in line with the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA) Quality Code, in particular Chapter B1 (Programme Design and Approval) and in the case of partnership arrangements in accordance with Chapter B10 (Managing Higher Education with Others). New courses are ultimately approved by the University's Education Committee, on behalf of Senate.

Course changes are approved by the School's Director of Education on behalf of Education Committee and Senate. Significant changes to a course will be referred to a Course Review Panel at the discretion of the Director of Education.

The University has in place regular monitoring procedures for quality assurance including an Annual Reflective Review for each course and an in depth 6 year review of each School's (total) educational provision known as the Senate Review. For collaborative partnerships, in addition to the Annual Reflective Review there is an Annual Operating Statement and a 5 year review known as a Focussed Review which looks at each course in depth. In addition occasional site inspection visits are made.

Each course has at least one External Examiner who monitors all aspects of the assessment process. This is in line with the guidance provided by the QAA particularly in Chapter B7 (External Examining) which emphasises that external examining is one of the principal means for maintaining UK threshold academic standards within autonomous higher education institutions.

Each course has a formally constituted Examination Board, which includes the External Examiner, and which is responsible for ensuring that awards are made within the Regulations of the University and that students are made awards on the basis of meeting the specified Intended Learning Outcomes of a course at the appropriate standard.

Each course has a formally constituted Course Committee which meets at least twice a year to discuss, inter alia, programme design and planning, the student experience (including feedback) and student progress.

Each course has an Industry Advisory Panel (or similar) which meets at least once a year to engage with external stakeholders on curriculum design and currency of course content.

Student feedback both qualitative and quantitative is collected for each module studied. In addition students are invited to participate in the University's annual New Student Survey and Student Satisfaction Survey along with the annual national Postgraduate Taught Student Experience Survey. The results of all feedback are considered by the Course Committee and additionally, in respect of the University and national surveys, issues of quality are considered by and acted on where appropriate by the Education Committee, Senate, School and University Executives.

9. What opportunities are graduates likely to have on completing the course?

The objective of this course is to develop suitably trained and qualified engineers in the field of renewable energy capable of contributing significantly to the increased demand for renewable energy technologies. This course will equip graduates with the advanced interdisciplinary skills required to design, optimise and evaluate the technical and economic viability of renewable energy schemes.

PROGRAMME SPECIFICATION OF STRUCTURED TAUGHT ELEMENT ONLY



Cranfield University: Course Specifications

Course specifications outline the content and structure of a course leading to an award of Cranfield University. This version of the course specification has been approved by Education Committee and every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information.

PROGRAMME TITLE: Renewable Energy Marine Structures (REMS) EngD

Date of first publication/latest revision: October 2014

1. What is the programme?

Programme information for Structured Taught Element only

Programme Title	Renewable Energy Marine Structures (REMS) EngD
Course code	enter here
Academic Year	2016/17
Valid entry routes	EngD
Additional exit routes	PhD, MPhil, MSc by Research
Mode of delivery	Full-time
Location of Study	Cranfield University and Oxford University
School(s)	School of Water, Energy and Environment and School of Aerospace, Transport and Manufacturing
Theme	Energy and Power and Manufacturing
Centre	Centre for Offshore Renewable Energy Engineering
Programme Director	Dr Ali Mehmanparast
Awarding Body	Cranfield University and Oxford University
Teaching Institution	Cranfield University
Admissions body	Cranfield University and Oxford University
Entry requirements	Standard University entry requirements
UK Qualifications Framework Level	QAA FHEQ Level 8
Benchmark Statement(s)	Not Applicable
Registration Period(s) available	4 years Full-Time
Programme Start Month(s)	October

Institutions delivering the programme

This programme is delivered by the School of Water, Energy and Environment, Energy and Power Theme, Centre for Offshore Renewable Energy Engineering and the School of Aerospace, Transport and Manufacturing, Manufacturing Theme where the research interests include:

Structural Integrity and Manufacturing

Cranfield University interacts with the following institutions and in the following ways:

Oxford University in the Centre Management Meetings

Cranfield University remains fully responsible for the quality of the delivery of the programme.

2. What do students need to achieve in order to complete the programme?

The accumulation of 120 credits is required to complete the programme through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 1 to 4 Module 5 Group Project	40 0 40
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
4 Modules from 6 to 21	40
TOTAL:	120

Pass Criteria

The University operates standard pass criteria which can be found in the Senate Handbook on Assessment Rules.

In order to achieve your award, you are required to achieve:

- An overall average mark of ≥50%;
- An average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessment;
- All assessments need to be completed and the minimum mark attained: no more than one failure to complete an assessment (as defined in Section 2.3) will be permitted throughout the course of your studies (Please note that the board of examiners does <u>not</u> have discretion to overrule this limit, but can refer a case to Senate's Education Committee);^{1 2}
- **For Taught Assessments,** the minimum mark for each individual taught assessment <u>on the first attempt</u> for the significant majority of the taught assessments, noting that:
 - if you fail to attain the minimum mark for <u>up to 30 learning credits</u>, you will be permitted to re-take all of those assessments (except for circumstances where a resit

For students who were registered before 1 August 2015, the requirement to obtain a minimum mark for a taught assessment will not apply for taught assessment taken before 31 August 2015 (unless the assessment was designated as a "key assessment" under the previous Assessment Rules).

2

Providing the minimum mark is met, a mark of 40-49% will be automatically compensated if a student's overall average taught assessment mark (including the failed assessment) is greater than 50%. Students are advised, however, that they retain the right to re-take an assessment with a mark of <40% (but should note that a re-take attempt will be capped at 50%), as long as they haven't failed more than 30 credits. At the discretion of the Board of Examiners or by Board of Examiners Chair's Actions a student may be permitted a re-take attempt of modules in the range of 40-49% only if the average mark of their other taught modules would not allow them to qualify for their award (<50%).

- award capped at 50% would be insufficient to achieve an overall average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessments);
- o if, having failed to attain the minimum mark for 30 learning credits, you fail to obtain the minimum mark for any additional learning credits over the course of your studies you will be disqualified from the right to re-take the assessments: this will normally result in intended award failure. (Please note the board of examiners may at its discretion overrule this limit, but this is not an automatic right);
- o it is <u>not</u> permissible for you to fail an elective module and then proceed to take a different elective module in its place.
- For Substantial pieces of assessment (corresponding to ≥40 credits, which are not part of the taught assessment average), the pass mark of ≥50% (where they exist);
- For the thesis, a mark of ≥50% in order to receive a pass (where it exists).

3. How is the programme structured?

Full-time students register for the programme in October and are expected to complete the programme within 48 calendar months.

Each module is taught over a week. 5 modules, chosen by the REMS CDT management committee, will be taken during the induction term - 4 of which are assessed and one which is attendance only. 2 elective modules will be taken by students in Year 2 and another 2 elective modules in year 3.

Programme Taught modules

	rogran	ime raught modules	, I															
					βι				Calendar					As	sessmer	nt		
					Visiting //N		Z ≻		0		% or	Independent Assessment		Multi-part Assessment			Submission dates	
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? \	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	weignung within module6 (%) of Independent	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
1	N-AME- FML	Fluid Mechanics and Loading	Dr Maurizio Collu	30		10	Y		10/10/16	14/10/16	50	ICW	100				22/10/16	
2	N-AME- RR	Risk and Reliability Engineering	Dr Athanasios Kolios	30		10	Y		07/11/16	11/11/16	50	EX	100				w/c 02/01/17	
3	I-OOT- A1079	Offshore Inspection	Dr Mahmood Shafiee	32		10	Y		24/10/16	28/10/16	50	ICW	100				12/11/16	
4	N-AME- ESA	Engineering Stress Analysis: Theory & Simulations	Dr Ali Mehmanparast	32		10	Υ		28/11/16	02/12/16	50	ICW	100				07/01/17	
5	I-MES- RTFA	Renewable Energy Technologies: Fundamentals	Dr Stuart Wagland	30		0	Y		14/11/16	18/11/16	N/A	AO	N/A				N/A	

³ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

⁵ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

⁶ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually.

⁷ For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

⁸ Failure to submit an element of a **multi-part assessment** will **not** require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then **all** elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

⁹ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

					б				Calendar					Ass	sessmer	nt		
			/ Visiting	/Visitii	N/N		d)	_	o or	Independent Assessment		Multi-p	art Asse	ssment	Submission dates			
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%		weignung within module6 (%) of Independent	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
6	I-REM- IOG	Introduction to Offshore Geotechnics	Dr Ali Mehmanparast	32	32	10	N			nning in 6/17	50			100 MULTI	ICW EX	30 70	N/A	
7	I-REM- OFD	Offshore Foundation Design	Dr Ali Mehmanparast	30	27	10	N		24/04/17	28/04/17	50			100 MULTI	ICW EX	30 70	13/06/17 w/c Tues 30/05/17	
8	I-REM- NAG	Numerical Analysis for Offshore Geotechnics	Dr Ali Mehmanparast	30	30	10	N		08/05/17	12/05/17	50			100 MULTI	ICW EX	50 50	27/05/17 w/c 05/06/17	
9	I-MAT- A1013	Composites Manufacturing for High Performance	Andrew Mills	35		10	Υ		31/10/16	04/11/16	50	ICW	100				02/12/16	
10	I-WEE- A1110	Advanced Welding Processes	Dr Wojciech Suder	32		10	Υ		28/11/16	02/12/16	50	EX	100				06/02/17	
11	I-WEE- A1101	Design of Welded Structures	Dr Paul Colegrove	20		10	Υ		17/10/16	16/11/16	50	EX	100				06/01/17	
12	N-AME- SI	Structural Integrity	Dr Ali Mehmanparast	38.5	6	10	Υ		30/01/17	03/02/17	50	EX	100				w/c 20/02/17	
13	I-OOT- A1078	Materials in the Offshore Environment	Dr Joy Sumner	30		10	Υ		17/10/16	21/10/16	50	EX	100				w/c 02/01/17	
14	N-REE- TRC	Testing and Routes to Certification	Dr Florent Trarieux	18		10	Υ		23/01/17	27/01/17	50	ICW	100				18/02/17	

					бı				Calendar		·			Ass	sessmer	nt		
					/ Visiting	× ∨ sitii		o l		or or	Independent Assessment		Multi-pa	art Asse		Submission dates		
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers 4	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	vvergrung within module6 (%) of Independent	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
15	N-PSE- ACS	Advanced Control Systems	Dr Yi Cao	30		10	Υ		14/11/16	18/11/16	50	ICW	100				26/11/16	
16	I-ILE- AEL	Aquatic Ecosystems in the Landscape	Dr Andrew Gill	30		10	Υ		07/11/16	11/11/16	50	ICW	100				19/11/16	
17	I-GIM- A1131	GIS Fundamentals	Dr Tim Brewer	42		10	Υ		24/10/16	28/10/16	50	ICW	100				05/11/16	
18	I-EMB- A1122	Principles of Sustainability	Dr Paul Burgess	26		10	Υ		10/10/16	14/10/16	50	ICW	100				22/10/16	
19	I-EMB- A1128	Technology, Environment and Society	Dr Phil Longhurst	25		10	Υ		23/01/17	27/01/17	50	ICW	100				04/02/17	
20	I-ERM- A2005	Environmental Risks: Hazard, Assessment and Management	Dr Simon Jude	24.5		10	Y		10/10/16	14/10/16	50	ICW	100				22/10/16	
21	G-MTI	Management for Technology	Stephen Carver	50		10	Υ		13/02/17	17/02/17	50	EX GCW	50 50				20/03/17 25/03/17	
22	I-REM- GRPP	Group Project	Prof Feargal Brennan	20		40	N		27/02/17	05/05/17	50	IPRES ICW	30 70				02/09/17	

Please list all modules that are shared with another existing course.

Module code	Module title	Course that owns the module	Course(s)/programme(s) that share the module
N-AME-FML	Fluid Mechanics and Loading	Energy Programme	Advanced Mechanical Engineering
N-AME-RR	Risk and Reliability Engineering	Energy Programme	Advanced Mechanical Engineering; Carbon Capture and Storage; Flow Assurance for Oil and Gas Production; Process Systems Engineering; Renewable Energy Engineering
I-OOT-A1079	Offshore Inspection	Energy Programme	Offshore and Ocean Technology with Offshore Materials Engineering; Offshore and Ocean Technology with Pipeline Engineering; Offshore and Ocean Technology with Renewable Energy; Offshore and Ocean Technology with Risk Management; Offshore and Ocean Technology with Subsea engineering
N-AME-ESA	Engineering Stress Analysis: Theory & Simulations	Energy Programme	Advanced Mechanical Engineering; Design of Rotating Machines; Offshore and Ocean Technology with Offshore Materials Engineering; Offshore and Ocean Technology with Pipeline Engineering; Offshore and Ocean Technology with Renewable Energy; Offshore and Ocean Technology with Risk Management; Offshore and Ocean Technology with Subsea engineering; Renewable Energy Engineering
I-MES-RTFA	Renewable Energy Technologies; Fundamentals	Energy Programme	Energy Supply for Low Carbon Futures; Materials for Energy Systems; Renewable Energy Technology
I-MAT-A1013	Composites Manufacturing for High Performance	Advanced Materials	Advanced Materials; Aerospace Manufacturing, Aerospace Materials, Manufacturing Technology and Management; Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine)
I-WEE-A1110	Advanced Welding Processes	Welding Engineering	Welding Engineering; Aerospace Manufacturing; Manufacturing Technology and Management
I-WEE-A1101	Design of Welded Structures	Welding Engineering	Welding Engineering
N-AME-SI	Structural Integrity	Energy Programme	Advanced Mechanical Engineering; Design of Rotating Machines; Flow Assurance for Oil and Gas Production; Materials for Energy Systems;

			Offshore and Ocean Technology with Offshore Materials Engineering; Offshore and Ocean Technology with Pipeline Engineering; Offshore and Ocean Technology with Renewable Energy; Offshore and Ocean Technology with Risk Management; Offshore and Ocean Technology with Subsea engineering; Renewable Energy Engineering; Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine); Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail)
I-OOT-A1078	Materials in the Offshore Environment	Offshore and Ocean Technology	Offshore and Ocean Technology with Offshore Materials Engineering; Offshore and Ocean Technology with Pipeline Engineering; Offshore and Ocean Technology with Renewable Energy; Offshore and Ocean Technology with Risk Management; Offshore and Ocean Technology with Subsea engineering
N-REE-TRC	Testing and Routes to Certification	Energy Programme	Renewable Energy Engineering
N-PSE-ACS	Advanced Control Systems	Energy Programme	Advanced Mechanical Engineering; Biofuels Process Engineering; Carbon Capture and Storage; Energy Systems and Thermal Processes: Flow Assurance for Oil and Gas Production; Process Systems Engineering;
I-ILE-AEL	Aquatic Ecosystem in the Landscape	TBC	Environmental Water Management; Integrated Landscape Ecology
I-GIM-A1131	GIS Fundamentals	Environment Programme	Environmental Data Science; Environmental Risk Management; Geographical Information Management; Integrated Landscape Ecology; Land Reclamation and Restoration; Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine)
I-EMB-A1122	Principles of Sustainability	Environment Programme	Design and Innovation for Sustainability; Economics for Natural Resource and Environment Management; Energy Supply for Low Carbon Futures; Environment and Public Policy; Environmental Management for Business; Land Reclamation and Restoration; Renewable Energy Technology

I-EMB-A1128	Technology, Environment and Society	Environment Programme	Design and Innovation for Sustainability; Economics for Natural Resource and Environmental Management; Environment and Public Policy; Environmental Management for Business
I-ERM-A2005	Environmental Risks: Hazard, Assessment and Management	Environment Programme	Energy from Waste; Environmental Data Science; Environmental Risk Management; Waste and Resource Management
G-MTI	Management for Technology	TBC	Advanced Mechanical Engineering; Biofuels Process Engineering; Carbon Capture and Storage; Design of Rotating Machines; Energy from Waste; Energy supply for Low Carbon Futures; Energy Systems and Thermal Processes: Flow Assurance for Oil and Gas Production; Gas energy; Materials for Energy Systems; Offshore and Ocean Technology with Offshore Materials Engineering; Offshore and Ocean Technology with Pipeline Engineering; Offshore and Ocean Technology with Renewable Energy; Offshore and Ocean Technology with Risk Management; Offshore and Ocean Technology with Subsea engineering; Process Systems Engineering; Renewable Energy Engineering, Renewable Energy Technology, Thermal Power, Computational and Software Techniques in Engineering

4. How will the University assure the quality of the provision?

New course proposals are reviewed by a Course Validation Panel, comprising at least the following membership: normally one subject matter expert external to the School or University, at least 3 academic staff not associated with the proposal. The Panel may include 1 member of professional staff. Panels are supported by an appropriately trained Secretary who acts as advisor to the Panel. Proposals are reviewed in line with the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA) Quality Code, in particular Chapter B1 (Programme Design and Approval) and in the case of partnership arrangements in accordance with Chapter B10 (Managing Higher Education with Others). New courses are ultimately approved by the University's Education Committee, on behalf of Senate.

Course changes are approved by the School's Director of Education on behalf of Education Committee and Senate. Significant changes to a course will be referred to a Course Review Panel at the discretion of the Director of Education.

The University has in place regular monitoring procedures for quality assurance including an Annual Reflective Review for each course and an in depth 6 year review of each School's (total) educational provision known as the Senate Review. For collaborative partnerships, in addition to the Annual Reflective Review there is an Annual Operating Statement and a 5 year review known as a Focussed Review which looks at each course in depth. In addition occasional site inspection visits are made.

Each course has at least one External Examiner who monitors all aspects of the assessment process. This is in line with the guidance provided by the QAA particularly in Chapter B7 (External Examining) which emphasises that external examining is one of the principal means for maintaining UK threshold academic standards within autonomous higher education institutions.

Each course has a formally constituted Examination Board, which includes the External Examiner, and which is responsible for ensuring that awards are made within the Regulations of the University and that students are made awards on the basis of meeting the specified Intended Learning Outcomes of a course at the appropriate standard.

Each course has a formally constituted Course Committee which meets at least twice a year to discuss, inter alia, programme design and planning, the student experience (including feedback) and student progress.

Each course has an Industry Advisory Panel (or similar) which meets at least once a year to engage with external stakeholders on curriculum design and currency of course content.

Student feedback both qualitative and quantitative is collected for each module studied. In addition students are invited to participate in the University's annual New Student Survey and Student Satisfaction Survey along with the annual national Postgraduate Taught Student Experience Survey. The results of all feedback are considered by the Course Committee and additionally, in respect of the University and national surveys, issues of quality are considered by and acted on where appropriate by the Education Committee, Senate, School and University Executives.

5. What opportunities are graduates likely to have on completing the programme?

The main aim of the REMS Centre for Doctoral Training is to recruit and train 50 outstanding graduate students who can become future leaders of the emergent offshore renewable energy sector. The graduates from the programme will have the prerequisite knowledge and experience of integrated structural systems to support the developing Offshore and Marine Renewable Energy sector. They are expected to be employed by the UK and global offshore renewable energy sector and get involved in the world-wide development of a new generation of marine structures and support systems for renewable energy.

COURSE SPECIFICATION



Cranfield University: Course Specifications

Course specifications outline the content and structure of a course leading to an award of Cranfield University. This version of the course specification has been approved by Education Committee and every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information.

COURSE TITLE: MSc in Renewable Energy Technology

Date of first publication/latest revision: 26/01/16 – 13/09/16

1. What is the course?

Course information

Course Title	Renewable Energy Technology
Course code	MSRETFTC, MSRETPTC, PDRETFTC, PDRETPTC, PCRETFTC, PCRETPTC
Academic Year	2016/17
Valid entry routes	MSc, PgDip, PgCert
Exit routes	MSc, PgDip, PgCert
Mode of delivery	Full-time, Part-time
Location of Study	Cranfield
School(s)	School of Water, Energy & Environment
Theme	Energy & Power
Centre	Centre for Offshore Renewable Energy Engineering
Course Director	Dr Takafumi Nishino, Mahmood Shafiee
Awarding Body	Cranfield University
Teaching Institution	Cranfield University
Admissions body	Cranfield University
Entry requirements	Standard University entry requirements
UK Qualifications Framework Level	QAA FHEQ Level 7 (Masters)
Benchmark Statement(s)	N/A
Registration Period(s) available	Full-time MSc - one year, Part-time MSc - up to three years, Full-time PgCert - one year, Part-time PgCert - two years, Full-time PgDip - one year, Part-time PgDip - two years
Course Start Month(s)	Full-time: October Part-time: throughout the year

Institutions delivering the course

This course is delivered by the Energy and Power Theme in the School of Water, Energy and Environment where the research interests include specialist research in fossil fuel power generation, energy conversion technologies, gas cleaning, CO₂ capture and transport, wet and dry renewable energy, biomass conversion and energy from waste, materials for energy systems, amenity impacts, contaminated land landfill science, life cycle engineering, policy appraisal and implementation, sustainable resource recovery, process simulation, pipeline engineering, offshore materials engineering, subsea engineering, risk management and reliability engineering.

Cranfield University actively seeks sponsorship and support for individual thesis projects from the energy sector employers to provide professional experience and development opportunities for students through group study project and thesis sponsorships.

Cranfield University remains fully responsible for the quality of the delivery of the course.

Accreditation by Public, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)

This course has not been accredited by any external bodies.* This course, together with other Energy MSc courses, is currently applying for accreditation from Energy Institute (EI).

2. What are the aims of the course?

Cranfield University offers this course in order to:

- Prepare science and engineering graduates to meet the increasing demand of industry, consultancies and the public sector for engineers in the energy production and demand management sectors
- Acquire an advanced theoretical and specialist understanding of processes and practices central to low carbon emissions energy production technologies
- Select and apply appropriate existing and emerging energy production technologies that can achieve lower environmental impacts via an integrated and cross-disciplinary approach
- Enable the application of scientific, technical and engineering principles, economic consequences and risks of energy production technologies options as best practice
- Develop the capacity to undertake successful technical research projects using appropriate methods of critical analysis.

This programme is intended for the following range of students:

- Graduates with science or related engineering degrees keen to pursue careers in renewable energy technologies
- Graduates currently in employment keen to extend their qualifications or to pursue a career change
- Individuals with other qualifications but who possess considerable relevant experience

3. What should students expect to achieve in completing the course?

Award intended learning outcomes (ILOs) (skills and knowledge).

A. Postgraduate Certificate in Renewable Energy Technology

In completing this course, and achieving the associated award, a diligent student should be able to:

ILO 1. Explain in broad terms the key concepts and issues appertaining to the availability and use of renewable energy resources, both offshore and land-based and including

- waste, together with the engineering principles and technologies that underpin the production, distribution and use of these energy resources.
- ILO 2. Discuss the purpose of energy policy, the structure of energy and carbon markets and the need for a systematic range of policy strategies and instruments.
- ILO 3. Describe the financial instruments traded within energy and carbon markets and to be able to critically evaluate strategies for energy security and sustainability
- ILO 4. Apply the principles of maintaining the reliability of energy production and distribution systems and their asset management.
- ILO 5. Apply the principles of energy demand as they relate to renewable energy technologies in order to improve and enhance policy development and systems design
- ILO 6. Analyse relevant energy engineering problems and design appropriate solutions taking account of social, environmental, technical, regulatory and commercial constraints
- ILO 7. Communicate effectively their work via oral and written presentations and reports

B. Postgraduate Diploma in Renewable Energy Technology

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 8. Develop problem definition, hypothesis setting, analysis and problem solving skills to address challenges faced by environmental engineers
- ILO 9. Integrate knowledge, understanding and skills from the taught modules in a real-life situation.
- ILO 10. Effectively work in a small project team to identify project objectives and select appropriate methodologies to address problems faced by industrial clients; collaborating with other team members to communicate findings in a professional manner in written, oral and visual forms

C. MSc in Renewable Energy Technology

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 11. Develop and deliver successful independent research projects relevant to appropriate public and private sector organisations
- ILO 12. Define a research question, develop aim(s) and objectives, select and execute a methodology, analyse data, and evaluate findings and draw appropriate conclusions.
- ILO 13. To communicate their findings successfully via a thesis, written in an approved School style and in an oral presentation

4. How is the course taught?

The MSc course will be taught in three sections: taught modules (40%), group projects (20%), and an individual research project (40%).

The taught programme, typically delivered between October and February, comprises a structured sequence of modules, each containing a series of lectures and other classroom-based teaching, supplemented by practical work. The taught modules are assessed by assignments and formal written examinations.

The Group Projects are founded on group-based research programmes typically undertaken between February and April. The projects are designed to integrate knowledge, understanding and skills from the taught modules in a real-life situation.

The thesis project, typically delivered between May and September, further develops research and project management skills that: provide the ability to think and work in an original way; contribute to knowledge; overcome genuine problems; and communicate through a thesis and oral exam. Each student is allocated a supervisor, who will guide and assess the student work.

Guidance sessions are provided as to what is required from thesis and oral presentation.

Within induction week, students will be introduced to personal development planning and asked to reflect on their transferable skills and to take ownership of their personal development during the course.

The PgDip course consists of two of these sections: taught modules (66.7%) and group projects (33.3%).

The PgCert course consists of one of these sections: taught modules (100%). Candidates are required to pass three compulsory modules and three optional modules (out of the eight taught modules).

5. What do students need to achieve in order to graduate?

Notwithstanding University Regulations and the authorities and powers exercised by examiners, students will normally need to demonstrate achievement in the elements of the course, as laid out in Section 7. Courses are structured through the accumulation of credit, where 1 credit represents 10 notional learning hours.

In brief, students will normally need to achieve the following in order to be awarded the qualifications:

A. Postgraduate Certificate

The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 3, 4 and 8	30
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Three modules selected from modules 2, 5, 6, 7 and 9	30
TOTAL:	60

B. Postgraduate Diploma

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 2-9 Group project or dissertation (PT)	80 40
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
TOTAL:	120

C. MSc

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 2-9 Group Project or dissertation (PT) Individual thesis project	80 40 80
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
TOTAL:	200

If a student does not meet the required standards for the award, the examiners for the programme may decide to offer a lower award associated with the programme, providing that a lower exit award exists and the student meets the requirements of that lower award.

Pass Criteria

The University operates standard pass criteria which can be found in the Senate Handbook on Assessment Rules.

In order to achieve your award, you are required to achieve:

- An overall average mark of ≥50%;
- An average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessment;
- All assessments need to be completed and the minimum mark attained: no more than one
 failure to complete an assessment (as defined in Section 2.3) will be permitted throughout
 the course of your studies (Please note that the board of examiners does not have
 discretion to overrule this limit, but can refer a case to Senate's Education Committee);^{1 2}

For students who were registered before 1 August 2015, the requirement to obtain a minimum mark for a taught assessment will not apply for taught assessment taken before 31 August 2015 (unless the assessment was designated as a "key assessment" under the previous Assessment Rules).

Providing the minimum mark is met, a mark of 40-49% will be automatically compensated if a student's overall average taught assessment mark (including the failed assessment) is greater than 50%. Students are advised, however, that they retain the right to re-take an assessment with a mark of <40% (but should note that a re-take attempt will be capped at 50%), as long as they haven't failed more than 30 credits. At the discretion of the Board of Examiners or by Board of Examiners Chair's Actions a

- **For Taught Assessments,** the minimum mark for each individual taught assessment <u>on the first attempt</u> for the significant majority of the taught assessments, noting that:
 - if you fail to attain the minimum mark for <u>up to 30 learning credits</u>, you will be permitted to re-take all of those assessments (except for circumstances where a resit award capped at 50% would be insufficient to achieve an overall average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessments);
 - o if, having failed to attain the minimum mark for 30 learning credits, you fail to obtain the minimum mark for <u>any additional learning credits</u> over the course of your studies you will be disqualified from the right to re-take the assessments: this will normally result in intended award failure. (Please note the board of examiners may at its discretion overrule this limit, but this is not an automatic right);
 - o it is <u>not</u> permissible for you to fail an elective module and then proceed to take a different elective module in its place.
- For Substantial pieces of assessment (corresponding to ≥40 credits, which are not part of the taught assessment average), the pass mark of ≥50% (where they exist);
- For the thesis, a mark of ≥50% in order to receive a pass (where it exists).

6. How is the course structured?

Full-time students register for the course in October and are expected to complete the course within 12 calendar months.

All options are also offered on a part-time basis and such students are expected to complete the course within 2 to 3 years. Part-time students are not restricted to starting in October. Instead they are offered individual guidance on the best sequence of study based on their prior knowledge and availability to attend.

Course modules

The following modules outline all parts of the programme leading to MSc. Other awards associated with the course include some or all of these modules.

					Đị.				Calendar		Assessment							
					/ Visiting		N Y		d)		or .		ependent sessment	Multi-p	oart Asse	essment	Submissio	n dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers	Credits	Is the module shared? \	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
1	I-ENE- INWK	Induction	G Drew	24		0	Υ		03/10/16	07/10/16	N/A	AO	N/A				N/A	
2	I-EMB- A1122	Principles of Sustainability	P. Burgess	26		10	Υ		10/10/16	14/10/16	40	ICW	100				F 22/10/16 P 29/10/16	
3	I-EEM- A1184	Environmental Valuation	N. Ozkan	27		10	Υ		24/10/16	28/10/16	40	ICW	100				F 12/11/16 P 19/11/16	
4	I-MES- RETF	Renewable Energy	S. Wagland	30		10	Y		14/11/16	18/10/16	40	ICW	100				26/11/16 FT 03/12/16 PT	

³ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

⁴ Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

⁵ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

⁶ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually.

⁷ For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

⁸ Failure to submit an element of a **multi-part assessment** will **not** require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then **all** elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

⁹ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

					Đ(Calendar		-				Assessm	ent		
					/ Visiting		N/N		d)	_	or or		Independent Assessment		Multi-part Assessment		Submission dates	
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (10%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
		Technologies: Fundamentals																
5	I-MES- A2032	Fuels and Energy Conversion	B Fidalgo Fernandez	27		10	у		28/11/16	02/12/16	40	EX	100				W/C 12/12/16	
6	I- MES- A203 3	Energy Production, Emissions Control, Carbon Capture and Transport	K. Patchigolla	25		10	Y		09/01/1 7	13/01/1 7	40			100	ICW OR	50 50	28/01/17 FT 11/02/17 PT	
7	I- MES- RETS	Renewable Energy Technologies: Systems	G. Di Lorenzo	21		10	Υ		16/01/1 7	20/01/1 7	40	ICW	100				11/02/17 FT 18/02/17 PT	
8	I- MES- A203 1	Renewable Energy Technologies – Design Case Studies	S. Wagland	28		10	Υ		30/01/1 7	03/02/1 7	40			100	GPRES ICW	25 75	18/02/17 FT 04/03/17	
9	G- MTI	Management for Technology	S. Carver	50		10	Υ		13/02/1 7	17/02/1 7	40	EX GCW	50 50				20/03/17 25/03/17	

					бı				Calendar		Assessment							
					/ Visiting		N/Y		<u>u</u> 0	o or		ependent essment	Multi-p	oart Asse		Submission	n dates	
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared?`	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
10	I- ENE- GRP P	Group Project	Supervisor	16		40	Υ		27/02/1 7	05/05/1 7	50	GPROJ ICW	80 20				02/05/17 062/05/17	
11	I- ENE- DISS	Dissertation (Part-Time students only)	Supervisor	10		40	Y		03/10/1 6	30/09/1 7	50	IPROJ	100				30/09/17	
12	I- ENE- THES IS	Individual Research Project	Supervisor	20		80	Y		08/05/17	08/09/17	50	THESIS OR	90 10				04/09/17	

Please list all modules that are shared with another existing course.

Module code	Module title	Course that owns the module	Course(s)/programme(s) that share the module
I-EMB-A1122	Principles of Sustainability	Environmental Management for Business	 Energy Supply for Low Carbon Futures Environment and Public Policy Environmental Management for Business Land Reclamation and Restoration Economics for Natural Resource and Environmental Management Design and Innovation for Sustainability Renewable Energy Technology
I-MES-A2032	Fuels and Energy Conversion	Materials for Energy Systems	 Energy Supply for Low Carbon Futures Materials for Energy Systems Gas Energy Renewable Energy Technology
I-EEM-A1184	Environmental Valuation	Environmental Management for Business	 Economics for Natural Resource and Environmental Management Environment and Public Policy Environmental Management for Business Renewable Energy Technology
G-MT	Management for Technology	School of Management	 Materials for Energy Systems Advanced Mechanical Engineering Biofuels Process Engineering Design of Rotating Machines Energy Supply for Low Carbon Futures Gas Energy Offshore and Ocean Technology With Offshore Materials Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology With Pipeline

_			
			 Engineering Offshore and Ocean Technology With Offshore Renewable Energy Offshore and Ocean Technology With Risk Management Offshore and Ocean Technology With Subsea Engineering Renewable Energy Engineering Flow Assurance for Oil and Gas Production Carbon Capture and Storage Energy Systems and Thermal Processes Process Systems Engineering Renewable Energy Technology
I-MES-RETF	Renewable Energy Technologies: Fundamentals	Materials for Energy Systems	 Energy Supply for Low Carbon Futures Materials for Energy Systems Renewable Energy Technology
I-MES-RETS	Renewable Energy Technologies: Systems	Materials for Energy Systems	 Energy Supply for Low Carbon Futures Energy Systems and Thermal Processes Materials for Energy Systems Renewable Energy Technology
I-MES-A2031	Renewable Energy Technologies: Design Case Study	Materials for Energy Systems	Energy from WasteRenewable Energy Technology

7. How are the ILOs assessed?

The following assessment types are utilised:

The course is assessed as three elements:

- the taught modules (40%) are assessed by in-module assessment (including coursework, which focuses on application of principles studied and class tests) or examination.
- the group project (20%) is assessed by means of a written group report and an oral presentation.
- the research project (40%), is assessed by means of a thesis and an oral examination

<u>CROSS-MODULAR ASSESSMENT</u> (including any assessment which rests outside an individual module)

Title	Modules Covered	Assessment		
		Туре	Weight (%)	

8. How will the University assure the quality of the provision?

New course proposals are reviewed by a Course Validation Panel, comprising at least the following membership: normally one subject matter expert external to the School or University, at least 3 academic staff not associated with the proposal. The Panel may include 1 member of professional staff. Panels are supported by an appropriately trained Secretary who acts as advisor to the Panel. Proposals are reviewed in line with the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA) Quality Code, in particular Chapter B1 (Programme Design and Approval) and in the case of partnership arrangements in accordance with Chapter B10 (Managing Higher Education with Others). New courses are ultimately approved by the University's Education Committee, on behalf of Senate.

Course changes are approved by the School's Director of Education on behalf of Education Committee and Senate. Significant changes to a course will be referred to a Course Review Panel at the discretion of the Director of Education.

The University has in place regular monitoring procedures for quality assurance including an Annual Reflective Review for each course and an in depth 6 year review of each School's (total) educational provision known as the Senate Review. For collaborative partnerships, in addition to the Annual Reflective Review there is an Annual Operating Statement and a 5 year review known as a Focussed Review which looks at each course in depth. In addition occasional site inspection visits are made.

Each course has at least one External Examiner who monitors all aspects of the assessment process. This is in line with the guidance provided by the QAA particularly in Chapter B7 (External Examining) which emphasises that external examining is one of the principal means for maintaining UK threshold academic standards within autonomous higher education institutions.

Each course has a formally constituted Examination Board, which includes the External Examiner, and which is responsible for ensuring that awards are made within the Regulations of the University and that students are made awards on the basis of meeting the specified Intended Learning Outcomes of a course at the appropriate standard.

Each course has a formally constituted Course Committee which meets at least twice a year to discuss, inter alia, programme design and planning, the student experience (including feedback) and student progress.

Each course has an Industry Advisory Panel (or similar) which meets at least once a year to engage with external stakeholders on curriculum design and currency of course content.

Student feedback both qualitative and quantitative is collected for each module studied. In addition students are invited to participate in the University's annual New Student Survey and Student Satisfaction Survey along with the annual national Postgraduate Taught Student Experience Survey. The results of all feedback are considered by the Course Committee and

additionally, in respect of the University and national surveys, issues of quality are considered by and acted on where appropriate by the Education Committee, Senate, School and University Executives.

9. What opportunities are graduates likely to have on completing the course?

On completion, graduates will have a broad knowledge, network and increased opportunities for individual specialism in their chosen career in the energy production and management industries.

COURSE SPECIFICATION



Cranfield University: Course Specifications

Course specifications outline the content and structure of a course leading to an award of Cranfield University. This version of the course specification has been approved by Education Committee and every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information. Courses are under constant review, however, and the University reserves the right, without notice, to withdraw, update or amend this course specification at any time.

COURSE TITLE: MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation

Date of first publication/latest revision: October 2016

1. What is the course?

Course information

Course Title	MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport) MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport) MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)
Course code	MSSAIPTC, PDSAIPTC, PCSAIPTC – Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)
	MSSAMPTC, PDSAMPTC, PCSAMPTC – Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)
	MSRAIPTC, PDRAIPTC, PCRAIPTC – Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)
Academic Year	2016/17
Valid entry routes	MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport) MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport) MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport) PgDip in Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport) PgDip in Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport) PgDip in Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport) PgCert in Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport) PgCert in Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport) PgCert in Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)
Additional exit routes	Not Applicable
Mode of delivery	Part-time
Location of Study	Cranfield University
School(s)	School of Aerospace, Transport and Manufacturing
Theme	Transport Systems
Centre	Centre for Safety and Accident Investigation
Course Director	Saryani Asmayawati
Awarding Body	Cranfield University

Teaching Institution	Cranfield University
Admissions body	Cranfield University
Entry requirements	Standard University entry requirements
UK Qualifications Framework Level	QAA FHEQ Level 7 (Masters)
Benchmark Statement(s)	Not Applicable
Registration Period(s) available	Part-time MSc - up to three years, Part-time PgDip - two years, Part-time PgCert - two years
Course Start Month(s)	January,May or September

Institutions delivering the course

This course is delivered by the School of Aerospace, Transport and Manufacturing, Transport Systems Theme, Centre for Safety and Accident Investigation where the research interests include:

- Safety Management
- Accident Investigation
- Risk Management

Cranfield University interacts with the following institutions and in the following ways:

Teaching and assessment is also provided by other centres in the School of Aerospace, Transport and Manufacturing, School of Water, Energy and Environment, and by the Cranfield Forensic Institute. Shrivenham.

Cranfield University remains fully responsible for the quality of the delivery of the course.

Accreditation by Public, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)

The course is not currently accredited.

2. What are the aims of the course?

MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport):

The aim of the course is to provide students with the knowledge and skills to conduct an aircraft accident investigation in accordance with the standards and recommended practices as inferred by the appropriate guidelines and legislations, including:

- Collection and preservation of evidence
- · Health and safety of themselves and others on the accident site
- Scientific analysis of causes of accidents
- Preparation of defensible and practicable recommendations
- Dissemination of findings and safety promotion

Postgraduate Diploma (PGDip) and Postgraduate Certificate (PGCert) entry routes are provided for students who wish to access only parts of the course provided. It is also suggested that the latter two qualifications may be more appropriate for those in the aviation or related industries who have no need for a separate Individual Research Project.

MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport):

The aim of the course is to provide students with the knowledge and skills to conduct a marine accident investigation in accordance with the standards and recommended practices as inferred by the appropriate guidelines and legislations, including:

- Collection and preservation of evidence
- · Health and safety of themselves and others on the accident site
- Scientific analysis of causes of accidents
- · Preparation of defensible and practicable recommendations
- Dissemination of findings and safety promotion

Postgraduate Diploma (PGDip) and Postgraduate Certificate (PGCert) entry routes are provided for students who wish to access only parts of the course provided. It is also suggested that the latter two qualifications may be more appropriate for those in the marine or related industries who have no need for a separate Individual Research Project.

MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport):

The aim of the proposed course is to provide students with the knowledge and skills to conduct a rail accident investigation in accordance with the standards and recommended practices as inferred by the appropriate guidelines and legislations, including:

- Collection and preservation of evidence
- · Health and safety of themselves and others on the accident site
- Scientific analysis of causes of accidents
- Preparation of defensible and practicable recommendations
- Dissemination of findings and safety promotion

Postgraduate Diploma (PgDip) and Postgraduate Certificate (PgCert) entry routes are provided for students who wish to access only parts of the course provided. It is also suggested that the latter two qualifications may be more appropriate for those in the rail industry who have no need for a separate Individual Research Project.

This programme is intended for the following range of students:

MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport):

- Those with a technical or operational background in air transport
- Those employed as accident investigators
- Those employed in operational safety management
- Other employment sources including military, regulators and manufacturers

MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport):

- Those with a technical or operational background in marine transport
- Those employed as accident investigators
- Those employed in operational safety management
- Other employment sources including military, regulators and manufacturers

MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport):

- Those with a technical or operational background in rail transport
- Those employed as accident investigators
- Those employed in operational safety management
- Other employment sources including military, regulators and manufacturers

3. What should students expect to achieve in completing the course?

Award intended learning outcomes (ILOs) (skills and knowledge).

A. Postgraduate Certificate in Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)

In completing this course, and achieving the associated award, a diligent student should be able to:

- ILO 1.a Demonstrate a fundamental understanding of, and the application thereof to current problems, the process of transport accident investigation including preparation, emergency response, evidence collection, analysis, report writing and making recommendations;
- ILO 2.a Understand the statutory obligations of an accident investigator and the competing interests of agencies including the police, Coroner, regulator, operator and manufacturer and incorporate these in investigation processes;
- ILO 3.a Identify the evidence sources that may be available to an investigation and personally demonstrate how to collect, preserve and interpret them;
- ILO 4.a Examine major accident investigation case studies and critically evaluate their relevance to investigation techniques and aviation safety;
- ILO 5.a Critically analyse evidence collected during an investigation, draw conclusions and make recommendations that do not lay blame, are replicable, logical and of sufficient scientific rigour;
- ILO 6.a Plan, organise and conduct an accident investigation, on site with due regard to personal safety, evidence preservation, ethics and rigour;
- ILO 7.a Appraise and use appropriate techniques for the analysis of evidence;
- ILO 8.a Work as part of an accident investigation team with an understanding of the various roles of other team members;
- ILO 9.a Compose an accident report in accordance with the structure laid out in national or regional standards;
- ILO 10.a Plan and conduct effective presentations of their findings.

B. Postgraduate Certificate in Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)

In completing this course, and achieving the associated award, a diligent student should be able to:

- ILO 1.b Demonstrate a fundamental understanding of, and the application thereof to current problems, the process of transport accident investigation including preparation, emergency response, evidence collection, analysis, report writing and making recommendations:
- ILO 2.b Understand the statutory obligations of an accident investigator and the competing interests of agencies including the Maritime and Coastguard Agency, police, Coroner, operator and manufacturer and incorporate these in investigation processes;
- ILO 3.b Identify the evidence sources that may be available to an investigation and personally demonstrate how to collect, preserve and interpret them;
- ILO 4.b Examine major accident investigation case studies and critically evaluate their relevance to investigation techniques and maritime safety;
- ILO 5.b Critically analyse evidence collected during an investigation, draw conclusions and make recommendations that do not lay blame, are replicable, logical and of sufficient scientific rigour;
- ILO 6.b Plan, organise and conduct an accident investigation, on site with due regard to personal safety, evidence preservation, ethics and rigour;
- ILO 7.b Appraise and use appropriate techniques for the analysis of evidence;
- ILO 8.b Work as part of an accident investigation team with an understanding of the various roles of other team members:
- ILO 9.b Compose an accident report in accordance with the structure laid out in national, or regional standards;
- ILO 10.b Plan and conduct effective presentations of their findings.

C. Postgraduate Certificate in Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)

In completing this course, and achieving the associated award, a diligent student should be able to:

- ILO 1.c Demonstrate a fundamental understanding of, and the application thereof to current problems, the process of transport accident investigation including preparation, emergency response, evidence collection, analysis, report writing and making recommendations:
- ILO 2.c Understand the statutory obligations of an accident investigator and the competing interests of agencies including the police, Coroner, regulator, operator and manufacturer and incorporate these in investigation processes;
- ILO 3.c Identify the evidence sources that may be available to an investigation and personally demonstrate how to collect, preserve and interpret them;
- ILO 4.c Examine major accident investigation case studies and critically evaluate their relevance to investigation techniques and rail safety;
- ILO 5.c Critically analyse evidence collected during an investigation, draw conclusions and make recommendations that do not lay blame, are replicable, logical and of sufficient scientific rigour:
- ILO 6.c Plan, organise and conduct an accident investigation, on site with due regard to personal safety, evidence preservation, ethics and rigour;
- ILO 7.c Appraise and use appropriate techniques for the analysis of evidence;
- ILO 8.c Work as part of an accident investigation team with an understanding of the various roles of other team members:
- ILO 9.c Compose an accident report in accordance with the structure laid out in national, or regional standards:
- ILO 10.c Plan and conduct effective presentations of their findings.

D. Postgraduate Diploma in Safety and Accident Investigation (Air, Marine and Rail Transport)

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined for the Postgraduate Certificate, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 11. Demonstrate an advanced level of understanding, and application thereof to current problems, within a personal choice of technical areas to be chosen from, for example, but not restricted to, human factors, risk management, forensic science, crashworthiness and wreckage recovery;
- ILO 12. Develop personal expertise in the capability of being able to critically evaluate evidence collected within the personal choice of specialist technical areas so as to be able to conduct the investigation of an accident independently without supervision, or as part of a team;
- ILO 13. Develop personal management skills so as to be able to lead specialist teams in an area of the investigation in which they have achieved technical competence developed as a result of their academic studies.

F. MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Air, Marine and Rail Transport)

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined for the Postgraduate Certificate, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 14. Formulate a research task, develop aims and objectives for completing the research task, and setting research hypotheses where appropriate;
- ILO 15. Critically assess different methodologies and select an appropriate one to test a particular hypothesis;
- ILO 16. Collect primary and secondary data and know how to choose appropriate analysis techniques:

- ILO 17. Understand the potential biases that may influence researchers and methods to limit such occurrences;
- ILO 18. Conduct a literature review and present it in an appropriate style;
- ILO 19. Prepare a scientific thesis and present results based upon the techniques listed above;
- ILO 20. Give a presentation to peers about the research project.

4. How is the course taught?

Students will be supported in their learning and personal development by:

- Lectures from external speakers with expertise in particular aspects of the course;
- Access to library resources;
- Use of class and field exercises to help develop knowledge and techniques;
- Conducting an Individual Research Project (MSc only).

5. What do students need to achieve in order to graduate?

Notwithstanding University Regulations and the authorities and powers exercised by examiners, students will normally need to demonstrate achievement in the elements of the course, as laid out in Section 7. Courses are structured through the accumulation of credit, where 1 credit represents 10 notional learning hours.

In brief, students will normally need to achieve the following in order to be awarded the qualifications:

A. Postgraduate Certificate

The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

PgCert in Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules: 1 and 2	60
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
n/a	
TOTAL:	60

PgCert in Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules: 1 and 2	60
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
n/a	
TOTAL:	60

PgCert in Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules: 1 and 2	60
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
n/a	
TOTAL:	60

B. Postgraduate Diploma

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

PgDip in Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules: 1 and 2 PgDip Project: 18	60 20
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Four modules selected from: 4-17	40
TOTAL:	120

PgDip in Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)

Description	Credits				
COMPULSORY MODULES:					
Modules: 1 and 2 PgDip Project: 13	60 20				
ELECTIVE MODULES:					
Four modules selected from: 4-12	40				
TOTAL:	120				

PgDip in Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules: 1 and 2 PgDip Project: 15	60 20
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Four modules selected from: 4-14	40
TOTAL:	120

C. MSc

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules: 1, 2 and 3 Individual Research Project: 19	60 100
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Four modules selected from: 4-17	40
TOTAL:	200

MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules: 1, 2 and 3 Individual Research Project: 14	60 100
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Four modules selected from: 4-12	40
TOTAL:	200

MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules: 1, 2 and 3 Individual Research Project: 16	60 100
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Four modules selected from: 4-14	40
TOTAL:	200

If a student does not meet the required standards for the award, the examiners for the programme may decide to offer a lower award associated with the programme, providing that a lower exit award exists and the student meets the requirements of that lower award.

Pass Criteria

The University operates standard pass criteria which can be found in the Senate Handbook on Assessment Rules.

In order to achieve your award, you are required to achieve:

- An overall average mark of ≥50%;
- An average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessment;
- All assessments need to be completed and the minimum mark attained: no more than one
 failure to complete an assessment (as defined in Section 2.3) will be permitted throughout
 the course of your studies (Please note that the board of examiners does <u>not</u> have
 discretion to overrule this limit, but can refer a case to Senate's Education Committee);^{1 2}
- **For Taught Assessments**, the minimum mark for each individual taught assessment <u>on</u> the first attempt for the significant majority of the taught assessments, noting that:
 - if you fail to attain the minimum mark for <u>up to 30 learning credits</u>, you will be permitted to re-take all of those assessments (except for circumstances where a resit award capped at 50% would be insufficient to achieve an overall average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessments);
 - o if, having failed to attain the minimum mark for 30 learning credits, you fail to obtain the minimum mark for <u>any additional learning credits</u> over the course of your studies you will be disqualified from the right to re-take the assessments: this will normally result in intended award failure. (Please note the board of examiners may at its discretion overrule this limit, but this is not an automatic right);
 - it is <u>not</u> permissible for you to fail an elective module and then proceed to take a different elective module in its place.
- For Substantial pieces of assessment (corresponding to ≥40 credits, which are not part of the taught assessment average), the pass mark of ≥50% (where they exist);
- For the thesis, a mark of ≥50% in order to receive a pass (where it exists).

Where Public, Statutory or Regulatory Body (PSRB) accreditation requires additional or higher levels of assessment the PSRB requirements will take precedence.

6. How is the course structured?

Part-time students register for the course in January, May or September and are expected to complete the course within three years.

The basic structure of the programme is summarised below:

A. Postgraduate Certificate (PgCert)

PgCert in Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)

Two compulsory/core modules to be taken in sequential order as follows:

- Fundamentals of Accident Investigation
- Applied Aircraft Accident Investigation

PgCert in Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)

Two compulsory/core modules to be taken in sequential order as follows:

For students who were registered before 1 August 2015, the requirement to obtain a minimum mark for a taught assessment will not apply for taught assessment taken before 31 August 2015 (unless the assessment was designated as a "key assessment" under the previous Assessment Rules).

Providing the minimum mark is met, a mark of 40-49% will be automatically compensated if a student's overall average taught assessment mark (including the failed assessment) is greater than 50%. Students are advised, however, that they retain the right to re-take an assessment with a mark of <40% (but should note that a re-take attempt will be capped at 50%), as long as they haven't failed more than 30 credits. At the discretion of the Board of Examiners or by Board of Examiners Chair's Actions a student may be permitted a re-take attempt of modules in the range of 40-49% only if the average mark of their other taught modules would not allow them to qualify for their award (<50%).

- Fundamentals of Accident Investigation
- Applied Marine Accident Investigation

PgCert in Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)

Two compulsory/core modules to be taken in sequential order as follows:

- Fundamentals of Accident Investigation
- Applied Rail Accident Investigation

B. Postgraduate Diploma (PgDip)

PgDip in Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)

As for the PgCert route, in addition, students select four modules from differing specialist areas including:

- Core Skills
- Management
- Engineering
- Operations
- Specialist Techniques

In addition to the four modules, PgDip students are required to complete a supervised research report on a subject of their choice within the field of aircraft accident investigation or an allied subject area.

PgDip in Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)

As for the PgCert route, in addition, students select four modules (worth 40 credits in total) from differing specialist areas including:

- Core Skills
- Management
- Engineering
- Operations
- Specialist Techniques

In addition to the four modules, PgDip students are required to complete a supervised research report on a subject of their choice within the field of marine accident investigation or an allied subject area.

PgDip in Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)

As for the PgCert route, in addition, students select four modules from differing specialist areas including:

- Core Skills
- Management
- Engineering
- Operations
- Specialist Techniques

In addition to the four modules, PgDip students are required to complete a supervised research report on a subject of their choice within the field of rail accident investigation or an allied subject area.

C. MSc

MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)

Similar to the PgDip route except that, instead of the research report, MSc students are required to complete a supervised Individual Research Project on a subject of their choice within the field of aircraft accident investigation or an allied subject area. The research is expected to go into much greater depth than that required for the PGDip.

MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)

Similar to the PgDip route except that, instead of the research report, MSc students are required to complete a supervised Individual Research Project on a subject of their choice within the field of marine accident investigation or an allied subject area. The research is expected to go into much greater depth than that required for the PgDip.

MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)

Similar to the PgDip route except that, instead of the research report, MSc students are required to complete a supervised Individual Research Project on a subject of their choice within the field of rail accident investigation or an allied subject area. The research is expected to go into much greater depth than that required for the PgDip.

Course modules

The following modules outline all parts of the programme leading to MSc. Other awards associated with the course include some or all of these modules.

MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)

								Calendar				Assessment						
					ing						20%	Independent Assessment		Multi-part Assessment		sment	Submission dates	
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Visiting Lecturers 4	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% or 50	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
1	N-SAI- FOI	Fundamentals of Accident Investigation	Graham Wilson	100		30	Y	05/09/16 (Occ A16) 09/01/17 (Occ B16)	05/09/16 (Occ A16) 09/01/17 (Occ B16)	23/09/16 (Occ A16) 27/01/17 (Occ B16)	50	, ,	30				21/11/16 (Occ A16) 27/03/17 (Occ B16)	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year

³ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

⁴ Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

⁵ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

⁶ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually.

⁷ For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

⁸ Failure to submit an element of a **multi-part assessment** will **not** require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then **all** elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

⁰⁹ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

									Calendar						Assessm	nent		
					ing						20%		endent sment	Multi-բ	oart Asses	sment	Subm	ission dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Visiting Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% or 5	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
								08/05/17 (Occ C16)	08/05/17 (Occ C16)	26/05/17 (Occ C16)	50	ICW (2)	50		•		24/07/17 (Occ C16)	, ,
2	N-SAI- AAAIT	Applied Aircraft Accident Investigation	Alan Parmenter	100		30	N	30/01/17 (Occ A16) 29/05/17 (Occ B16)	30/01/17 (Occ A16) 29/05/17 (Occ B16)	17/02/17 (Occ A16) 16/06/17 (Occ B16)	50 50 50	ICW (1) GPROJ ICW (2)	35 35 30				17/04/17 (Occ A16) 14/08/17 (Occ B16)	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
3	N-SAI- RM	Research Methods	Dr Jim Nixon	30		0	Y	07/11/16	07/11/16	11/11/16		AO	n/a				n/a	
4	N-AEN- ASC	Introduction to Aircraft Structural Crashworthiness	Dr Hessam Ghasemnejad	25		10	Y	03/07/17	03/07/17	07/07/17	40	ICW	100				04/09/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
5	N-HFS- FDM	Flight Data Monitoring	Mr David Barry	25		10	Υ	19/09/16 (Occ B16) 06/03/17 (Occ A16)	19/09/16 (Occ B16) 06/03/17 (Occ A16)	23/09/16 (Occ B16) 10/03/17 (Occ A16)	40	ICW	100				14/11/16 (Occ B16) 24/04/17 (Occ A16)	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year

									Calendar						Assessm	nent		
					ing						20%		endent sment	Multi- _l	oart Asses	sment	Subm	ission dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Visiting Lecturers 4	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% or 5	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
6	N-SAI- ISMS	Aviation Safety Management	Dr Simon Mitchell/Mr David Barry	30		10	Y	05/09/16 (Occ A16) 24/04/17 (Occ B16)	05/09/16 (Occ A16) 24/04/17 (Occ B16)	09/09/17 (Occ A16) 28/04/17 (Occ B16)	40	ICW	100		·		07/11/16 (Occ A16) 26/06/17 (Occ B16)	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
7	N-SAI- IHP	Investigating Human Performance	Peter McCarthy	30		10	Y	05/09/16 (Occ A16) 27/02/17 (Occ B16)	05/09/16 (Occ A16) 27/02/17 (Occ B16)	09/09/16 (Occ A16) 03/03/17 (Occ B16)	40	ICW	100				07/11/16 (Occ A16) 02/05/17 (Occ B16)	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
8	I-GIM- A1135	Aerial Photography and Digital Photogrammetry	Tim Brewer	50		10	Y	10/10/16	10/10/15	16/10/16	40	ICW	100				29/10/16	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
9	R-FP- IEC	Investigation and Evidence Collection	Dr Karl Harrison	34		10	Y	12/09/16 (Occ A16)	12/09/16 (Occ A16)	16/09/16 (Occ A16)	40	ICW	100				11/11/16 (Occ A16)	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year

									Calendar						Assessm	ent		
					ing						20%		endent sment	Multi- _l	oart Asses	sment	Subm	ission dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Visiting Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% or 5	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
10	N-AW- SAAS	Safety Assessment of Aircraft Systems	Dr Simon Place	35		10	Y	14/11/16 (Occ A16) 26/06/17 (Occ B16)	14/11/16 (Occ A16) 26/06/17 (Occ B16)	18/11/16 (Occ A16) 30/06/17 (Occ B16)	40			100 MULTI	ICW GPRES	70 30	16/01/17 (Occ A16) 29/08/16 (Occ B16)	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
11	N-SAI- LSAI	Legal Skills for Accident Investigators	Saryani Asmayawati	30		10	Y	20/03/17	20/03/17	24/03/17	40	ICW	100				22/05/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
12	N-HFS- HFAM	Human Factors in Aviation Maintenance	Dr Marie Langer	30		10	Y	13/03/17	13/03/17	17/03/17	40 40	ICW GPRES	90				ICW 15/05/17 GPRES 17/03/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
13	R-FP- FEI	Fires, Explosions and their Investigation	Dr Mike Williams	28		10	Y	14/11/16 (Occ A16)	14/11/16 (Occ A16)	18/11/16 (Occ A16)	40	ICW	100				16/01/17 (Occ A16)	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the

									Calendar						Assessm	nent		
					ing						20%		endent sment	Multi- _l	oart Asses	sment	Subm	ission dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Visiting Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% or 5	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
												·			·			course runs the following year
14	R-FP- MFI	Mass Fatality Incidents	Dr Sophie Beckett	28		10	Υ	09/01/17	09/01/17	13/01/17	40 40	ICW ICW	50 50				09/03/17 06/04/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
15	N-AEX- CMBC	Crisis Management and Business Continuity	Mr David Barry	24		10	Y	31/10/16	31/10/16	04/11/16	40	ICW	100				09/01/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
16	N-SAI- ITAI	Interviewing Techniques for Accident Investigators	Dr Graham Wilson	35		10	Y	13/03/17	13/03/17	17/03/17	40	ICW	100				15/05/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year

									Calendar						Assessm	nent		
					ing						50%	•	endent sment	Multi-ր	oart Asses	sment	Subm	ission dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Visiting Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% or 50	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Fype of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
17	N-SAI- FMF	Fundamentals of Material Failures for Accident Investigators	Saryani Asmayawati	30		10	Y	17/10/16	17/10/16	21/10/16	40	ICW	100		•		19/12/16	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
18	N-SAI- DITHES	PgDip Project	Saryani Asmayawati	20		20	Y	Various	Various	Various	40	ICW	100				Various	
19	N-SAI- THES	Individual Research Project	Saryani Asmayawati	20		100	Υ	1 yr duration	1 yr duration	End of 1 yr duration		THESIS OR	80 20				Various	

MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)

									Calendar					,	Assess	ment		
					ing						20%		endent ssment		/lulti-pa sessm		Subm	ission dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ¹⁰	Total hours delivered by Visiting Lecturers	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ¹² - 40% or 5	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module13 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 14(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ¹⁵	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ¹⁶	Assessment / Exam Retake date
1	N-SAI- FOI	Fundamentals of Accident Investigation	Graham Wilson	100		30	Y	05/09/16 (Occ A16) 09/01/17 (Occ B16)	05/09/16 (Occ A16) 09/01/17 (Occ B16)	23/09/16 (Occ A16) 27/01/17 (Occ B16)	50 50	ICW (1)	30				21/11/16 (Occ A16) 27/03/17 (Occ B16)	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
								08/05/17 (Occ C16)	08/05/17 (Occ C16)	26/05/17 (Occ C16)	50	ICW (2)	50				24/07/17 (Occ C16)	
2	N-SAI- AMAI	Applied Marine Accident	Graham Wilson	100		30	N	26/09/16	26/09/16	14/10/16	50 50	ICW (1) GPROJ	35 35				12/12/16	At the next available opportunity which

¹⁰ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice ¹¹ Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

13 For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually.

14 For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

¹⁵ Failure to submit an element of a **multi-part assessment** will **not** require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then all elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

¹⁶ Please ensure vou include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

									Calendar					,	Assess	sment		
					ing						20%	Indepe Asses	endent sment		/lulti-pa sessm		Subm	ission dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ¹⁰	Total hours delivered by Visiting Lecturers 11	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ¹² - 40% or 9	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module13 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 14(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ¹⁵	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ¹⁶	Assessment / Exam Retake date
		Investigation									50	ICW (2)	30		·			may not be until the course runs the following year
3	N-SAI- RM	Research Methods	Dr Jim Nixon	30		0	Υ	07/11/16	07/11/16	11/11/16		AO	n/a				n/a	
4	N-SAI- LSAI	Legal Skills for Accident Investigators	Saryani Asmayawati	30		10	Υ	20/03/17	20/03/17	24/03/17	40	ICW	100				22/05/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
5	R-FP- IEC	Investigation and Evidence Collection	Dr Karl Harrison	34		10	Υ	12/09/16 (Occ A15)	12/09/16 (Occ A15)	16/09/16 (Occ A15)	40	ICW	100				11/11/16 (Occ A15)	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
6	R-FP- FEI	Fires, Explosions and their Investigations	Dr Mike Williams	28		10	Y	14/11/16 (Occ A16)	14/11/16 (Occ A16)	18/11/16 (Occ A16)	40	ICW	100				16/01/17 (Occ A16)	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year

									Calendar					,	Assess	ment		
					ing						20%	Indepe Asses	endent sment		lulti-pa sessm		Subm	ission dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ¹⁰	Total hours delivered by Visiting Lecturers 11	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ¹² - 40% or t	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module13 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 14(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ¹⁵	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ¹⁶	Assessment / Exam Retake date
7	N-SAI- FMF	Fundamentals of Material Failures for Accident Investigators	Saryani Asmayawati	30		10	Υ	17/10/16	17/10/16	21/10/16	40	ICW	100				19/12/16	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
8	R-FP- MFI	Mass Fatality Incidents	Dr Sophie Beckett	28		10	Y	09/01/17	09/01/17	13/01/17	40 40	ICW ICW	50 50				09/03/17 06/04/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
9	N-AME- SI	Structural Integrity	Dr Ali Mehmanparas t	38.5		10	Y	30/01/17	30/01/17	03/02/17	40	EX	100				24/02/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
10	I-GIM- A1131	GIS Fundamentals	Tim Brewer	42		10	Υ	24/10/16	24/10/16	28/10/16	40	ICW	100				12/11/16	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the

									Calendar					,	Assess	sment		
					ing						20%		endent sment		/lulti-pa sessm		Subm	ission dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ¹⁰	Total hours delivered by Visiting Lecturers 11	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ¹² - 40% or 9	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module13 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 14(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ¹⁵	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ¹⁶	Assessment / Exam Retake date
																		following year
11	N-SAI- IHP	Investigating Human Performance	Peter McCarthy	30		10	Υ	05/09/16 (Occ A15) 27/02/17 (Occ B15)	05/09/16 (Occ A15) 27/02/17 (Occ B15)	09/09/16 (Occ A15) 03/03/17 (Occ B15)	40	ICW	100				07/11/16 (Occ A15) 02/05/17 (Occ B15)	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
12	N-SAI- ITAI	Interviewing Techniques for Accident Investigators	Graham Wilson	35		10	Υ	13/03/17	13/03/17	17/03/17	40	ICW	100				15/05/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
13	N-SAI- DITHES	PgDip Project	Saryani Asmayawati	20		20	Y	Various	Various	Various	40	ICW	100				Various	
14	N-SAI- THES	Individual Research Project	Saryani Asmayawati	20		100	Υ	1 yr duration	1 yr duration	End of 1 yr duration		THESIS OR	80 20				Various	



MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)

									Calendar						Assess	sment		
					ing						20%		endent ssment		/lulti-pa sessm		Subm	ission dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ¹⁷	Total hours delivered by Visiting Lecturers	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ¹⁹ - 40% or 5	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module20 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ²²	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ²³	Assessment / Exam Retake date
1	N-SAI- FOI	Fundamentals of Accident Investigation	Graham Wilson	100		30	Y	05/09/16 (Occ A15) 09/01/17 (Occ B15)	05/09/16 (Occ A15) 09/01/17 (Occ B15)	23/09/16 (Occ A15) 27/01/17 (Occ B15)	50 50	ICW (1)	30				21/11/16 (Occ A15) 27/03/17 (Occ B15)	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
								08/05/17 (Occ C15)	08/05/17 (Occ C15)	26/05/17 (Occ C15)	50	ICW (2)	50				24/07/17 (Occ C15)	
2	N-SAI- ARAI	Applied Rail Accident Investigation	Saryani Asmayawati	100		30	N	26/06/17	26/06/17	14/07/17	50	ICW (1)	35				10/07/17	At the next available opportunity which

¹⁷ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

¹⁸ Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

¹⁹ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

20 For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually.

21 For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

Failure to submit an element of a **multi-part assessment** will **not** require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then all elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

²³ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

									Calendar					,	Assess	sment		
					ing						20%		endent sment		lulti-pa sessm		Subm	ission dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ¹⁷	Total hours delivered by Visiting Lecturers 18	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ¹⁹ - 40% or t	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module20 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ²¹ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ²²	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ²³	Assessment / Exam Retake date
											50 50	GPROJ ICW (2)	35 30				14/07/17 04/09/17	may not be until the course runs the following year
3	N-SAI- RM	Research Methods	Dr Jim Nixon	30		0	Υ	07/11/16	07/11/16	11/11/16		АО	n/a				n/a	
4	I-GIM- A1135	Aerial Photography and Digital Photogrammetry	Tim Brewer	50		10	Y	10/10/16	10/10/16	14/10/16	40	ICW	100				29/10/16	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
5	R-FP- IEC	Investigation and Evidence Collection	Dr Karl Harrison	34		10	Υ	12/09/16 (Occ A15)	12/09/16 (Occ A15)	16/09/16 (Occ A15)	40	ICW	100				11/11/16 (Occ A15)	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
6	R-FP- FEI	Fires, Explosions and their Investigation	Dr Mike Williams	28		10	Υ	14/11/16 (Occ A16)	14/11/16 (Occ A16)	18/11/16 (Occ A16)	40	ICW	100				16/01/17 (Occ A16)	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year

									Calendar					,	Assess	sment		
					ing						20%	Indepe Asses			lulti-pa sessm		Subm	ission dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ¹⁷	Total hours delivered by Visiting Lecturers 18	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ¹⁹ - 40% or 9	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module20 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 21(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ²²	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ²³	Assessment / Exam Retake date
7	N-SAI- FMF	Fundamentals of Material Failures for Accident Investigators	Saryani Asmayawati	30		10	Υ	17/10/16	17/10/16	21/10/16	40	ICW	100				19/12/16	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
8	R-FP- MFI	Mass Fatality Incidents	Dr Sophie Beckett	28		10	Υ	09/01/17	09/01/17	13/01/17	40 40	ICW ICW	50 50				09/03/17 06/04/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
9	N-AME- SI	Structural Integrity	Dr Ali Mehmanparas t	38.5		10	Υ	30/01/17	30/01/17	03/02/17	40	EX	100				24/02/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
10	N-SAI- LSAI	Legal Skills for Accident Investigators	Saryani Asmayawati	30		10	Y	20/03/17	20/03/17	24/03/17	40	ICW	100				22/05/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year

									Calendar						Assess	sment		
					ing						20%		dependent Multi-part seessment Assessme			Submission dates		
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ¹⁷	Total hours delivered by Visiting Lecturers 18	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ¹⁹ - 40% or	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module20 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ²²	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ²³	Assessment / Exam Retake date
11	N-SAI- IHP	Investigating Human Performance	Peter McCarthy	30		10	Υ	05/09/16 (Occ A15) 27/02/17 (Occ B15)	05/09/16 (Occ A15) 27/02/17 (Occ B15)	09/09/16 (Occ A15) 03/03/17 (Occ B15)	40	ICW	100				07/11/16 (Occ A15) 02/05/17 (Occ B15)	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
12	N-SAI- ITAI	Interviewing Techniques for Accident Investigators	Graham Wilson	35		10	Y	13/03/17	13/03/17	17/03/17	40	ICW	100				15/05/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
13	N-SAI- TRS	Traction and Rolling Stock	Saryani Asmayawati	30		10	N	NOT F	RUNNING IN	2016/17	40	ICW	100					
14	N-SAI- IRST	Introduction to Railway Signalling Technologies	Saryani Asmayawati	30		10	N	N NOT RUNNING IN 2016/17			40	ICW	100					
15	N-SAI- DITHES	PgDip Project	Saryani Asmayawati	20		20	Υ	Various	Various	Various	40	ICW	100				Various	
16	N-SAI- THES	Individual Research Project	Saryani Asmayawati	20		100	Υ	1 yr duration	1 yr duration	End of 1 yr		THESIS OR	80 20				Various	

								Calendar							Assess	sment					
					ing						20%	% Independent Assessment		Multi-part Assessment		Submission dates					
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ¹⁷	Total hours delivered by Visiting Lecturers	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ¹⁹ - 40% or 5	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module20 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 21(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ²²	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ²³	Assessment / Exam Retake date			

Please list all modules that are shared with another existing course.

MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)

Module code	Module title	Course that owns the module	Course(s)/programme(s) that share the module		
N-SAI-FOI	Fundamentals of Accident Investigation	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)	Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)		
		' ,	Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)		
N-HFS-RMS (assessed)	Research Methods	Safety and Human Factors in Aviation	Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)		
N-SAI-RM (non- assessed)			Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)		
N-AEN-ASC	Introduction to Aircraft Structural	Aircraft Engineering	Airworthiness		
	Crashworthiness	Linginieering	Military Aerospace and Airworthiness		
N-HFS-FDM	Flight Data Monitoring	Safety and Human Factors in Aviation	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)		
N-SAI-ISMS	Aviation Safety Management	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)	Airworthiness Military Aerospace and Airworthiness		
			Air Transport Management (Full Time)		
			Safety and Human Factors in Aviation		
N-SAI-IHP	Investigating Human Performance	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air	Safety Accident and Investigation (Marine Transport)		
		Transport)	Safety Accident and Investigation (Rail Transport)		
I-GIM-A1135	Aerial Photography and Digital Photogrammetry	Geographical Information Management	Integrated Landscape Ecology		
	g. a		Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)		
R-FP-IEC	Investigation and Evidence Collection	Forensic Programme	Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine		

	T	I	<u> </u>
			Transport)
			Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)
N-AW-SAAS	Safety Assessment of Aircraft Systems	Airworthiness	Military Aerospace and Airworthiness
			Safety and Human Factors in Aviation
N-SAI-LSAI	Legal Skills for Accident Investigators	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)	Safety Accident and Investigation (Marine Transport)
			Safety Accident and Investigation (Rail Transport)
N-HFS-HFAM	Human Factors in Aviation Maintenance	Safety and Human Factors in	Airworthiness
		Aviation	Military Aerospace and Airworthiness
R-FP-FEI	Fires, Explosions and their Investigation	Forensic Programme	Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)
			Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)
R-FP-MFI	Mass Fatality Incidents	Forensic Programme	Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)
			Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)
N-AEX-CMBC	Crisis Management and Business Continuity	Executive Air Transport Management	Executive Airport Planning and Management
N-SAI-ITAI	Interviewing Techniques for Accident Investigators	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air	Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)
		Transport)	Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)
N-SAI-FMF	Fundamentals of Material Failures for Accident Investigators	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)	Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)
			Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)
N-SAI-DITHES	PgDip Project	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)	Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)
			Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)

N-SAI-THES	Individual Research Project	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)	Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)
		, ,	Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)

MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)

Module code	Module title	Course that owns the module	Course(s)/programme(s) that share the module
N-SAI-FOI	Fundamentals of Accident Investigation	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)	Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)
N-HFS-RMS (assessed) N-SAI-RM (non- assessed)	Research Methods	Safety and Human Factors in Aviation	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport) Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)
N-SAI-LSAI	Legal Skills for Accident Investigators	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)	Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)
R-FP-IEC	Investigation and Evidence Collection	Forensic Programme	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport) Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)
R-FP-FEI	Fires, Explosions and their Investigation	Forensic Programme	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport) Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)
N-SAI-FMF	Fundamentals of Material Failures for Accident Investigators	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)	Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)
R-FP-MFI	Mass Fatality Incidents	Forensic Programme	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport) Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)
N-AME-SI	Structural Integrity	Advanced Mechanical Engineering	Design of Rotating Machines Flow Assurance for Oil and Gas Production Materials for Energy

			Systems
			Offshore and Ocean Technology with Offshore Materials Engineering
			Offshore and Ocean Technology with Pipeline Engineering
			Offshore and Ocean Technology with Renewable Energy
			Offshore and Ocean Technology with Risk Management
			Offshore and Ocean Technology with Subsea Engineering
			Renewable Energy Engineering
			Renewable Energy Marine Structures EngD
			Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)
I-GIM-A1131	GIS Fundamentals	Geographical Information	Environmental Data Science
		Management	Environmental Risk Management
			Integrated Landscape Ecology
			Land Reclamation and Restoration
			Renewable Energy Marine Structures EngD
N-SAI-IHP	Investigating Human Performance	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)	Safety Accident and Investigation (Rail Transport)
N-SAI-ITAI	Interviewing Techniques for Accident Investigators	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)	Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)
N-SAI-DITHES	PgDip Project	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air	Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)

		Transport)	
N-SAI-THES	Individual Research Project	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)	Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)

MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)

Module code	Module title	Course that owns the module	Course(s)/programme(s) that share the module
N-SAI-FOI	Fundamentals of Accident Investigation	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)	Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)
N-HFS-RMS (assessed) N-SAI-RM (non- assessed)	Research Methods	Safety and Human Factors in Aviation	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport) Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)
I-GIM-A1135	Aerial Photography and Digital Photogrammetry	Geographical Information Management	Integrated Landscape Ecology Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)
R-FP-IEC	Investigation and Evidence Collection	Forensic Programme	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport) Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)
R-FP-FEI	Fires, Explosions and their Investigation	Forensic Programme	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport) Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)
N-SAI-FMF	Fundamentals of Material Failures for Accident Investigators	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)	Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)
R-FP-MFI	Mass Fatality Incidents	Forensic Programme	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport) Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)
N-AME-SI	Structural Integrity	Advanced	Design of Rotating Machines

		Mechanical Engineering	Flow Assurance for Oil and Gas Production
			Materials for Energy Systems
			Offshore and Ocean Technology with Offshore Materials Engineering
			Offshore and Ocean Technology with Pipeline Engineering
			Offshore and Ocean Technology with Renewable Energy
			Offshore and Ocean Technology with Risk Management
			Offshore and Ocean Technology with Subsea Engineering
			Renewable Energy Engineering
			Renewable Energy Marine Structures EngD
			Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)
N-SAI-LSAI	Legal Skills for Accident Investigators	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)	Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)
N-SAI-IHP	Investigating Human Performance	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)	Safety Accident and Investigation (Marine Transport)
N-SAI-ITAI	Interviewing Techniques for Accident Investigators	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)	Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)
N-SAI-DITHES	PgDip Project	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)	Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)

N-SAI-THES	Individual Research Project	Safety and Accident	Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine
	.,	Investigation (Air	Transport)
		Transport)	

7. How are the ILOs assessed?

The following assessment types are utilised:

Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)

The course uses a range of assessment types. Overall, the MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport) has **two** distinct but interrelated elements: the taught modules, and the Individual Research Project. All modules are assessed by a variable combination of written assignments and/or examination, and, in the case of the Individual Research Project, by assessment of the written work and an oral presentation on their research findings.

The two compulsory modules, Fundamentals of Accident Investigation and Applied Aircraft Accident Investigation, include the following types of assessments:

- Group exercises
- Individual written reports (reflection notes)
- Course test
- Major field exercise
- Accident investigation report
- Essays

Where applicable, module assignments are set to be challenging and to encourage the student to study the module topic areas in more depth. The objectives of the assignments are for the students to:

- Acquire the skill to efficiently search literature
- Acquire an in-depth knowledge of safety and accident investigation issues
- Apply skills and knowledge to assess specific techniques
- Develop the power to critically analyse data
- Compile succinct and informative reports to a high standard
- Formulate responses to specific questions against a time limit

This approach has been adopted in order to facilitate the completion of the course by part-time students, often from abroad, without the need to return for examinations. However, some modules are examined and this may influence the selection of modules by students.

For those continuing to MSc level, a formal Individual Research Project has to be presented at the end of the registration period and must demonstrate competency in hypothesis formation, literature review, methodology, analysis, conclusion forming and presentation. Students will also be asked to give a formal oral presentation on their research findings.

Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)

The course uses a range of assessment types. Overall, the MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport) has **two** distinct but interrelated elements: the taught modules, and the Individual Research Project. All modules are assessed by a variable combination of written assignments and/or examination, and, in the case of the Individual Research Project, by assessment of the written work and an oral presentation on their research findings.

The two compulsory modules, Fundamentals of Accident Investigation and Applied Marine Accident Investigation, include the following types of assessments:

- Group exercises
- Individual written reports (reflection notes)
- Course test
- Major field exercise
- Accident investigation report
- Essays

Where applicable, module assignments are set to be challenging and to encourage the student to study the module topic areas in more depth. The objectives of the assignments are for the students to:

- Acquire the skill to efficiently search literature
- Acquire an in-depth knowledge of safety and accident investigation issues
- Apply skills and knowledge to assess specific techniques
- Develop the power to critically analyse data
- Compile succinct and informative reports to a high standard
- Formulate responses to specific questions against a time limit

This approach has been adopted in order to facilitate the completion of the course by part-time students, often from abroad, without the need to return for examinations. However, some modules are examined and this may influence the selection of modules by students.

For those continuing to MSc level, a formal Individual Research Project has to be presented at the end of the registration period and must demonstrate competency in hypothesis formation, literature review, methodology, analysis, conclusion forming and presentation. Students will also be asked to give a formal oral presentation on their research findings.

Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)

The course uses a range of assessment types. Overall, the MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport) has **two** distinct but interrelated elements: the taught modules, and the Individual Research Project. All modules are assessed by a variable combination of written assignments and/or examination, and, in the case of the Individual Research Project, by assessment of the written work and an oral presentation on their research findings.

The two compulsory modules, Fundamentals of Accident Investigation and Applied Rail Accident Investigation, include the following types of assessments:

- Group exercises
- Individual written reports (reflection notes)
- Course test
- Major field exercise
- Accident investigation report
- Essays

Where applicable, module assignments are set to be challenging and to encourage the student to study the module topic areas in more depth. The objectives of the assignments are for the students to:

- Acquire the skill to efficiently search literature
- Acquire an in-depth knowledge of safety and accident investigation issues

- Apply skills and knowledge to assess specific techniques
- Develop the power to critically analyse data
- Compile succinct and informative reports to a high standard
- Formulate responses to specific questions against a time limit

This approach has been adopted in order to facilitate the completion of the course by part-time students, often from abroad, without the need to return for examinations. However, some modules are examined and this may influence the selection of modules by students.

For those continuing to MSc level, a formal Individual Research Project has to be presented at the end of the registration period and must demonstrate competency in hypothesis formation, literature review, methodology, analysis, conclusion forming and presentation. Students will also be asked to give a formal oral presentation on their research findings.

Assessment and ILO Mapping

Complete the grid below by inserting in the boxes which assessments from the modules directly assess the Award ILOs.

(Module numbers should correspond with those used in the Course module table above.)

A. Postgraduate Certificate in Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)

Award	ILO 1.a	ILO 2.a	ILO 3.a	ILO 4.a	ILO 5.a	ILO 6.a	ILO 7.a	ILO 8.a	ILO 9.a	ILO 10.a
ILOs Module No.										
1	ICW (1)	ICW (2)	EX							
2	ICW (2)		GPROJ	ICW (1)	GPROJ	GPROJ	GPROJ	GPROJ	GPROJ	GPROJ

B. Postgraduate Certificate in Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)

Awa ILC Module No.	rd ILO 1.b	ILO 2.b	ILO 3.b	ILO 4.b	ILO 5.b	ILO 6.b	ILO 7.b	ILO 8.b	ILO 9.b	ILO 10.b
1	ICW (1)	ICW (2)	EX							
2	ICW (2)		GPROJ	ICW (1)	GPROJ	GPROJ	GPROJ	GPROJ	GPROJ	GPROJ

C. Postgraduate Certificate in Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)

	Award	ILO 1.c	ILO 2.c	ILO 3.c	ILO 4.c	ILO 5.c	ILO 6.c	ILO 7.c	ILO 8.c	ILO 9.c	ILO 10.c
	\ ILOs										
	<i>l</i> odule										
ľ	lo.										
	1	ICW (1)	ICW (2)	EX							
	2	ICW (2)		GPROJ	ICW (1)	GPROJ	GPROJ	GPROJ	GPROJ	GPROJ	GPROJ

D. Postgraduate Diploma in Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)

In addition to those outlined for the Postgraduate Certificate in Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport), the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs Module No.	ILO 11	ILO 12	ILO 13
4	ICW	ICW	ICW
5	ICW	ICW	ICW
6	ICW	ICW	ICW
7	ICW	ICW	ICW
8	ICW	ICW	ICW
9	ICW	ICW	ICW
10	ICW	ICW	ICW
11	ICW	ICW	ICW
12	ICW	GPRES	GPRES
13	ICW	ICW	ICW
14	ICW	ICW	ICW
15	ICW	ICW	ICW
16	ICW	ICW	IPRES
17	ICW	ICW	ICW
18	ICW	ICW	ICW

E. Postgraduate Diploma in Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)

In addition to those outlined for the Postgraduate Certificate in Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport), the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs Module No.	ILO 11	ILO 12	ILO 13
4	ICW	ICW	ICW
5	ICW	ICW	ICW
6	ICW	ICW	ICW
7	ICW	ICW	ICW
8	ICW	ICW	ICW
9	EX	EX	EX
10	ICW	ICW	ICW
11	ICW	ICW	ICW
12	ICW	ICW	IPRES
13	ICW	ICW	ICW

F. Postgraduate Diploma in Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)

In addition to those outlined for the Postgraduate Certificate in Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport), the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs Module No.	ILO 11	ILO 12	ILO 13
4	ICW	ICW	ICW
5	ICW	ICW	ICW
6	ICW	ICW	ICW
7	ICW	ICW	ICW
8	ICW	ICW	ICW
9	EX	EX	EX
10	ICW	ICW	ICW
11	ICW	ICW	ICW
12	ICW	ICW	IPRES
13	ICW	ICW	ICW
14	ICW	ICW	ICW
15	ICW	ICW	ICW

G. MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)

In addition to those outlined above for the Postgraduate Diploma in Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport), the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award	ILO 14	ILO 15	ILO 16	ILO 17	ILO 18	ILO 19	ILO 20
\ILOs							
Module							
No.							
19	THESIS	THESIS	THESIS	THESIS	THESIS	THESIS	OR

H. MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)

In addition to those outlined above for the Postgraduate Diploma in Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport), the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs Module No.	ILO 14	ILO 15	ILO 16	ILO 17	ILO 18	ILO 19	ILO 20
14	THESIS	THESIS	THESIS	THESIS	THESIS	THESIS	OR

I. MSc in Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)

In addition to those outlined above for the Postgraduate Diploma in Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport), the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award	ILO 14	ILO 15	ILO 16	ILO 17	ILO 18	ILO 19	ILO 20
ILOs							
Module							
No.							
16	THESIS	THESIS	THESIS	THESIS	THESIS	THESIS	OR

<u>CROSS-MODULAR ASSESSMENT</u> (including any assessment which rests outside an individual module)

Title	Modules Covered	Assessment	
		Туре	Weight (%)

8. How will the University assure the quality of the provision?

New course proposals are reviewed by a Course Validation Panel, comprising at least the following membership: normally one subject matter expert external to the School or University, at least 3 academic staff not associated with the proposal. The Panel may include 1 member of professional staff. Panels are supported by an appropriately trained Secretary who acts as advisor to the Panel. Proposals are reviewed in line with the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA) Quality Code, in particular Chapter B1 (Programme Design and Approval) and in the case of partnership arrangements in accordance with Chapter B10 (Managing Higher Education with Others). New courses are ultimately approved by the University's Education Committee, on behalf of Senate.

Course changes are approved by the School's Director of Education on behalf of Education Committee and Senate. Significant changes to a course will be referred to a Course Review Panel at the discretion of the Director of Education.

The University has in place regular monitoring procedures for quality assurance including an Annual Reflective Review for each course and an in depth 6 year review of each School's (total) educational provision known as the Senate Review. For collaborative partnerships, in addition to the Annual Reflective Review there is an Annual Operating Statement and a 5 year review known as a Focussed Review which looks at each course in depth. In addition occasional site inspection visits are made.

Each course has at least one External Examiner who monitors all aspects of the assessment process. This is in line with the guidance provided by the QAA particularly in Chapter B7 (External Examining) which emphasises that external examining is one of the principal means for maintaining UK threshold academic standards within autonomous higher education institutions.

Each course has a formally constituted Examination Board, which includes the External Examiner, and which is responsible for ensuring that awards are made within the Regulations of the University and that students are made awards on the basis of meeting the specified Intended Learning Outcomes of a course at the appropriate standard.

Each course has a formally constituted Course Committee which meets at least twice a year to discuss, inter alia, programme design and planning, the student experience (including feedback) and student progress.

Each course has an Industry Advisory Panel (or similar) which meets at least once a year to engage with external stakeholders on curriculum design and currency of course content.

Student feedback both qualitative and quantitative is collected for each module studied. In addition students are invited to participate in the University's annual New Student Survey and Student Satisfaction Survey along with the annual national Postgraduate Taught Student Experience Survey. The results of all feedback are considered by the Course Committee and additionally, in respect of the University and national surveys, issues of quality are considered by and acted on where appropriate by the Education Committee, Senate, School and University Executives.

9. What opportunities are graduates likely to have on completing the course?

All students are part-time, and are usually in full-time employment. However the MSc prepares them for a higher level of responsibility in the transport safety and accident investigation field, and allied careers. Feedback from past students (the course was launched in 2005) shows that employers regard Cranfield's provision in this area as being world-leading.

COURSE SPECIFICATION



Cranfield University: Course Specifications

Course specifications outline the content and structure of a course leading to an award of Cranfield University. This version of the course specification has been approved by Education Committee and every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information.

COURSE TITLE: MSc in Safety and Human Factors in Aviation

Date of first publication/latest revision: September 2016

1. What is the course?

Course information

Course Title	MSc in Safety and Human Factors in Aviation
Course code	MSSHAFTC, MSSHAPTC, PCSHAPTC
Academic Year	2016/17
Valid entry routes	MSc, PgCert
Additional exit routes	PgDip, PgCert
Mode of delivery	Full-time (MSc), Part-time (MSc, PgCert)
Location of Study	Cranfield University
School(s)	School of Aerospace, Transport and Manufacturing
Theme	Transport Systems
Centre	Centre for Safety and Accident Investigation
Course Director	Dr Jim Nixon
Awarding Body	Cranfield University
Teaching Institution	Cranfield University
Admissions body	Cranfield University
Entry requirements	Standard University entry requirements
UK Qualifications Framework Level	QAA FHEQ Level 7 (Masters)
Benchmark Statement(s)	Not Applicable
Registration Period(s) available	Full-time MSc - one year, Part-time MSc - up to three years, Part-time PgCert - two years
Course Start Month(s)	October

Institutions delivering the course

This course is delivered by the School of Aerospace, Transport and Manufacturing, Transport Systems Theme, Centre for Safety and Accident Investigation where the research interests include:

- Aeronautics
- Safety
- Human Factors
- Psychology

Cranfield University remains fully responsible for the quality of the delivery of the course.

Accreditation by Public, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)

This course is not currently accredited by any external bodies.

2. What are the aims of the course?

Cranfield University offers this course in order to:

- Provide an understanding of the importance of human factors in safety and performance improvement in aviation.
- Provide students, engineers, scientists and professionals from industry, with an understanding of the factors contributing to human error and accidents and the skills to propose and evaluate safety improvements.

This programme is intended for the following range of students:

- Engineering
- Aeronautical
- Psychology and Social Sciences

3. What should students expect to achieve in completing the course?

Award intended learning outcomes (ILOs) (skills and knowledge).

A. Postgraduate Certificate

In completing this course, and achieving the associated award, a diligent student should be able to:

- ILO 1. Demonstrate a systematic understanding of the elements that contribute to aviation safety including software, hardware, liveware and environment and the interfaces between them;
- ILO 2. Identify, evaluate and apply appropriate contemporary techniques for the evaluation of human-machine interfaces, human performance, safety performance and risk;
- ILO 3. Design interventions to manage threats and errors in aviation within the constraints of international standards and recommended practices;
- ILO 4. Work both independently and as a member of a team towards the solution of complex safety and human factors related problems;
- ILO 5. Use transferable skills developed through teamwork, communication and problem-solving to enhance their careers in human factors and safety management.

B. MSc.

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 6. Apply the techniques developed above into areas of specialism including; aviation maintenance, flight deck design, training and simulation, accident investigation, occupational health and safety management.
- ILO 7. Undertake an independent research project based on literature review; evaluation and selection of a suitable research methodology; collection and analysis of data to produce defensible findings.

4. How is the course taught?

Students will be supported in their learning and personal development by:

- Lectures
- Practical exercises
- Private study
- Group work

5. What do students need to achieve in order to graduate?

Notwithstanding University Regulations and the authorities and powers exercised by examiners, students will normally need to demonstrate achievement in the elements of the course, as laid out in Section 7. Courses are structured through the accumulation of credit, where 1 credit represents 10 notional learning hours.

In brief, students will normally need to achieve the following in order to be awarded the qualifications:

A. Postgraduate Certificate

The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Module 1: Course Introduction Modules: 3, 4 and 7	0 30 (10 credits each)
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Any three other credit bearing modules chosen from course modules: 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 (NB: Module 15 is only available as an elective module to cohorts registered prior to 2016/17)	30 (10 credits each)
TOTAL:	60

B. Postgraduate Diploma

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Module 1: Course Introduction Modules: 2-11	0 100 (10 credits each)
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Modules selected from: 12-15 and 16, to the value of 20 credits (Note: Module 15 only available as an optional module to cohorts registered prior to 2016/17)	20 (10 credits each)
TOTAL:	120

C. MSc

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Certificate outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Module 1: Course Introduction Modules: 2-11 Individual Research Project: 17	0 100 (10 credits each) 80
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Modules selected from: 12-15 and 16, to the value of 20 credits (Note: Module 15 only available as an optional module to cohorts registered prior to 2016/17)	20 (10 credits each)
TOTAL:	200

If a student does not meet the required standards for the award, the examiners for the programme may decide to offer a lower award associated with the programme, providing that a lower exit award exists and the student meets the requirements of that lower award.

Pass Criteria

The University operates standard pass criteria which can be found in the Senate Handbook on Assessment Rules.

In order to achieve your award, you are required to achieve:

- An overall average mark of ≥50%;
- An average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessment;
- All assessments need to be completed and the minimum mark attained: no more than one failure to complete an assessment (as defined in Section 2.3) will be permitted throughout

- the course of your studies (Please note that the board of examiners does <u>not</u> have discretion to overrule this limit, but can refer a case to Senate's Education Committee);¹²
- **For Taught Assessments,** the minimum mark for each individual taught assessment <u>on the first attempt</u> for the significant majority of the taught assessments, noting that:
 - if you fail to attain the minimum mark for <u>up to 30 learning credits</u>, you will be permitted to re-take all of those assessments (except for circumstances where a resit award capped at 50% would be insufficient to achieve an overall average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessments);
 - o if, having failed to attain the minimum mark for 30 learning credits, you fail to obtain the minimum mark for <u>any additional learning credits</u> over the course of your studies you will be disqualified from the right to re-take the assessments: this will normally result in intended award failure. (Please note the board of examiners may at its discretion overrule this limit, but this is not an automatic right);
 - it is <u>not</u> permissible for you to fail an elective module and then proceed to take a different elective module in its place.
- For Substantial pieces of assessment (corresponding to ≥40 credits, which are not part of the taught assessment average), the pass mark of ≥50% (where they exist);
- For the thesis, a mark of ≥50% in order to receive a pass (where it exists).

6. How is the course structured?

Full-time students register for the course in October and are expected to complete the course within twelve calendar months.

Part-time students register for the course in October and are expected to complete the course within three years.

The MSc course consists of studying 8 compulsory modules, 2 optional modules, 2 group project modules and submission of an individual research project. In addition, all students will complete the zero-credit induction module which will include the fundamentals of aeronautics, IT and library skills training to achieve MSc.

The PG Certificate consists of studying three compulsory modules and then three other modules selected by the student from the remaining modules specified as part of the MSc course excluding the Capstone project.

For students who were registered before 1 August 2015, the requirement to obtain a minimum mark for a taught assessment will not apply for taught assessment taken before 31 August 2015 (unless the assessment was designated as a "key assessment" under the previous Assessment Rules).

Providing the minimum mark is met, a mark of 40-49% will be automatically compensated if a student's overall average taught assessment mark (including the failed assessment) is greater than 50%. Students are advised, however, that they retain the right to re-take an assessment with a mark of <40% (but should note that a re-take attempt will be capped at 50%), as long as they haven't failed more than 30 credits. At the discretion of the Board of Examiners or by Board of Examiners Chair's Actions a student may be permitted a re-take attempt of modules in the range of 40-49% only if the average mark of their other taught modules would not allow them to qualify for their award (<50%).

Course modules

The following modules outline all parts of the programme leading to MSc. Other awards associated with the course include some or all of these modules.

									Calendar				Assessment						
					Visiting						50%	Independent Assessment		Multi-part Assessment			Submission dates		
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Visi Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% or 5	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date	
1	N-HFS- IND	Safety and Human Factors in Aviation Course Induction	Dr Jim Nixon	15	0	0	N	03/10/16	03/10/16	07/10/16	N/A	AO	N/A				N/A	N/A	
2	N-HFS- IHF	Cognitive Ergonomics	Dr Jim Nixon	30	0	10	N	10/10/16	10/10/16	14/10/16	40	ICW	100				14/11/16 FT 12/12/16 PT	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year	

³ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

Assessment Types: AO - Attendance only: ICW - Individual Coursework: GCW - Group Coursework: IPRES - Individual Presentation: GPRES - Group Presentation: IPRAC - Individual Presentation: IPRAC - Individual Presentation: GPRES - Group Presentation: IPRAC - Individual PRESENTATION: IPRAC - INDIVIDU Practical; IPROJ - Individual Project (>20 credits); GPROJ - Group Project (>20 credits); EX - Examination; RP - Reflective Portfolio; OR- Viva Voce examination; THESIS - thesis

⁴ Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

⁵ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

⁶ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually. ⁷ For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

⁸ Failure to submit an element of a multi-part assessment will not require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then all elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

⁹ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

								Calendar				Assessment							
					ting						20%		endent sment	Multi-part Assessment			Submission dates		
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Visiting Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% or 5	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(10%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date	
3	N-AW- SAAS Occ C	Safety Assessment of Aircraft Systems	Dr Simon Place	35	15	10	Y	23/01/17	23/01/17	27/01/17	50			100	GPRES ICW	30 70	24/02/17 FT 27/03/17 PT	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year	
4	N-HFS- HPE	Human Performance and Error	Dr Hamad Rashid	30	10	10	N	24/10/16	24/10/16	28/10/16	40	ICW	100				28/11/16 FT 03/01/17 PT	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year	
5	N-HFS- FDD	Human Computer Interaction in Aviation	Dr Wen-Chin Li	30	10	10	N	05/12/16	05/12/16	09/12/16	40	EX	100				12/01/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year	
6	N-HFS- RMS	Research Methods	Dr Jim Nixon	30	0	10	Υ	07/11/16	07/11/16	11/11/16	40	ICW	100				12/12/16 FT 09/01/17 PT	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year	

				П				Calendar				Assessment							
					ting						20%	Independent Assessment		Multi-part Assessment			Submission dates		
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Visiting Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% or 5	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assesment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date	
7	N-SAI- ISMS Occ C	Aviation Safety Management	Dr Simon Mitchell David Barry	30	10	10	Υ	13/02/17	13/02/17	17/02/17	50	ICW	100				20/03/17 FT 18/04/17 PT	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year	
8	N-HFS- OH	Aviation Medicine	Dr Matthew Greaves Prof Michael Bagshaw	30	30	10	Ν	21/11/16	21/11/16	25/11/16	40	EX	100				13/01/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year	
9	N-HFS- AAI	Aircraft Accident Investigation and Response	Peter McCarthy	30	10	10	Υ	03/04/17	03/04/17	07/04/17	40	ICW	100				05/05/17 FT 05/06/17 PT	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year	
10	N-HFS- ASA	Applied Safety Assessment	Dr Leigh Dunn	30	30	10	N	30/01/17	30/01/17	03/02/17	40			100	GCW ICW	50 50	03/02/17 06/03/17 FT 03/04/17 PT	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year	

									Calendar		-				Asses	ssment		
					ting						20%	Indepe Asses		Multi-pa	art Asse	ssment	Submis	ssion dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Visiting Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% or 5	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assesment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
11	N-HFS- SHFCP	Safety and Human Factors 'Capstone' project	Pete McCarthy	10	0	10	N	24/04/17	24/04/17	28/04/17	40 40 40	GCW ICW GPRES	50 25 25				30/05/17 FT 27/06/17 PT	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
12	N-HFS- TS	Training and Simulation	Dr Wen-Chin Li	30	2	10	N	27/02/17	27/02/17	03/03/17	40	ICW	100				03/04//17 FT 02/05/17 PT	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
13	N-HFS- HFAM	Human Factors in Aviation Maintenance	Dr Marie Langer	30	10	10	Y	13/03/17	13/03/17	17/03/17	40 40	ICW GPRES	90				18/04/17 FT 15/05/17 PT 17/03/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
14	N-HFS- FDM Occ A	Flight Data Monitoring	David Barry	25	22	10	Υ	06/03/17	06/03/17	10/03/17	40	ICW	100				10/04/17 FT 08/0517 PT	At the next available opportunity which may not

Assessment Types: AO – Attendance only; ICW – Individual Coursework; GCW – Group Coursework; IPRES – Individual Presentation; GPRES – Group Presentation; IPRAC – Individual Practical; GPRAC – Group Practical; IPROJ – Individual Project (>20 credits); GPROJ – Group Project (>20 credits); EX – Examination; RP – Reflective Portfolio; OR- Viva Voce examination; THESIS - thesis

									Calendar						Asses	ssment		
					ting						20%	Indepe Asses		Multi-p	art Asse	ssment	Submis	ssion dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Visiting Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% or 5	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
																		be until the course runs the following year
15	N-AW- ATEMO	Air Transport Engineering – Maintenance Operations MODULE ONLY AVAILABLE TO COHORTS REGISTERED PRIOR TO 2016/17 INTAKE	Dr Cergiz Turkoglu	30	0	10	Υ	20/02/17	20/02/17	24/02/17	40			100	EX ICW		EX 24/02/17 27/03/17 FT 24/04/17 PT	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
16	N-HFS- WJD	Work and Job Design	Dr Rebecca Charles	30		10	N	27/03/17	27/03/17	31/03/17	40	ICW	100				08/05/17 FT 30/05/17 PT	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
17	N-HFS- THESIS	Individual Research Project (MSc)	Dr Hamad Rashid	20	0	80	N	03/10/16	03/10/16	04/08/17		THESIS OR	90 10				THESIS 14/08/17	

Assessment Types: AO – Attendance only; ICW – Individual Coursework; GCW – Group Coursework; IPRES – Individual Presentation; GPRES – Group Presentation; IPRAC – Individual Practical; GPRAC – Group Practical; IPROJ – Individual Project (>20 credits); GPROJ – Group Project (>20 credits); EX – Examination; RP – Reflective Portfolio; OR- Viva Voce examination; THESIS - thesis

Please list all modules that are shared with another existing course.

Module code	Module title	Course that owns the module	Course(s)/programme(s) that share the module
N-AW-SAAS	Safety Assessment of Aircraft Systems	Airworthiness	Military Aerospace and Airworthiness Safety and Human Factors in Aviation
			Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)?
N-SAI-ISMS	Aviation Safety Management	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)	Airworthiness Military Aerospace and Airworthiness F-T Air Transport Management Safety and Human Factors
N-HFS-AAI	Aircraft Accident Investigation and Response	Safety and Human Factors in Aviation	in Aviation Airworthiness Military Aerospace and Airworthiness Forensic Engineering and Science
N-HFS-HFAM	Human Factors in Aviation Maintenance	Safety and Human Factors in Aviation	Airworthiness Military Aerospace and Airworthiness Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)
N-HFS-FDM	Flight Data Monitoring	Safety and Human Factors in Aviation	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air)
N-AW-ATEMO	Air Transport Engineering – Maintenance Operations	Airworthiness	F-T Air Transport Management Executive Air Transport Management Military Aerospace and Airworthiness Safety and Human Factors in Aviation

N-HFS-RMS (assessed)	Research Methods	Safety and Human Factors in Aviation	Safety and Accident Investigation (Air Transport)
N-SAI-RM (non- assessed)			Safety and Accident Investigation (Marine Transport)
			Safety and Accident Investigation (Rail Transport)

7. How are the ILOs assessed?

The following assessment types are used Group work, Group Presentations, Individual coursework and Examinations.

The course uses a range of assessment types. Students can expect to have two written examinations, ten pieces of assessment by submitted work and three elements of assessment by presentation or viva.

Students are subject to two forms of assessment with regard to the group project. Firstly, they must submit group coursework and secondly, their group project oral presentation is also assessed. In the latter form of assessment, each presentation is judged on how well their presentation is organised, the quality of their individual presentations and visual aids and how well they are able to answer questions from the audience. Both forms of assessment have an equal weighting with regard to the module mark.

The thesis is assessed using a combination of their written work and an oral poster presentation. The oral poster presentation provides an opportunity for each student to present their thesis to members of staff, visiting aviation professionals and the external examiner. These oral presentations are judged on the basis of the quality of the presentation in terms of content and visual aids, how well the key findings and other important elements of the research been communicated and how well the student has responded to questions from the audience.

Assessment and ILO Mapping

A. Postgraduate Certificate

Award ILOs					
Module No.	ILO1	ILO2	ILO3	ILO4	ILO5
1					AO
2	ICW	ICW			
3	ICW	ICW	ICW	ICW	ICW
4	ICW	ICW	ICW	ICW	ICW
5	EX	EX	ICW		
6		ICW			
7	ICW	ICW	ICW	ICW	ICW
8	EX	EX			
9	ICW	ICW			
12	ICW	ICW	ICW		

Award ILOs					
Module No.	ILO1	ILO2	ILO3	ILO4	ILO5
13	ICW	ICW	ICW		GPRES
14	ICW	ICW			
16	ICW	ICW			

B. MSc

In addition to those outlined above, the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs Module No.	ILO1	ILO2	ILO3	ILO4	ILO5	ILO6	ILO7
6		ICW					ICW
11			ICW	GCW	GPRES		
12	ICW	ICW	ICW			ICW	
13	ICW	ICW	ICW			ICW	
14	ICW	ICW				ICW	
15						ICW	
16	ICW	ICW				ICW	ICW
17					OR		THESIS

<u>CROSS-MODULAR ASSESSMENT</u> (including any assessment which rests outside an individual module)

Title	Modules Covered	Assessment	
		Туре	Weight (%)

8. How will the University assure the quality of the provision?

New course proposals are reviewed by a Course Validation Panel, comprising at least the following membership: normally one subject matter expert external to the School or University, at least 3 academic staff not associated with the proposal. The Panel may include 1 member of professional staff. Panels are supported by an appropriately trained Secretary who acts as advisor to the Panel. Proposals are reviewed in line with the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA) Quality Code, in particular Chapter B1 (Programme Design and Approval) and in the case of partnership arrangements in accordance with Chapter B10 (Managing Higher Education with Others). New courses are ultimately approved by the University's Education Committee, on behalf of Senate.

Course changes are approved by the School's Director of Education on behalf of Education Committee and Senate. Significant changes to a course will be referred to a Course Review Panel at the discretion of the Director of Education.

The University has in place regular monitoring procedures for quality assurance including an Annual Reflective Review for each course and an in depth 6 year review of each School's (total) educational provision known as the Senate Review. For collaborative partnerships, in addition to the Annual Reflective Review there is an Annual Operating Statement and a 5 year review known as a Focussed Review which looks at each course in depth. In addition occasional site inspection visits are made.

Each course has at least one External Examiner who monitors all aspects of the assessment process. This is in line with the guidance provided by the QAA particularly in Chapter B7 (External Examining) which emphasises that external examining is one of the principal means for maintaining UK threshold academic standards within autonomous higher education institutions.

Each course has a formally constituted Examination Board, which includes the External Examiner, and which is responsible for ensuring that awards are made within the Regulations of the University and that students are made awards on the basis of meeting the specified Intended Learning Outcomes of a course at the appropriate standard.

Each course has a formally constituted Course Committee which meets at least twice a year to discuss, inter alia, programme design and planning, the student experience (including feedback) and student progress.

Each course has an Industry Advisory Panel (or similar) which meets at least once a year to engage with external stakeholders on curriculum design and currency of course content.

Student feedback both qualitative and quantitative is collected for each module studied. In addition students are invited to participate in the University's annual New Student Survey and Student Satisfaction Survey along with the annual national Postgraduate Taught Student Experience Survey. The results of all feedback are considered by the Course Committee and additionally, in respect of the University and national surveys, issues of quality are considered by and acted on where appropriate by the Education Committee, Senate, School and University Executives.

9. What opportunities are graduates likely to have on completing the course?

Course graduates generally find suitable employment very quickly. Many continue employment with the organisations they were with when they began the course (changing direction towards safety/ Human Factors). Other former graduates are currently employed by various major airlines, within the rail industry, car manufacturers, defence, consultancy etc. These have included easyJet, Airbus graduate training, NATS graduate training, Baines Simmons, Metronet rail, Network Rail, EDF Energy, DHL and many others.

COURSE SPECIFICATION



Cranfield University: Course Specifications

Course specifications outline the content and structure of a course leading to an award of Cranfield University. This version of the course specification has been approved by Education Committee and every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information.

COURSE TITLE: Security Sector Management (East Africa)

Date of first publication/latest revision: September 2005¹/ September 2016

1. What is the course?

Course information

Course Title	Security Sector Management (East Africa)
Course code	MSSSAPTR, PDSSAPTR, PCSSAPTR
Academic Year	2016/17
Valid entry routes	PgCert ² , PgDip, MSc
Exit routes	PgDip and PgCert
Mode of delivery	Part-time
Location(s) ³ of Study	Addis Ababa, Ethiopia
School(s)	Cranfield Defence and Security Cranfield School of Management
Theme	Defence and Security
Centre	Defence Management and Leadership
Awarding Body	Cranfield University
Is this an AP Contract course? ⁴	No
Teaching Institution	Cranfield University
Admissions body	Cranfield University
Entry requirements	Standard University entry requirements
UK Qualifications Framework Level	QAA FHEQ level 7 (Masters)
Benchmark Statement(s)	Business and Management

¹ Date of first publication of the UK based flagship course

² Students register for PgCert in the first instance. Registration for PgDip is only possible on successful completion of the PgCert and registration for MSc is on y possible on successful completion of the PgDip.

If any part of this course is delivered at another site, please note which one(s) here

⁴ AP Contract courses are provided by Cranfield University to the MoD as part of the Academic Provider contract

Registration Period(s) available	3 years
Course Start Month(s)	February

Institutions delivering the course

This course is delivered by the Centre for Defence Management and Leadership where the research interests include Security Sector Management, Security Sector Reform, Governance and Oversight, Economics of Security, Human Rights and Civil Society involvement in the Security Sector. The course is delivered in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. The Course is a closed course, fully funded by HMG for students nominated by the Governments of Ethiopia, Djibouti, Somaliland, Rwanda, Kenya, Uganda, Burundi, Tanzania, Seychelles, Comoros, Mauritius, Madagascar.

Cranfield University interacts with the

The Ethiopian Ministry of Defence for facilitation of in-country administration such as provision of classroom, classroom materials, lunch, and beverages. A facilitation contract exists for this third party provision.

Cranfield University remains fully responsible for the quality of the delivery of the course.

Accreditation by Public, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)

This course is not accredited by any external bodies.

2. What are the aims of the course?

- address the management challenges of international development and security interventions
- provide an analytical framework for the study of national security in a way which promotes growth and stability
- provide both knowledge and skillsets supporting an area of increasing international importance.

This programme is intended for the following range of students:

- recent graduates from undergraduate programmes who are interested in applying their disciplinary backgrounds to the wider multidisciplinary area of security sector management
- senior and mid-career professionals who wish to achieve higher post-graduate qualifications for progression in their current (or related) fields
- students wishing to enter the fields of diplomacy, politics and other forms of overseas work in the government and non-government sectors.

3. What should students expect to achieve in completing the course?

Award intended learning outcomes (ILOs) (skills and knowledge).

A. Postgraduate Certificate in Security Sector Management

In completing this course, and achieving the associated award, a diligent student should be able to:

ILO 1. Explain the connections between security, development and conflict and interpret the way the discourse in the security sector is developing.

- ILO 2. Relate management theories and techniques to national security provision in a range of transitional societies.
- ILO 3. Critically analyse the impact of local and global factors, on national security strategies, national security institutions and civil society.
- ILO 4. Formulate contextualised plans for security sector strategies at strategic and programme levels.
- ILO 5. Develop the ability to use evidence and to collect and analyse data using political science methodology in order to support security sector decision making globally, regionally, nationally and at the community level.

B. Postgraduate Diploma in Security Sector Management

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

achieve the same ILOs as above but across a broader range of security management topics that would add to the students' learning achieved in the PG Certificate. These broader security management topics would include public security and the rule of law, the criminal justice system, information security and environmental security as well as further areas of management techniques such as risk management, resource management and project and programme management.

C. MSc

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

ILO 6. Plan, design and organise a research project to address a security sector management related topic.

4. How is the course taught?

Students will be supported in their learning and personal development by:

- undertaking exercises relevant to the specific area of security being studied
- facilitated group discussions
- engaging in debates
- presentations from visiting speakers who will be subject matter experts

5. What do students need to achieve in order to graduate?

Notwithstanding University Regulations and the authorities and powers exercised by examiners, students will normally need to demonstrate achievement in the elements of the course, as laid out in Section 7. Courses are structured through the accumulation of credit, where 1 credit represents 10 notional learning hours.

In brief, students will normally need to achieve the following in order to be awarded the qualifications:

A. Postgraduate Certificate

The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 1-6	60
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
N/A	
TOTAL:	60

B. Postgraduate Diploma

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 1-12	120
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
N/A	
TOTAL:	120

C. MSc

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits					
COMPULSORY MODULES:						
Modules 1-12 Dissertation	120 80					
ELECTIVE MODULES:						
N/A						
TOTAL:	200					

If a student does not meet the required standards for the award, the examiners for the programme may decide to offer a lower award associated with the programme, providing that a lower exit award exists and the student meets the requirements of that lower award.

Pass Criteria

The University operates standard pass criteria which can be found in the Senate Handbook on Assessment Rules.

In order to achieve your award, you are required to achieve:

- An overall average mark of ≥50%;
- An average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessment;
- All assessments need to be completed and the minimum mark attained: no more than one
 failure to complete an assessment (as defined in Section 2.3) will be permitted throughout
 the course of your studies (Please note that the board of examiners does not have
 discretion to overrule this limit, but can refer a case to Senate's Education Committee);
- **For Taught Assessments**, the minimum mark for each individual taught assessment <u>on</u> the first attempt for the significant majority of the taught assessments, noting that:
 - if you fail to attain the minimum mark for <u>up to 30 learning credits</u>, you will be permitted to re-take all of those assessments (except for circumstances where a resit award capped at 50% would be insufficient to achieve an overall average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessments);
 - o if, having failed to attain the minimum mark for 30 learning credits, you fail to obtain the minimum mark for <u>any additional learning credits</u> over the course of your studies you will be disqualified from the right to re-take the assessments: this will normally result in intended award failure. (Please note the board of examiners may at its discretion overrule this limit, but this is not an automatic right);
 - it is <u>not</u> permissible for you to fail an elective module and then proceed to take a different elective module in its place.
- For Substantial pieces of assessment (corresponding to ≥40 credits, which are not part of the taught assessment average), the pass mark of ≥50% (where they exist);
- For the thesis, a mark of ≥50% in order to receive a pass (where it exists).

6. How is the course structured?

Part-time students register for the course in January and are expected to complete the course within 3 years.

As the Course is a closed course, student progression will be managed continuously but within three defined stages. Students will initially only be registered for the PG Certificate. If the student successfully completes the 60 credits associated with the PG certificate he/she will transfer to be registered onto the PG Diploma. If the student successfully completes the 120 credits associated with the PG Diploma, he/she will transfer to the MSc.

The course is offered on a part-time basis. There are six, one-week long, residential schools. During the first residential week, the introductory module is delivered (Issues in International Security, Development and Conflict) along with the Study Skills & Research Methods Module. This follows the Cranfield University induction and an introductory session on academic skills. Each residential week following that consists of the delivery of two modules. Each module lasts three and a half days. The periods between residential schools allow time for independent learning, reflection and the completion of a written assignment and, in two modules, preparation for an examination.

Providing the minimum mark is met, a mark of 40-49% will be automatically compensated if a student's overall average taught assessment mark (including the failed assessment) is greater than 50%. Students are advised, however, that they retain the right to re-take an assessment with a mark of <40% (but should note that a re-take attempt will be capped at 50%), as long as they haven't failed more than 30 credits. At the discretion of the Board of Examiners or by Board of Examiners Chair's Actions a student may be permitted a re-take attempt of modules in the range of 40-49% only if the average mark of their other taught modules would not allow them to qualify for their award (<50%).

Course modules

The following modules outline all parts of the programme leading to an MSc. Other awards associated with the course include some or all of these modules.

								Calenda	r				As	ssessme	nt		
				Visiting		N/Y	Pre-			or		pendent essment	Multi- _l	oart Asse	essment	Submiss	ion dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Contact hours ⁶	Total hours delivered by Lecturers 7	Credits	Is the module shared? Y,	Module Start Date (eg F course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁸ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module9 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ¹⁰ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ¹²	Assessment / Exam Retake date
1 ¹³	R-SSM- IISDC Occ B	Issues in International Security, Development & Conflict	25		10	N		28/02/17	04/03/17	40% 40%	ICW EX	60 40				18/04/17 20/05/17	20/06/17 06/08/17
2	R-SSM- SSRM	Academic Skills and Critical	25		10	N		04/03/17	07/03/17	40%	ICW	100				22/05/17	23/07/17

⁶ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice ⁷ Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

Assessment Types: AO - Attendance only; ICW - Individual Coursework; GCW - Group Coursework; IPRES - Individual Presentation; GPRES - Group Presentation; IPRAC - Individual Practical; GPRAC - Group Practical: IPROJ - Individual Project (>20 credits): GPROJ - Group Project (>20 credits): EX - Examination: RP - Reflective Portfolio: OR- Viva Voce examination: THESIS - thesis

⁸ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

⁹ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually.

¹⁰ For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

Failure to submit an element of a multi-part assessment will not require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then all elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

¹² Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

	Occ B	Thinking													
3	R-SSM- SPSD Occ B	Strategic Planning for Security & Development	25		10	N	21	1/05/17	24/05/17	40% 40%	ICW GPRES	60 40		03/07/17 23/05/17	04/09/17
4	R-SSM- GRL Occ B	Governance & The Rule of Law	25		10	N	24	4/05/17	27/05/17	40%	ICW	100		07/08/17	08/10/17
5	R-SSM- CML Occ B	Strategic Leadership and Managing Change	25		10	Z	06	6/08/17	09/08/17	40%	ICW	100		18/09/17	20/11/17
6	R-SSM- BSC Occ B	Building State Capacity	25	25	10	N	09	9/08/17	12/08/17	40%	ICW	100		24/10/17	28/12/17
7	R-SSM- MMSSR Occ B	Managing Security Sector Resources: People, Organisations, Performance	25		10	Z	22	2/10/17	25/10/17	40%	ICW	100		04/12/17	05/02/18
8	R-SSM- ES Occ B	Economics of Security	25		10	N	25	5/10/17	28/10/17	40%	ICW GPRES	75 25		08/01/18 27/10/17	12/03/18
9	R- SSM- MR Occ B	Managing Risk	25		10	N	13	3/01/18	16/01/18 ¹⁴	40%	ICW	100		05/03/18	07/05/18
10	R- SSM- MIS	Managing Information Security	25		10	N	18	8/01/18	21/01/18	40%	ICW	100		09/04/18	11/06/18

¹⁴ 17/01/2017 is a public holiday in Ethiopia

Assessment Types: AO – Attendance only; ICW – Individual Coursework; GCW – Group Coursework; IPRES – Individual Presentation; GPRES – Group Presentation; IPRAC – Individual Practical; GPRAC – Group Practical; IPROJ – Individual Project (>20 credits); GPROJ – Group Project (>20 credits); EX – Examination; RP – Reflective Portfolio; OR- Viva Voce examination; THESIS - thesis

	Occ B												
11	R- SSM- ENS Occ B	Environmental Security	25	10	N	08/04/18	11/04/18	40%	ICW	100		21/05/18	23/07/18
12	R- SSM- MSSPP Occ B	Managing Security Sector Projects & Programmes	25	10	N	11/04/18	14/04/18	40%	ICW	100		25/06/18	27/08/18
13	R- SSM- DISS Occ B	Thesis	6	80		15/04/18	15/04/18 ¹⁵	50%	THESIS	100		05/04/19	

¹⁵ A one-day Dissertation Workshop

Assessment Types: AO – Attendance only; ICW – Individual Coursework; GCW – Group Coursework; IPRES – Individual Presentation; GPRES – Group Presentation; IPRAC – Individual Practical; GPRAC – Group Practical; IPROJ – Individual Project (>20 credits); GPROJ – Group Project (>20 credits); EX – Examination; RP – Reflective Portfolio; OR- Viva Voce examination; THESIS - thesis

Please list all modules that are used by another existing course.

Module code	Module title	Course that owns the module	Other course(s)/ programme(s) that use the module
N/A			

7. How are the ILOs assessed?

The following assessment types are utilised:

- Individual written assignments (typically an essay) ranging from 2,000 3,000 words in length.
- In-class Group Presentations
- 3 hour closed book examinations
- For further details please see the Module Descriptions and the Course Structure.
- Formative assessments are also used

This approach has been adopted because:

Students often use the written assignments as a 'space' in which to apply the theories and concepts which they were presented with in class. The students typically use the written assignments to apply concepts and theories to their own professional context. The heavy focus on written assignments also prepares the students for developing their dissertation when they enter the MSc phase of the course. The 2 examinations and the group presentation work forms part of the assessment strategy as it is recognised that difference individuals prefer different styles of assessment.

Assessment and ILO Mapping

Complete the grid below by inserting in the boxes which assessments from the modules directly assess the Award ILOs.

(Module numbers should correspond with those used in the Course module table above.)

A. Postgraduate Certificate

Award ILOs Module No.	ILO 1	ILO 2	ILO 3	ILO 4	ILO 5
1	EX, ICW		ICW		ICW
2					ICW
3	ICW, GPRES	ICW	ICW, GPRES	GPRES	ICW, GPRES
2	ICW	ICW	ICW		ICW
5		ICW		ICW	ICW
6	ICW		ICW	ICW	ICW

B. Postgraduate Diploma

In addition to those outlined above, the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs Module No.	ILO 1	ILO 2	ILO 3	ILO 4	ILO 5
7		ICW		ICW	ICW
8	ICW			ICW GPRES	ICW
9		ICW		ICW	ICW
10	ICW,		ICW,		ICW
11	ICW		ICW	ICW	ICW
12		ICW		ICW	ICW

C. MSc

In addition to those outlined above, the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs Module No.	ILO 1	ILO 2	ILO 3	ILO 4	ILO 5	ILO 6
13			THESIS		THESIS	THESIS

<u>CROSS-MODULAR ASSESSMENT</u> (including any assessment which rests outside an individual module)

Title	Modules Covered	Assessment	
		Туре	Weight (%)
N/A			

8. How will the University assure the quality of the provision?

New course proposals are reviewed by a Course Validation Panel, comprising at least the following membership: normally one subject matter expert external to the School or University, at least 3 academic staff not associated with the proposal. The Panel may include 1 member of professional staff. Panels are supported by an appropriately trained Secretary who acts as advisor to the Panel. Proposals are reviewed in line with the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA) Quality Code, in particular Chapter B1 (Programme Design and Approval) and in the case of partnership arrangements in accordance with Chapter B10

(Managing Higher Education with Others). New courses are ultimately approved by the University's Education Committee, on behalf of Senate.

Course changes are approved by the School's Director of Education on behalf of Education Committee and Senate. Significant changes to a course will be referred to a Course Review Panel at the discretion of the Director of Education.

The University has in place regular monitoring procedures for quality assurance including an Annual Reflective Review for each course and an in depth 6 year review of each School's (total) educational provision known as the Senate Review. For collaborative partnerships, in addition to the Annual Reflective Review there is an Annual Operating Statement and a 5 year review known as a Focussed Review which looks at each course in depth. In addition occasional site inspection visits are made.

Each course has at least one External Examiner who monitors all aspects of the assessment process. This is in line with the guidance provided by the QAA particularly in Chapter B7 (External Examining) which emphasises that external examining is one of the principal means for maintaining UK threshold academic standards within autonomous higher education institutions.

Each course has a formally constituted Examination Board, which includes the External Examiner, and which is responsible for ensuring that awards are made within the Regulations of the University and that students are made awards on the basis of meeting the specified Intended Learning Outcomes of a course at the appropriate standard.

Each course has a formally constituted Course Committee which meets at least twice a year to discuss, inter alia, programme design and planning, the student experience (including feedback) and student progress.

Each course has an Industry Advisory Panel (or similar) which meets at least once a year to engage with external stakeholders on curriculum design and currency of course content.

Student feedback both qualitative and quantitative is collected for each module studied. In addition students are invited to participate in the University's annual New Student Survey and Student Satisfaction Survey along with the annual national Postgraduate Taught Student Experience Survey. The results of all feedback are considered by the Course Committee and additionally, in respect of the University and national surveys, issues of quality are considered by and acted on where appropriate by the Education Committee, Senate, School and University Executives.

9. What opportunities are graduates likely to have on completing the course?

Cranfield University's work in support of policymakers tackling today's most pressing operational challenges has involved a significant amount of interaction with global development actors, particularly in examining the mutually reinforcing relationship between security and development.

The MSc SSM course is designed to further the knowledge of decision-makers, both within government and in civil society.

Over the past decade, the SSM Group's research has highlighted the lack of education and training in the leadership, governance and management of broader security and development programmes. Thus, the MSc Security Sector Management addresses this gap and opens up opportunities to understand and apply useful concepts, tools and more holistic management approaches.

Cranfield University's work in support of policymakers tackling today's most pressing operational challenges has involved a significant amount of interaction with global development actors, particularly in examining the mutually reinforcing relationship between security and development.

The MSc SSM course is designed to further the knowledge of decision-makers, both within government and in civil society. Students graduating from this closed course will, in almost all cases, have existing positions their own official institutions. As a result of completing the Course, both armed forces and police officers, as well as civilian officials working in the sector, will be better suited for secondments to international organisations such the United Nations (UN) and the African Union. This is particular the case as professional and director level appointments in the UN require a higher university degree ¹⁶.

For those graduates seeking new opportunities, there are many types of employment to which a master's degree in security sector management opens doors for applicants. Security analysts in international companies, security risk managers and commercial policy advisers are amongst.

COURSE SPECIFICATION



Cranfield University: Course Specifications

Course specifications outline the content and structure of a course leading to an award of Cranfield University. This version of the course specification has been approved by Education Committee and every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information.

COURSE TITLE: MSc in Strategic Marketing

Date of first publication/latest revision: August 2016

1. What is the course?

Course information

Course Title	MSc in Strategic Marketing
Course code	MSSTMFTC, PDSTMFTC, PCSTMFTC
Academic Year	2016/17
Valid entry routes	MSc
Additional exit routes	PgDip, PgCert
Mode of delivery	Full-time
Location of Study	Cranfield Campus
School(s)	School of Management
Theme	Leadership and Management
Centre	Demand Chain Management
Course Director	Dr Radu Dimitriu
Awarding Body	Cranfield University
Teaching Institution	Cranfield University
Admissions body	Cranfield University
Entry requirements	Standard University entry requirements
UK Qualifications Framework Level	QAA FHEQ Level 7 (Masters)
Benchmark Statement(s)	N/A
Registration Period(s) available	Full-time MSc - one year
Course Start Month(s)	September

Institutions delivering the course

This course is delivered by School of Management primarily the Centre for Strategic Marketing and Sales (part of the Demand Chain Management Community) with a variety of industry and practice-oriented research interests.

The Centre for Strategic Marketing and Sales: For over thirty years, Cranfield School of Management has been renowned throughout the world for its pragmatic, state-of-the-art approach to marketing and sales. Some of the world's foremost organisations from GFMCG through to not-for-profit have sponsored research through our Centre for Strategic Marketing and Sales (CSMS). The Centre focuses on the areas of marketing that are at the forefront of today's commercial environment, developing valuable ideas and new insights into current and future business practice.

The CSMS is also home to the following specialist research groups:

- Customer Management Forum
- Key Account Best Practice Club

Through our applied research, we feed best practice into our curriculum and make certain that it is second to none in dealing with practical and current marketing issues.

The experiences of our highly respected faculty and the crucial links we maintain with a diverse range of industries ensures that you receive a topical and global perspective of marketing, delivered by some of marketing's most highly respect and influential thinkers.

Cranfield University remains fully responsible for the quality of the delivery of the course.

Accreditation by Public, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)

The School of Management has received accreditation from three high profile international organisations:

- EQUIS, the European Quality Improvement System, established by the European Foundation for Management Development (efmd).
- AACSB Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business.
- AMBA Association of MBAs

The School of Management is one of just a handful of schools to be accredited by the three accreditation bodies AACSB, AMBA and EQUIS.

The MSc in Strategic Marketing course also benefits from accreditation by the premier UK professional bodies in marketing Chartered Institute of Marketing (CIM) and the Market Research Society (MRS).

Candidates are able to undertake the MRS Advanced Certificate in Market and Social Research as part of the Market Research component of their MSc taught programme on an optional basis. Students who have completed both the Advanced Certificate and the Cranfield MSc, and who have work experience in market research, are also encouraged to apply for membership.

The course is also accredited with the CIM and students who have completed the Cranfield MSc in Strategic Marketing programme are eligible for maximum exemptions from the Chartered Institute of Marketing's Certificate in Professional Marketing (Level 4) and Diploma in Professional Marketing (Level 6). You are also encouraged to apply for membership.

2. What are the aims of the course?

Cranfield University offers this course in order to:

- Provide an advanced and thoroughly research-grounded marketing course for students preparing for a career in marketing or who are looking to advance their careers in marketing either in the UK or overseas.
- The course includes a Postgraduate Certificate and Postgraduate Diploma exit point for students who do not satisfactorily complete all components of the taught course element and the thesis. It is not intended that students will not join the course purely for the PgCert or PgDip, so it is an exit not entrance award gained after completing 60 credits for PgCert and 120 credits for PgDip.

3. What should students expect to achieve in completing the course?

Award intended learning outcomes (ILOs) (skills and knowledge).

A. Postgraduate Certificate

In completing this course, and achieving the associated award, a diligent student should be able to prove:

- ILO 1. An ability to demonstrate a systematic application and a critical awareness of current research in strategic marketing, customer management and market analysis together with the capacity to evaluate its relevance to industrial and commercial practice.
- ILO 2. An ability to acquire and use information effectively in several media, including the increasing range of networked information resources.
- ILO 3. Originality in the application of knowledge, including data and information collected by the student, in relation to a series of projects focussing on live marketing problems.
- ILO 4. An independent learning ability and interest in advancing their knowledge and understanding and developing new skills to a high level.

B. Postgraduate Diploma

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to demonstrate:

- ILO 5. Self-direction and originality in tackling and solving problems.
- ILO 6. Working effectively both individually and in teams at a professional level.
- ILO 7. Making informed judgements in the absence of complete data.
- ILO 8. The qualities and transferable skills necessary for employment requiring exercise of initiative and personal responsibility in a real world, marketing context.
- ILO 9. A conceptual understanding that enables the student to evaluate critically current research and/or methodologies, develop critiques of them and, where appropriate, adapt them in the contact of both advanced scholarship and their selected elective subject.

C. MSc

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

ILO 10. Understand, have experience with, and confidently be able to apply marketing theories, tools and techniques and will have practised implementing these theories and tools in a variety of situations including case studies, group projects and an individual thesis.

- ILO 11. Demonstrate the ability to identify the appropriate marketing framework for the issue or situation under consideration, to apply the tool or technique accurately, and to develop appropriate marketing strategies using such frameworks.
- ILO 12. Possess a comprehensive understanding of the leading academic research in the field of strategic marketing.
- ILO 13. Display practical capabilities in marketing research: data gathering, data analysis and interpretation, report writing and presentation skills.
- ILO 14. Demonstrate independent learning abilities in the practical application of marketing tools and techniques to current marketing issues.
- ILO 15. Work effectively in teams
- ILO 16. Demonstrate critical analysis skills in respect of case studies and group projects
- ILO 17. Communicate clearly and effectively both orally and in writing and be able to make presentations appropriate for communication to their academic audience and to the practitioners in any organisations involved

4. How is the course taught?

Cranfield places great emphasis on personal development through a teaching style that sets us apart from our rivals. The programme has been developed to produce practical, proactive strategic marketers, so our teaching methods are specifically geared toward encouraging participation, self-development and team working.

Teaching and learning methods focus on the application of learning.

The acquisition of knowledge and understanding is achieved via taught lectures, learning from others in a small team environment (the Learning Team) and students' personal study.

Case studies and examples drawn from practice play a significant role in teaching and learning about translating theory into practice and about applying marketing frameworks to practical situations. Additional practical expertise will be provided through visiting lecturers.

The students are taught research methods as part of the thesis process. This includes critical literature appraisal and search methods. The thesis requires them to apply these skills.

Students are encouraged to reflect on their learning throughout the programme.

5. What do students need to achieve in order to graduate?

Notwithstanding University Regulations and the authorities and powers exercised by examiners, students will normally need to demonstrate achievement in the elements of the course, as laid out in Section 7. Courses are structured through the accumulation of credit, where 1 credit represents 10 notional learning hours.

In brief, students will normally need to achieve the following in order to be awarded the qualifications:

A. Postgraduate Certificate

The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits				
COMPULSORY MODULES:					
Six modules from 1-12	60				
ELECTIVE MODULES:					
N/A	N/A				

TOTAL:	60
--------	----

B. Postgraduate Diploma

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 1-12	120
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
N/A	N/A
TOTAL:	120

C. MSc

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 1-13 Thesis (14)	130 70
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
N/A	N/A
TOTAL:	200

If a student does not meet the required standards for the award, the examiners for the programme may decide to offer a lower award associated with the programme, providing that a lower exit award exists and the student meets the requirements of that lower award.

Pass Criteria

The University operates standard pass criteria which can be found in the Senate Handbook on Assessment Rules.

In order to achieve your award, you are required to achieve:

- An overall average mark of ≥50%;
- An average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessment;
- All assessments need to be completed and the minimum mark attained: no more than one
 failure to complete an assessment (as defined in Section 2.3) will be permitted throughout
 the course of your studies (Please note that the board of examiners does not have
 discretion to overrule this limit, but can refer a case to Senate's Education Committee);^{1 2}

For students who were registered before 1 August 2015, the requirement to obtain a minimum mark for a taught assessment will not apply for taught assessment taken before 31 August 2015 (unless the assessment was designated as a "key assessment" under the previous Assessment Rules).

² Providing the minimum mark is met, a mark of 40-49% will be automatically compensated if a student's overall average taught assessment mark (including the failed assessment) is greater than 50%.

- **For Taught Assessments**, the minimum mark for each individual taught assessment <u>on the first attempt</u> for the significant majority of the taught assessments, noting that:
 - if you fail to attain the minimum mark for <u>up to 30 learning credits</u>, you will be permitted to re-take all of those assessments (except for circumstances where a resit award capped at 50% would be insufficient to achieve an overall average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessments);
 - o if, having failed to attain the minimum mark for 30 learning credits, you fail to obtain the minimum mark for <u>any additional learning credits</u> over the course of your studies you will be disqualified from the right to re-take the assessments: this will normally result in intended award failure. (Please note the board of examiners may at its discretion overrule this limit, but this is not an automatic right);
 - it is <u>not</u> permissible for you to fail an elective module and then proceed to take a different elective module in its place.
- For Substantial pieces of assessment (corresponding to ≥40 credits, which are not part of the taught assessment average), the pass mark of ≥50% (where they exist);
- For the thesis, a mark of ≥50% in order to receive a pass (where it exists).

6. How is the course structured?

Full-time students register for the course in September and are expected to complete the course within around 11 calendar months. The course is run in either two streams or in only one stream (depending on the size of the cohort).

Students are advised, however, that they retain the right to re-take an assessment with a mark of <40% (but should note that a re-take attempt will be capped at 50%), as long as they haven't failed more than 30 credits. At the discretion of the Board of Examiners or by Board of Examiners Chair's Actions a student may be permitted a re-take attempt of modules in the range of 40-49% only if the average mark of their other taught modules would not allow them to qualify for their award (<50%).

Course modules

The following modules outline all parts of the programme leading to an MSc. Other awards associated with the course include some or all of these modules.

					Visiting				Calenda	ar				Asses	ssme	nt		
							N X		d)		ó or		pendent essment		ulti-pa sessm		Submissi	on dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers	Credits	Is the module shared?`	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
1	M-K/MSP	Marketing Strategy and Planning	Prof Hugh Wilson	25		10	N		03/10/16	22/11/16	40 40	ICW GCW	60 40				22/11/16 18/11/16	
2	M-K/MBC	Managing Brands	Dr Radu Dimitriu	25		10	N		03/10/16	22/11/16	40 40	ICW GCW	60 40				22/11/16 18/11/16	
3	M-K/IMC	Marketing Communications	Prof Paul Baines	25		10	N		03/10/16	22/11/16	40 40	ICW GCW	60 40				22/11/16 18/11/16	
4	M-K/AMR	Accounting for Marketing Managers	Dr Simon Templar	25		10	N		06/02/17	24/03/17	40	EX	100				20/03/17	

³ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

Assessment Types: AO – Attendance only; ICW – Individual Coursework; GCW – Group Coursework; IPRES – Individual Presentation; GPRES – Group Presentation; IPRAC – Individual Practical; GPRAC – Group Practical; IPROJ – Individual Project (>20 credits); GPROJ – Group Project (>20 credits); EX – Examination; RP – Reflective Portfolio; OR- Viva Voce examination; THESIS - thesis

Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

⁵ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

⁶ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually.

For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

⁸ Failure to submit an element of a **multi-part assessment** will **not** require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then **all** elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

⁹ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

5	M-K/DMA	Big Data-Marketing Analytics	Dr Stan Maklan	25	10	N	28/11/16	12/12/16	40	EX	100		12/12/16	
6	M-K/CKM	B2B Customer and Key Account Management	Dr Ian Speakman	25	10	N	01/02/17	08/02/17	40	ICW	100		24/02/17	
7	M-K/CRM	Customer Relationship Marketing and Customer Experience	Benedetta Crisafulli	25	10	N	23/11/16	16/12/16	40	ICW	100		16/12/16	
8	M-K/RMM	Retailing and Omnichannel Management	Dr Tamira King	25	10	Ν	09/01/17	27/01/17	40	ICW	100		27/01/17	
9	M-K/DIR	Digital Marketing	Daniel Rowles, Dr Tamira King	25	10	N	07/03/17	13/04/17	40	ICW	100		22/04/17	
10	M-K/SKM	Sales Management	Dr Rodrigo Guesalaga	25	10	N	31/10/16	09/12/16	40	ICW	100		09/12/16	
11	M-K/MRA	Market Research and Insight	Prof Paul Baines	25	10	N	11/01/17	10/02/17	40 40	ICW ICW	20 80		10/02/17 10/02/17	
12	M-K/MCP	Marketing Consulting Project	Benedetta Crisafulli	10	10	N	27/02/17	03/03/17	40 40	GPRES ICW	50 50		03/03/17 24/03/17	
13	M-K/RMS	Research Methods	Ian Crawford	25	10	N	10/04/17	23/06/17	40	ICW	100		23/06/17	
14	M-K/THS	Thesis – review and submission process	Dr Stan Maklan	10	70	N	10/04/17	01/09/17		THESIS	100		01/09/17	

Assessment Types: AO – Attendance only; ICW – Individual Coursework; GCW – Group Coursework; IPRES – Individual Presentation; GPRES – Group Presentation; IPRAC – Individual Practical; GPRAC – Group Practical; IPROJ – Individual Project (>20 credits); GPROJ – Group Project (>20 credits); EX – Examination; RP – Reflective Portfolio; OR- Viva Voce examination; THESIS - thesis

Please list all modules that are shared with another existing course.

Module code	Module title	Course that owns the module	Course(s)/programme(s) that share the module
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

7. How are the ILOs assessed?

The following assessment types are utilised:

Individual Coursework, Group Coursework, Group Presentation, Examination and Thesis.

This approach has been adopted because:

To encourage different ways of learning and to probe the achieved learning from different perspectives.

Assessment and ILO Mapping

Complete the grid below by inserting in the boxes which assessments from the modules directly assess the Award ILOs.

Award ILOs Module																	
No.	ILO1	ILO2	ILO3	ILO4	ILO5	ILO6	ILO7	ILO8	ILO9	ILO10	ILO11	ILO12	ILO13	ILO14	ILO15	ILO16	ILO17
	Pos	tgradua	te Cert	tificate		Postgra	aduate	Diplom	а				М	Sc			
1		1	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓		✓				✓	✓	✓	✓
2		✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓		✓				✓	✓	✓	✓
3		✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓		✓				✓	✓	✓	✓
4		✓		✓	✓									✓			
5			✓		✓			✓			✓			✓			✓
6	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓			✓			✓
7		✓		✓	✓	✓		✓		✓				✓	✓		✓
8		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				✓	✓			✓	✓	✓	✓
9		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓		✓				✓			✓
10	✓		✓	✓		✓	✓			✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓
11		✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓			✓		✓			✓	✓
12		✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓		✓	✓			✓	✓	✓	✓
13			✓	✓	✓		✓		✓				✓				✓
14	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1	✓	√	✓	✓			✓

<u>CROSS-MODULAR ASSESSMENT</u> (including any assessment which rests outside an individual module)

Title	Modules Covered	Assessment				
		Type Weight (%				
Individual Planning	M-K/MSP Marketing Strategy and	Individual Report	60			

Report and Team	Planning	Team Debates	40
Debates	M-K/MBC Managing Brands		
	M-K/IMC Marketing Communications		

8. How will the University assure the quality of the provision?

New course proposals are reviewed by a Course Validation Panel, comprising at least the following membership: normally one subject matter expert external to the School or University, at least 3 academic staff not associated with the proposal. The Panel may include 1 member of professional staff. Panels are supported by an appropriately trained Secretary who acts as advisor to the Panel. Proposals are reviewed in line with the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA) Quality Code, in particular Chapter B1 (Programme Design and Approval) and in the case of partnership arrangements in accordance with Chapter B10 (Managing Higher Education with Others). New courses are ultimately approved by the University's Education Committee, on behalf of Senate.

Course changes are approved by the School's Director of Education on behalf of Education Committee and Senate. Significant changes to a course will be referred to a Course Review Panel at the discretion of the Director of Education.

The University has in place regular monitoring procedures for quality assurance including an Annual Reflective Review for each course and an in depth 6 year review of each School's (total) educational provision known as the Senate Review. For collaborative partnerships, in addition to the Annual Reflective Review there is an Annual Operating Statement and a 5 year review known as a Focussed Review which looks at each course in depth. In addition occasional site inspection visits are made.

Each course has at least one External Examiner who monitors all aspects of the assessment process. This is in line with the guidance provided by the QAA particularly in Chapter B7 (External Examining) which emphasises that external examining is one of the principal means for maintaining UK threshold academic standards within autonomous higher education institutions.

Each course has a formally constituted Examination Board, which includes the External Examiner, and which is responsible for ensuring that awards are made within the Regulations of the University and that students are made awards on the basis of meeting the specified Intended Learning Outcomes of a course at the appropriate standard.

Each course has a formally constituted Course Committee which meets at least twice a year to discuss, inter alia, programme design and planning, the student experience (including feedback) and student progress.

Each course has an Industry Advisory Panel (or similar) which meets at least once a year to engage with external stakeholders on curriculum design and currency of course content.

Student feedback both qualitative and quantitative is collected for each module studied. In addition students are invited to participate in the University's annual New Student Survey and Student Satisfaction Survey along with the annual national Postgraduate Taught Student Experience Survey. The results of all feedback are considered by the Course Committee and additionally, in respect of the University and national surveys, issues of quality are considered by and acted on where appropriate by the Education Committee, Senate, School and University Executives.

9. What opportunities are graduates likely to have on completing the course?

According to the latest study of our graduate careers by the Career Development Service, 93% of the MSc in Strategic Marketing class of 2014/15 were employed within three months of formal graduation.

48% of students changed country after graduation and 36% of non-UK based students were employed in the UK. The average global basic salary post course was £32,000, and the average total salary increase after Cranfield was £16,000.

The average age of the cohort was 24 years and 59% of the course was female.

COURSE SPECIFICATION



Cranfield University: Course Specifications

Course specifications outline the content and structure of a course leading to an award of Cranfield University. This version of the course specification has been approved by Education Committee and every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information. Courses are under constant review, however, and the University reserves the right, without notice, to withdraw, update or amend this course specification at any time.

COURSE TITLE: STREAM

Date of first publication/latest revision: Oct 2016

1. What is the course?

Course information

Course Title	STREAM
Course code	DESTRFIC
Academic Year	2016/17
Valid entry routes	EngD
Additional exit routes	EngD
Mode of delivery	Full-time
Location of Study	Cranfield
School(s)	School of Water, Energy and Environment
Theme	Water
Centre	Cranfield Water Sciences Institute
Course Director	Dr Pablo Campo Moreno
Awarding Body	Cranfield University
Teaching Institution	Cranfield University
Admissions body	Cranfield University
Entry requirements	Standard University Entry Requirements
UK Qualifications Framework Level	QAA FHEQ Level 8
Benchmark Statement(s)	N/A
Registration Period(s) available	4 years FT
Course Start Month(s)	October

Institutions delivering the course

This course is delivered by the STREAM Industrial Doctorate Centre and the School of Water, Energy and Engineering where the research interests include water science and engineering

Cranfield University interacts with the following institutions and in the following ways:

The **Stream Programme** is coordinated by Cranfield University but is delivered by five UK academic centres: Cranfield, Imperial College London and the universities of Sheffield, Newcastle, and Exeter

Cranfield University remains partly responsible for the quality of the delivery of the course.

Accreditation by Public, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)

This course is not accredited by any external bodies.

It is a member of the Association of Engineering Doctorates

2. What are the aims of the course?

To facilitate a three/four year programme during which the Engineering Doctorate (four year programme) and PhD (three year programme) students undertake a research degree awarded for industrially relevant research, based in industry and supported by a programme of professional development courses.

This programme is intended for the following range of students:

Doctoral level researchers

3. What should students expect to achieve in completing the course?

Award intended learning outcomes (ILOs) (skills and knowledge).

A. Engineering Doctorate

In completing this course, and achieving the associated award, a diligent student should be able to:

- ILO 1. Initiate and create new knowledge, through original research, delivered to a quality to satisfy peer review
- ILO 2. Demonstrate a systematic approach to acquisition and interpretation of knowledge of an academic discipline
- ILO 3. Conceptualise, design and implement methods for the collection of new knowledge
- ILO 4. Apply expert knowledge in one or more specialist fields and be able to deploy methods and techniques that balance social, environmental, economic, and engineering considerations

4. How is the course taught?

This is a research degree. However, four year EngD Research Engineer's will undertake a taught semester (October-December of their first year) attending five taught modules and undertaking a group design project. Registered PhD and EngD students are also expected to undertake a Transferable Skills & Engineering Leadership (TSEL) programme which is spread across the duration of their registration and some elements of this are run at the Partner Universities.

5. What do students need to achieve in order to graduate?

Notwithstanding University Regulations and the authorities and powers exercised by examiners, students will normally need to demonstrate achievement in the elements of the course, as laid out in Section 7. Courses are structured through the accumulation of credit, where 1 credit represents 10 notional learning hours.

In brief, students will normally need to achieve the following in order to be awarded the qualifications:

A. Engineering Doctorate

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:(M Level)	
 [1] Asset Management, Policy & Strategy [2] Water & Wastewater Treatment Principles [3] Process Science & Engineering [4] Hydraulics and Pumping Systems [5] Risk Management and Reliability [6] Engineering group design project [7] Transferable Skills and Engineering Leadership 	10 10 10 10 10 10 10
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Two additional M level technical modules from an apport of MSc Courses (can be taken at any one of the five Universities)	
TOTAL:	60

^{*}Different Units of the TSEL are taught at the partnering Universities. TSEL is assessed by the host institution at the end of the course

Pass Criteria

The University operates standard pass criteria for taught courses which can be found in the Senate Handbook on Assessment Rules.

For individual modules:

- A mark of ≥50% is required to pass the assessment, however:
 - the stated minimum mark for each individual assessment must be attained (this is normally 40% but in some cases will be clearly stated as 50%);¹

.

¹ See footnote 7.

- where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% will be automatically compensated providing that overall your average mark (including the failed assessment(s)) is ≥50%: a mark of <40% will require the assessment to be retaken (subject to the limitations outlined in Section 4)²;
- where the stated minimum mark is 50%, a mark of <50% will require the assessment to be re-taken (subject to the limitations outlined in Section 4).
- The marks of a re-take (or re-submission) of an assessment will be capped at 50%. Providing the minimum mark is met, re-take marks within the range of 40-49% will be automatically compensated providing that overall your average mark (including the failed assessment(s)) is ≥50%;
- Any re-take assessment will be of the same assessment type as the original failed assessment and be either:
 - o a new (and different) examination; or
 - a new (and different) piece of submitted work;
 - a revision of the work submitted originally.
- A first instance of a failure to submit or attend an assessment would be permitted a further
 opportunity to submit or attend, but the marks obtained will be capped at 50%. Further
 instances of failure to attend or submit across the course would <u>not</u> entitle you to any
 further assessment opportunities and would normally mean that you would not gain the
 credits and therefore would fail the award.
- Failure to attain the minimum mark or failure to submit on the second attempt would normally mean that you would fail the module and the intended award.

Substantial pieces of assessment (pieces of assessment corresponding to ≥40 credits)

- A mark of ≥50% is required to pass the assessment. A mark of 40-49% cannot be compensated by performance in other modules.
- A mark of <50% will normally result in an opportunity to re-take the assessment or an opportunity to revise and represent the original work (this will be defined in your course handbook), in both cases with the re-take mark capped at 50%. The board of examiners reserve the right to fail a mark of <40% without a second assessment opportunity.
- A first instance of a failure to submit or attend an assessment would be permitted a further
 opportunity to submit or attend, but the marks obtained will be capped at 50%. Further
 instances of failure to attend or submit across the course would <u>not</u> entitle you to any
 further assessment opportunities and would normally mean that you would not gain the
 credits and therefore would fail the intended award.
- Failure or failure to submit on the second attempt would normally mean that you would fail the intended award.

4

You will not be offered the opportunity to re-take any assessment which is compensatable. However at the discretion of the Board of Examiners or by BoE Chair's Action you may be permitted a re-take attempt of modules in the range of 40-49% only if the average mark of your other taught modules would not allow you to qualify for your award (<50%).

5. How is the course structured?

Full-time students register for the course in October and are expected to complete the course within 48 calendar months.

STREAM REs will spend their first semester (Oct – Dec) at Cranfield attending modules on core technical competencies and early stage transferable skills. Attendance on the Induction Semester and completion of associated assessments are both compulsory elements of the programme.

Subsequent to the induction semester, all STREAM REs attend any number of Masters level modules which are part of a STREAM recognised MSc course (see table below). REs will attend a minimum of one such module in their second and third years of study. Attendance at additional modules must be authorised by the RE's principal supervisor. Formal assessment for these post-induction modules is not compulsory. Where assessment is undertaken it may be in any form agreed to by the primary supervisor. REs will receive certificates of attendance for each module that they attend. Where they successfully pass the normal assessment for that module they will be supplied with an accreditation certificate from the institution offering the module.

List of recognised MSc courses which STREAM REs are able to attend

Institution / School	MSc course
University of Sheffield - Department	Urban Water Engineering and
of Civil and Structural Engineering	Management
Newcastle University – School of	 Sustainable Management of the Water
Civil Engineering and Geosciences	Environment
	 Environmental Engineering
	Hydroinformatics
	 Applied Hydrogeology
Imperial College London -	 Hydrology and Water Resources
Department of Civil and	Management
Environmental Engineering	Environmental Engineering
Cranfield University – Centre for	 Water & Wastewater Engineering
Water Science, School of Applied	
Sciences.	
University of Exeter - School of	Urban Water Systems
Engineering, Computing &	
Mathematics.	

The TSEL component of the STREAM programme comprises attendance at one course at Cranfield during the induction semester plus one course at Imperial College later in the first year of registration, one course at the University of Sheffield during Year 2, one course at the University of Exeter in Year 3, and one course at Newcastle University in Year 4. Attendance on STREAM TSEL components and completion of associated assessments are both compulsory elements of the programme. Records of attendance on TSEL activities a and associated assessment marks will be recorded on RE CPD logs.

Doctoral level research: Candidates will conduct either a single study or a portfolio of studies to be reported on in a thesis. Formal assessment will involve a Viva Voce defence of the thesis with one internal examiner and two external examiners, where no more than one external examiner can be nominated from a university in the STREAM consortium and none of the examiners can have served on the RE's supervisory panel.

Course modules

The following modules outline all compulsory parts of the programme leading to an **EngD**. Other awards associated with the course include some or all of these modules.

					<u> </u>				Calendar		Assessment							
					/ Visiting		¥ }		a)		o or		pendent essment	Multi-part Assessment			Submission dates	
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? \	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
1	I-UAM- A1001	Asset Management, Policy and Strategy	Dr Ewan McAdam	27		10	N		3/10/16	7/10/16	40	ICW	100				15/10/16	TBC
2	I-WSC- A1096	Water and Wastewater Treatment Principles	Dr Jitka MacAdam	30		10	Υ		10/10/16	14/10/16	40	ICW	100				22/10/16	TBC

³ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

Assessment Types: AO – Attendance only; ICW – Individual Coursework; GCW – Group Coursework; IPRES – Individual Presentation; GPRES – Group Presentation; IPRAC – Individual Practical; GPRAC – Group Practical; IPROJ – Individual Project (>20 credits); GPROJ – Group Project (>20 credits); EX – Examination; RP – Reflective Portfolio; OR- Viva Voce examination; THESIS - thesis

Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

⁵ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

⁶ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually.

⁷ For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

⁸ Failure to submit an element of a **multi-part assessment** will **not** require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then **all** elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

⁹ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

3	I-WSC- A1093	Process Science and Engineering	Dr Marc Pidou	25	10	Y	31/10/16	4/11/16	40	EX	100				Exam week comm. 3/1/2017	TBC
4	I-WSC- A1095	Risk Management and Reliability Engineering	Dr J MacAdam	28	10		21/11/16	25/11/16	40	ICW	100				3/12/16	TBC
5	I-WSC- A1507	Hydraulics and pumping systems	Dr II Carra	26	10	Y	5/12/16	9/12/16	40	ICW	100				3/1/17	TBC
6	I-STR- GDP	Group Design Project	Dr Ewan McAdam	30	10		11/10/16	19/12/16	50			100	IPRES GCW	20 80	20/12/16	
7	I-STR- TSEL	Transferable Skills and Engineering Leadership	Dr Ewan McAdam	29	40*		Date of Registrat ion on EngD	44 months after start of registrati on	40	ICW	100				End of students registration	

^{*}Different Units of the TSEL are taught at the partnering Universities. TSEL is assessed by the host institution at the end of the course

Please list all modules that are shared with another existing course.

Module code	Module title	Course that owns the module	Course(s)/programme(s) that share the module
I-WSC-A1096	Water and Wastewater Treatment Principles	Water and Wastewater Engineering	Environmental Engineering STREAM EngD
I-WSC-A1093	Process Science and Engineering	Water and Wastewater Engineering	STREAM EngD
I-WSC-A1095	Risk Management and Reliability	Water and Wastewater Engineering	Environmental Engineering STREAM EngD
I-WSC-A1507	Hydraulics and Pumping Systems	Water and Wastewater Engineering	Stream EngD

7. How are the ILOs assessed?

The EngD students are expected to undertake 5 compulsory taught modules, a group project and transferable skills and leadership plus 2 other taught modules.

There is a requirement for students to undertake these modules however the overall the research EngD is assessed by a viva in line with the university regulations for a doctorate level research degree

8. How will the University assure the quality of the provision?

New course proposals are reviewed by a Course Validation Panel, comprising at least the following membership: normally one subject matter expert external to the School or University, at least 3 academic staff not associated with the proposal. The Panel may include 1 member of professional staff. Panels are supported by an appropriately trained Secretary who acts as advisor to the Panel. Proposals are reviewed in line with the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA) Quality Code, in particular Chapter B1 (Programme Design and Approval) and in the case of partnership arrangements in accordance with Chapter B10 (Managing Higher Education with Others). New courses are ultimately approved by the University's Education Committee, on behalf of Senate.

Course changes are approved by the School's Director of Education on behalf of Education Committee and Senate. Significant changes to a course will be referred to a Course Review Panel at the discretion of the Director of Education.

The University has in place regular monitoring procedures for quality assurance including an Annual Reflective Review for each course and an in depth 6 year review of each School's (total) educational provision known as the Senate Review. For collaborative partnerships, in addition to the Annual Reflective Review there is an Annual Operating Statement and a 5 year review known as a Focussed Review which looks at each course in depth. In addition occasional site inspection visits are made.

Each course has at least one External Examiner who monitors all aspects of the assessment process. This is in line with the guidance provided by the QAA particularly in Chapter B7 (External Examining) which emphasises that external examining is one of the principal means for maintaining UK threshold academic standards within autonomous higher education institutions.

Each course has a formally constituted Examination Board, which includes the External Examiner, and which is responsible for ensuring that awards are made within the Regulations of the University and that students are made awards on the basis of meeting the specified Intended Learning Outcomes of a course at the appropriate standard.

Each course has a formally constituted Course Committee which meets at least twice a year to discuss, inter alia, programme design and planning, the student experience (including feedback) and student progress.

Each course has an Industry Advisory Panel (or similar) which meets at least once a year to engage with external stakeholders on curriculum design and currency of course content.

Student feedback both qualitative and quantitative is collected for each module studied. In addition students are invited to participate in the University's annual New Student Survey and Student Satisfaction Survey along with the annual national Postgraduate Taught Student Experience Survey. The results of all feedback are considered by the Course Committee and additionally, in respect of the University and national surveys, issues of quality are considered by and acted on where appropriate by the Education Committee, Senate, School and University Executives.

9. What opportunities are graduates likely to have on completing the course?

Primarily UK Water Industry in various roles: research, engineering consultancy, water utility, second tier provider, regulator.

COURSE SPECIFICATION



Cranfield University: Course Specifications

Course specifications outline the content and structure of a course leading to an award of Cranfield University. This version of the course specification has been approved by Education Committee and every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information.

COURSE TITLE: Systems Engineering for Defence Capability

Date of first publication/latest revision: 25/08/2016 – 27/10/16

1. What is the course?

Course information

Course Title	Systems Engineering for Defence Engineering
Course code	MSSECFTR – PDSECFTR – PCSECFTR – MSSECPTR – PDSECPTR – PCSECPTR - SPSECPTR
A damie We	
Academic Year	2016-17
Valid entry routes	MSc, PgDip, PgCert, Short course for credit
Additional exit routes	PgDip, PgCert,
Mode of delivery	Full-time & Part-time
Location of Study	Shrivenham
School(s)	Cranfield Defence and Security
Theme	Defence and Security
Centre	Centre for Systems Engineering
Awarding Body	Cranfield University
Teaching Institution	Cranfield University
Admissions body	Cranfield University
Entry requirements	Standard University entry requirements; additionally an IELTS score of 7.0 is required by students for whom English is not a first language.
UK Qualifications Framework Level	QAA FHEQ level 7 (Masters)
Benchmark Statement(s)	N/A
Registration Period(s) available	A student who registers for the PgCert will have a registration period of 3 years. For the PgDip this will be 4 years, and for the MSc 5 years.
Course Start Month(s)	September and January

This course is delivered by Centre for Systems Engineering where the research interests include systems analysis and development, systems thinking, architecture and test and evaluation.

Cranfield University interacts with the following institutions and in the following ways:

- As the course is delivered at the Defence Academy, students have access to the facilities onsite and to current serving MOD military and civilian staff.
- Students can arrange to make visits to a number of military venues.
- All of our industrial students are sponsored by their employers, who provide direct support to the course in the form of informal input to theses and provision of information to support coursework and projects.

Cranfield University remains fully responsible for the quality of the delivery of the course.

Accreditation by Public, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)

This course is accredited formally by IMechE and IET until 2018.

2. What are the aims of the course?

Cranfield University offers this course in order to teach graduates the principles, procedures and practices of Systems Engineering in the defence context. It offers some choice and specialisation to students having different backgrounds, interests or specific requirements. The Postgraduate Diploma (PgDip) and Postgraduate Certificate (PgCert) exit routes are provided for students who wish to access only parts of the course provided.

The programme is intended for the following range of students:

- recent graduates wishing to extend their knowledge and skills in the above areas
- experienced and or qualified engineers and scientists wishing to apply their skills in new areas
- the courses are targeted at people who will be able to add real value to the delivery of through-life defence capability in general and to their subsequent appointments in defence ministries, procurement and logistics agencies, defence science and technology organisations or defence industry in particular.

3. What should students expect to achieve in completing the course?

Award intended learning outcomes (ILOs) (skills and knowledge).

A. Postgraduate Certificate

In completing this course, and achieving the associated award, a diligent student should be able to:

- ILO 1. distinguish between systems and complex systems
- ILO 2. recognise complex systems and their associated problems
- ILO 3. design cost-effective, timely and effective complex systems
- ILO 4. defend adopting a systems approach over other methods of solving complex systems problems
- ILO 5. analyse the principal influences and constraints on the modern defence environment
- ILO 6. use Systems Engineering methods to explore defence lifecycle issues

- ILO 7. apply systems knowledge and systems thinking to the decision making process in relation to systems' problems in a constantly changing defence environment comprising people, doctrine, technology, time and budget
- ILO 8. formulate a Systems Engineering approach to Through Life Management Planning, Requirements Engineering, System Design, Trade- offs, Verification, Validation and Integrated Test and Evaluation
- ILO 9. assemble stakeholder needs and constraint, making appropriate use of requirements management techniques

B. Postgraduate Diploma

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 10. analyse realistic problems which occur in a constantly changing defence environment (comprising people, doctrine, technology, time and budget) and may be solved using complex decision-making processes
- ILO 11. organise a tailored, whole system, through-life approach to explore a complex problem, using appropriate methods and tools
- ILO 12. judge the quality of Systems Engineering practices applied by industry and government in the defence environment
- ILO 13. propose a practical systems approach to accommodate both industrial and governmental ideology
- ILO 14. assess risk and uncertainty in complex systems
- ILO 15. propose suitable resources to mitigate risk and uncertainty in complex systems
- ILO 16. construct simple models, using modern techniques, tools and processes such as Synthetic Environments, to facilitate Defence Acquisition
- ILO 17. appraise Systems Engineering published work to justify and support their line of reasoning
- ILO 18. express effectively, through oral and written communication, their justified line of reasoning.
- ILO 19. critically analyse practical situations requiring complex decision-making to solve dynamic systems problems involving people, doctrine, technology, time and cost
- ILO 20. organise a balanced, whole system, through life approach and exploit appropriate methods and tools
- ILO 21. critically compare and contrast industrial best practices in Systems Engineering with Defence Acquisition and propose how to achieve a practical systems approach

C. MSc

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 22. Recognise a complex Systems Engineering problem which can be solved using knowledge acquired during the taught phase of the course
- ILO 23. assess evidence gathered through self-directed research
- ILO 24 defend the validity of their conclusions in relation to their chosen complex Systems Engineering problem
- ILO 25. assemble evidence to support their line of reasoning and conclusions for their chosen complex Systems Engineering problem in conjunction with dependent and independent learning abilities
- ILO 26. write a thesis to convey their problem, assessment, defence and conclusions associated with their identified complex Systems Engineering problem

4. How is the course taught?

Students will be supported in their learning and personal development by:

- use of the 'Virtual Learning Environment' (VLE) to deliver additional resources such as online questionnaires, forums and quizzes will be added to supplement and augment those used in classroom based learning
- use of group exercises where students investigate topics while undertaking certain modules and then presenting their findings back to their peers and academics. Such group research would typically utilise on-site library facilities and the digital library access to the Defence Capability Centre, where military equipment is available and used for some modules
- discussion sessions regarding Systems Engineering theory and practice used in defence environments
- participation in the course by a range of students from serving Military Officers, civilian MOD
 employees and students from defence companies, both UK and Foreign, so providing a forum
 to raise current issues and comment on the latest developments from different perspectives
- the Systems Engineering for Defence Capability suite of courses benefit from having the provision of a Flexible Education Coordinator who provides guidance and support to students undertaking the different routes.

5. What do students need to achieve in order to graduate?

Notwithstanding University Regulations and the authorities and powers exercised by examiners, students will normally need to demonstrate achievement in the elements of the course, as laid out in Section 7. Courses are structured through the accumulation of credit, where 1 credit represents 10 notional learning hours.

In brief, students will normally need to achieve the following in order to be awarded the qualifications:

A. Postgraduate Certificate

The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Systems Approach to Engineering	10
Lifecycle Processes Introduction	10
Lifecycle processes Advanced	10

Applied Systems Thinking	10
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Modules to the value of 20 credits selected from:	
Availability, Reliability, Maintainability and Support Strategy Capability Context Decision Analysis, Modelling and Support Human Centric Systems Engineering Introduction to Defence Capability Model Based Systems Engineering Networked and Distributed Simulation Systems of Systems Engineering Simulation and Synthetic Environments Systems Engineering and Software Systems Engineering Workshop	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
TOTAL:	60

 B. Postgraduate Diploma
 The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Systems Approach to Engineering Lifecycle Processes Introduction Lifecycle processes Advanced Capability Context Applied Systems Thinking Advanced Systems Engineering Workshop	10 10 10 10 10 20
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Modules to the value of 50 credits selected from: Availability, Reliability, Maintainability and Support Strategy Decision Analysis, Modelling and Support Human Centric Systems Engineering Introduction to Defence Capability Model Based Systems Engineering Networked and Distributed Simulation Systems of Systems Engineering Simulation and Synthetic Environments Systems Engineering and Software Systems Engineering Workshop	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
TOTAL:	120

C. MSc

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Systems Approach to Engineering	10
Lifecycle Processes Introduction	10
Lifecycle processes Advanced	10
Capability Context	10
Applied Systems Thinking	10
Advanced Systems Engineering Workshop	20
Thesis	80
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Modules to the value of 50 credits selected from:	
Availability, Reliability, Maintainability and Support Strategy	10
Decision Analysis, Modelling and Support	10
Human Centric Systems Engineering	10
Introduction to Defence Capability	10
Model Based Systems Engineering	10
Networked and Distributed Simulation	10
Systems of Systems Engineering	10
Simulation and Synthetic Environments	10
Systems Engineering and Software	10
Systems Engineering Workshop	10
TOTAL:	200

If a student does not meet the required standards for the award, the examiners for the programme may decide to offer a lower award associated with the programme, providing that a lower exit award exists and the student meets the requirements of that lower award.

Pass Criteria

The University operates standard pass criteria which can be found in the Senate Handbook on Assessment Rules.

In order to achieve your award, you are required to achieve:

- An overall average mark of ≥50%;
- An average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessment;
- All assessments need to be completed and the minimum mark attained: no more than one
 failure to complete an assessment (as defined in Section 2.3) will be permitted throughout
 the course of your studies (Please note that the board of examiners does <u>not</u> have
 discretion to overrule this limit, but can refer a case to Senate's Education Committee);^{1 2}

For students who were registered before 1 August 2015, the requirement to obtain a minimum mark for a taught assessment will not apply for taught assessment taken before 31 August 2015 (unless the assessment was designated as a "key assessment" under the previous Assessment Rules).

- **For Taught Assessments**, the minimum mark for each individual taught assessment <u>on</u> the first attempt for the significant majority of the taught assessments, noting that:
 - if you fail to attain the minimum mark for <u>up to 30 learning credits</u>, you will be permitted to re-take all of those assessments (except for circumstances where a resit award capped at 50% would be insufficient to achieve an overall average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessments);
 - o if, having failed to attain the minimum mark for 30 learning credits, you fail to obtain the minimum mark for <u>any additional learning credits</u> over the course of your studies you will be disqualified from the right to re-take the assessments: this will normally result in intended award failure. (Please note the board of examiners may at its discretion overrule this limit, but this is not an automatic right);
 - it is <u>not</u> permissible for you to fail an elective module and then proceed to take a different elective module in its place.
- For Substantial pieces of assessment (corresponding to ≥40 credits, which are not part of the taught assessment average), the pass mark of ≥50% (where they exist);
- For the thesis, a mark of ≥50% in order to receive a pass (where it exists).

6. How is the course structured?

Full-time students register for the course commencing in September and are expected to complete their study as follows:

- MSc course within 48 weeks
- PgDip within a minimum of 24 weeks and a maximum of 40 weeks
- PgCert within a minimum of 12 weeks and a maximum of 20 weeks depending on the optional module chosen.

The course is also offered on a part-time basis. The MSc part-time variant is completed over a period of 3 to 5 years. Whilst students are registered for 5 years, the normal time to complete the taught phase of the course part-time is 3 years, with a minimum time of 2 years. For the PgDip the part-time variant is completed in 2 to 4 years; the maximum period of registration allowed is 4 years. For the PgCert the part-time variant is normally completed in 2 years; the maximum period of registration allowed is 3 years.

A 10 credit module is taught over a period of one week with 5 credit and 20 credit modules prorata.

Providing the minimum mark is met, a mark of 40-49% will be automatically compensated if a student's overall average taught assessment mark (including the failed assessment) is greater than 50%. Students are advised, however, that they retain the right to re-take an assessment with a mark of <40% (but should note that a re-take attempt will be capped at 50%), as long as they haven't failed more than 30 credits. At the discretion of the Board of Examiners or by Board of Examiners Chair's Actions a student may be permitted a re-take attempt of modules in the range of 40-49% only if the average mark of their other taught modules would not allow them to qualify for their award (<50%).

Course modules

The following modules outline all parts of the programme leading to MSc. Other awards associated with the course include some or all of these modules.

								Calendar						Assess	ment				
				Visiting			ģ					Ĺ	Independent Assessment		Multi-part Assessment			Submission dates	
8	Module code	Title	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by V Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% or 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date [§]	Assessment / Exam Retake date		
1	R-SEDC- SAE	Systems Approach to Engineering	57		10	N	A:05/09/16	05/09/16	16/09/16	50 50	ICW EX	50 50				26/09/16 FT 24/10/16 PT 31/10/16	27/02/17 27/03/17 27/02/17		
							B:09/01/17	09/01/17	20/01/17	50 50	ICW EX	50 50				27/02/17 PT 27/02/17	17/18 17/18		
2	R-SEDC- LPI	Lifecycle Processes Introduction	20		10	N	A:05/09/16	03/10/16	07/10/16	50	ICW	100				17/10/16 FT 14/11/16 PT	05/01/17 05/01/17		
		THE OCCUPANT					B:17/10/16	21/11/16	25/11/16	50	ICW	100				05/01/17 PT	15/05/17		

³ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

Assessment Types: AO - Attendance only: ICW - Individual Coursework: GCW - Group Coursework: IPRES - Individual Presentation: GPRES - Group Presentation: IPRAC - Individual Practical: GPRAC - Group Coursework: IPRES - Individual Presentation: IPRAC - INDIVIDUAL PR Practical; IPROJ - Individual Project (>20 credits); GPROJ - Group Project (>20 credits); EX - Examination : RP - Reflective Portfolio; OR- Viva Voce examination; THESIS - thesis,

⁴ Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

⁵ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

⁶ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually. ⁷ For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

⁸ Failure to submit an element of a multi-part assessment will not require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then all elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

⁹ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

								Calendar						Assess	ment		
				Visiting			-b			Ĺ		pendent essment	Multi-pa	art Asse	ssment	Submissi	on dates
8	Module code	Title	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by V Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% or 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date³	Assessment / Exam Retake date
							C: 29/02/17	03/04/17	08/04/17	50	ICW	100				15/05/17 PT	17/18
3	R-SEDC- LPA	Lifecycle Processes Advanced	20		10	N	A:31/10/16 B:03/04/17	05/12/16 08/05/17	09/12/16 12/05/17	50 50	EX EX	100 100				30/01/17 10/07/17	10/07/17 17/18
4	R-SEDC- CC	Capability Context	20		10	N	A:19/09/16	24/10/16	28/10/16	50	ICW	100				07/11/16 FT 05/12/16 PT	31/07/17 31/07/17
							B:15/05/17	19/06/17	23/06/17	50	ICW	100				31/07/17 PT	17/18
5	R-SEDC- AST	Applied Systems Thinking	20		10	N	A:10/10/16		18/11/16	50 50	ICW GPRES	70 30				28/11/16 FT 28/12/16 PT 18/11/16	05/04/17 05/04/17 24/02/17
							C:09/01/17		18/01/17	50 50	ICW GPRES	70 30				27/03/17 17/02/17	17/18 17/18
							B:16/01/17	20/02/17	24/02/17	50 50	ICW GPRES	70 30				05/04/17 PT 24/02/17	17/18 17/18
6	R-SEDC- SEWN	Systems Engineering Workshop	12		10	N	A:05/12/16	09/01/17	13/01/17	40 40	GCW ICW	30 70				13/01/17 23/01/17 FT 20/02/17 PT	19/05/17 28/06/17 28/06/17
							B:10/04/17	15/05/17	19/05/17	40 40	GCW ICW	30 70				19/05/17 28/06/17	17/18 17/18

							Calendar							Assess	ment		
				Visiting			-			_		ependent essment	Multi-pa	art Asse	ssment	Submiss	ion dates
8	Module code	Title	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Vi Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% or 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam dateീ	Assessment / Exam Retake date
7	R-SEDC- ASEW	Advanced Systems Engineering Workshop	26		20	N	A:29/09/16 B:20/02/17	31/10/16 27/03/17	11/11/16 07/04/17	50 50 50 50	GCW GPRES ICW GCW GPRES	25 25 50 25 25				11/11/16 11/11/16 19/12/16 PT 07/04/17 07/04/17	28/04/17 28/04/17 07/05/17 17/18 17/18
										50	ICW	50				19/04/17 FT 18/05/17 PT	17/18 17/18
8	R-SEDC- ARMSS	Availability, Reliability,	34		10	Y ¹⁰	A:22/08/16	26/09/16	30/09/16	40	ICW	100				07/11/16 PT	20/03/17 PT
		Maintainability& Support Strategy					B:02/01/16	06/02/16	10/02/16	40	ICW	100				20/03/17 FT 20/03/17 PT	FT 17/18 PT 17/18
9	R-SEDC- DAMS	Decision Analysis, Modelling and	30		10	Y ¹¹	A:05/09/15	10/10/16	14/10/16	40	ICW	100				21/11/16 PT	29/03/17
		Support					B:09/01/17	13/02/17	17/02/17	40	ICW	100				19/04/17 FT 29/03/17 PT	FT 17/18 PT 17/18
10	R-SEDC- HCSE	Human Centric Systems Engineering	35		10	N	A:10/10/16	14/11/16	18/11/16	40	GPRES ICW	30 70				18/11/16 28/12/16 PT	07/04/17 15/05/17
							B:13/02/17	20/03/17	24/03/17	40	GPRES ICW	30 70				24/03/17 19/04/17 FT	17/18

This module shares a large proportion of it's teaching with R-ESD-RSE but the assessment and ILOs are different.
 This module shares a large proportion of its teaching with R-AMOR-DA but the assessment and ILOs are different.

								Calendar						Assess	ment		
				Visiting			- -			_		ependent essment	Multi-pa	art Asse	ssment	Submiss	on dates
8	Module code	Title	Contact hours³	Total hours delivered by Vi Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% or 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam dateീ	Assessment / Exam Retake date
																03/05/17 PT	FT 17/18 PT 17/18
11	R-SEDC- IDC	Introduction to Defence Capability	25		10	N	A:19/12/16	23/01/17	27/01/17	40	ICW	100				06/03/17 FT 06/03/17 PT	04/09/17 04/09/17
							B:19/06/17	24/07/17	28/07/17	40	ICW	100				04/09/17 PT	17/18
12	R-SEDC- MBSE	Model Based Systems	40		10	N	A:15/08/16	19/09/16	23/09/17	40	ICW	100				31/10/16 PT	17/04/17
	IVIDGE	Engineering					B:30/01/17	06/03/17	10/03/17	40	ICW	100				19/04/17 FT 19/04/17 PT	FT 17/18 PT 17/18
13	R-AMOR- NDS Occ A	Networked Distributed Simulation	30		10	Y	23/01/17	27/02/17	03/03/17	40	ICW	100				19/04/17 FT 13/04/16	FT 17/18 12/04/17
14	R-SEDC- SOSE	System of Systems Engineering	20		10	N	A:06/02/17 B:12/06/17	13/03/17 17/07/17	17/03/17 21/07/17	40 40	ICW ICW	100				19/04/17 FT 26/04/17 PT 28/08/17 PT	28/08/17 28/08/17 17/18
15	R-SEDC- SSE	Simulation and Synthetic	30		10	Y ¹²	A:08/08/16	12/09/16	16/09/16	40	ICW	100				24/10/16 PT	27/02/17
	302	Environments					B:12/12/16	16/01/17	20/01/17	40	ICW	100				27/02/17 FT 27/02/17 PT	FT 17/18 PT 17/18
16	R-SEDC- SEAS	Systems Engineering and	20		10	N	A:12/09/16	17/10/16	21/10/16	40	ICW	100				28/11/16 PT	05/04/17

⁻

¹² This module shares a large proportion of its teaching with R-AMOR-FMS but assessment and ILOs are different.

								Calendar						Assess	ment	ent				
				Visiting			ф				Independent Assessment					Submission dates				
æ	Module code	Title	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Vi Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% or 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam dateീ	Assessment / Exam Retake date			
		Software					B:16/01/17	20/02/17	24/02/17	40	ICW	100				05/04/17 PT 19/04/17 FT	FT 17/18 PT 17/18			
17	R-SEDC- PSW	Thesis Selection Workshop	20	0	0	N		12/12/16 10/07/17	16/12/16 14/07/17		AO AO					N/A N/A				
18	R-SEDC- DISS	Thesis	20	0	80	N		12/1216	28/07/17	50	THESIS	100				28/07/17				

Please list all modules that are shared with another existing course.

Module code	Module title	Course that owns the module	Course(s)/programme(s) that share the module				
R-AMOR-NDS	Networked and Distributed Simulation	Defence Simulation and Modelling (AMOR Programme)	Defence Simulation and Modelling				

7. How are the ILOs assessed?

The course uses a range of assessment methods. Students can expect to have:

- two written examinations, (Systems Approach to Engineering and Lifecycle Processes Advanced).
- assessed coursework for all modules except Lifecycle Processes Advanced which is exam only.
- three elements of assessment by Group presentation and Group Portfolio (during Applied Systems Thinking, Systems Engineering Workshop and Advanced Systems Engineering Workshop).

The breadth of assessment methods are intended to cater for differing learning styles ensuring inclusion across the student cohort and minimising any potential disadvantage from limiting assessment types. For students completing the MSc, the individual thesis also requires students to be assessed on their written presentation skills. The thesis assessment can include a viva voce requested at the discretion of the Examination Board.

Assessment and ILO Mapping

Complete the grid below by inserting in the boxes which assessments from the modules directly assess the Award ILOs.

(Module numbers should correspond with those used in the Course module table above.)

A. Postgraduate Certificate

Award ILOs Module No.	ILO 1	ILO 2	ILO 3	ILO 4	ILO 5	ILO 6	ILO 7	ILO 8	ILO 9
1		ICW EX		ICW EX	ICW EX	EX	ICW EX		ICW EX
2			ICW					ICW	ICW
3	EX	EX		EX	EX	EX	EX	EX	
4		ICW	ICW		ICW		ICW		
5	ICW GPRES	ICW GPRES		ICW GPRES	ICW GPRES	ICW GPRES	ICW GPRES		
6		ICW GCW		ICW GCW		ICW GCW		ICW	ICW
7	ICW	ICW GPRES	ICW	ICW GPRES	ICW GPRES	ICW GPRES	ICW GPRES	ICW	ICW GPRES
8			ICW		ICW		ICW	ICW	

Award ILOs Module No.	ILO 1	ILO 2	ILO 3	ILO 4	ILO 5	ILO 6	ILO 7	ILO 8	ILO 9
9			ICW			ICW	ICW	ICW	
10	ICW	ICW	ICW	ICW	ICW	ICW	ICW GPRES	ICW	ICW
11		ICW		ICW		ICW	ICW	ICW	
12				ICW	ICW	ICW			
13		ICW	ICW		ICW		ICW		ICW
14	ICW	ICW		ICW	ICW	ICW			ICW
15	ICW	ICW	ICW		ICW	ICW	ICW	ICW	
16		ICW		ICW	ICW		ICW		

B. Postgraduate Diploma

In addition to those outlined above, the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs Module No.	ILO 10	ILO 11	ILO 12	ILO 13	ILO 14	ILO 15	ILO 16	ILO 17	ILO 18	ILO 19	ILO 20	ILO 21
1	ICW EX						EX	ICW		EX		
2		ICW						ICW	ICW	ICW	ICW	
3	EX	EX			EX	EX						
4	ICW							ICW	ICW			ICW
5	ICW GPRES				ICW GPRES	ICW GPRES	ICW GPRES			ICW GPRES		ICW GPRES
6			ICW		ICW GCW		ICW		ICW GCW	ICW	ICW	
7	ICW GPRES	ICW GPRES			ICW	ICW	ICW		ICW GPRES	ICW GPRES	ICW	
8	ICW						ICW		ICW	ICW	ICW	
9	ICW	ICW			ICW	ICW	ICW		ICW	ICW		
10	ICW GPRES	ICW GPRES	ICW	ICW	ICW GPRES	ICW GPRES	ICW GPRES	ICW	ICW GPRES	ICW	ICW	ICW
11	ICW		ICW	ICW				ICW	ICW	ICW		ICW
12	ICW	ICW					ICW			ICW		
13	ICW	ICW			ICW	ICW	ICW				ICW	
14	ICW	ICW			ICW	ICW				ICW		
15			ICW				ICW		ICW			
16	ICW		ICW							ICW		

C. Master of Science

In addition to those outlined above, the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs Module No.	ILO 22	ILO 23	ILO 24	ILO 25	ILO 26		
7	ICW GPRES		ICW	ICW			
9	ICW	ICW					
10	ICW	ICW	ICW	ICW GPRES			
11		ICW		ICW			
16	ICW						
18	DISS	DISS	DISS	DISS	DISS		

<u>CROSS-MODULAR ASSESSMENT</u> (including any assessment which rests outside an individual module)

Title	Modules Covered	Assessment	
		Туре	Weight (%)

8. How will the University assure the quality of the provision?

New course proposals are reviewed by a Course Validation Panel, comprising at least the following membership: normally one subject matter expert external to the School or University, at least 3 academic staff not associated with the proposal. The Panel may include 1 member of professional staff. Panels are supported by an appropriately trained Secretary who acts as advisor to the Panel. Proposals are reviewed in line with the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA) Quality Code, in particular Chapter B1 (Programme Design and Approval) and in the case of partnership arrangements in accordance with Chapter B10 (Managing Higher Education with Others). New courses are ultimately approved by the University's Education Committee, on behalf of Senate.

Course changes are approved by the School's Director of Education on behalf of Education Committee and Senate. Significant changes to a course will be referred to a Course Review Panel at the discretion of the Director of Education.

The University has in place regular monitoring procedures for quality assurance including an Annual Reflective Review for each course and an in depth 6 year review of each School's (total) educational provision known as the Senate Review. For collaborative partnerships, in addition to the Annual Reflective Review there is an Annual Operating Statement and a 5 year review known as a Focussed Review which looks at each course in depth. In addition occasional site inspection visits are made.

Each course has at least one External Examiner who monitors all aspects of the assessment process. This is in line with the guidance provided by the QAA particularly in Chapter B7 (External Examining) which emphasises that external examining is one of the principal means for maintaining UK threshold academic standards within autonomous higher education institutions.

Each course has a formally constituted Examination Board, which includes the External Examiner, and which is responsible for ensuring that awards are made within the Regulations of the University and that students are made awards on the basis of meeting the specified Intended Learning Outcomes of a course at the appropriate standard.

Each course has a formally constituted Course Committee which meets at least twice a year to discuss, inter alia, programme design and planning, the student experience (including feedback) and student progress.

Each course has an Industry Advisory Panel (or similar) which meets at least once a year to engage with external stakeholders on curriculum design and currency of course content.

Student feedback both qualitative and quantitative is collected for each module studied. In addition students are invited to participate in the University's annual New Student Survey and Student Satisfaction Survey along with the annual national Postgraduate Taught Student Experience Survey. The results of all feedback are considered by the Course Committee and additionally, in respect of the University and national surveys, issues of quality are considered by and acted on where appropriate by the Education Committee, Senate, School and University Executives.

9. What opportunities are graduates likely to have on completing the course?

Invariably, industrial and overseas students are sponsored on the course by their employer. The main reason for the sponsor providing this support is to ensure that their employees are equipped to undertake senior positions in the companies within procurement teams or through-life capability projects.

The UK MOD has initiated a more formal career management process through which staff will be identified and developed into identified Systems Engineering roles. The PgCert, PgDip and MSc, along with other courses run by the Centre for Systems Engineering, at Shrivenham, are part of this process.

COURSE SPECIFICATION



Cranfield University: Course Specifications

Course specifications outline the content and structure of a course leading to an award of Cranfield University. This version of the course specification has been approved by Education Committee and every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information.

COURSE TITLE: MSc in Thermal Power

Date of first publication/latest revision: October 2016

1. What is the course?

Course information

Course Title	MSc and PgDipThermal Power with options in: Aerospace Propulsion Gas Turbine Technology Power, Propulsion and the Environment Rotating Machinery Engineering and Management
Course code	MSTHPFTC, MSTPAFTC, PDTHPFTC, PDTPAFTC,
Academic Year	2016-17
Valid entry routes	MSc, PgDip
Additional exit routes	PgCert
Mode of delivery	Full-Time
Location of Study	Cranfield University
School(s)	School of Aerospace, Transport and Manufacturing
Theme	Aerospace
Centre	Propulsion Engineering Centre
Course Director	Professor Pericles Pilidis
Awarding Body	Cranfield University
Teaching Institution	Cranfield University
Admissions body	Cranfield University
Entry requirements	Standard University entry requirements
UK Qualifications Framework Level	QAA FHEQ Level 7 (Masters)
Benchmark Statement(s)	Not Applicable
Registration Period(s) available	One Year
Course Start Month(s)	October and March

Institutions delivering the course

This course is delivered by the School of Aerospace, Transport and Manufacturing, Aerospace Theme, Centre for Propulsion Engineering where the research interests include:

- Gas Turbine Engineering
- Turbomachinery and Icing
- Computational Aerodynamics
- Combustor Design

Cranfield University remains fully responsible for the quality of the delivery of the course.

Accreditation by Public, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)

This course is accredited formally by Royal Aeronautical Society (RAeS) and Institution of Mechanical Engineers (IMechE) until October 2016.

2. What are the aims of the course?

Cranfield University offers this course in order to:

Provide the skills required for a challenging career in the field of propulsion and power.

This programme is intended for students with 1st or 2nd class honours degree in:

- A physics based science subject.
- A mathematics based or Engineering subject or their international equivalent.

An individual with an HNC/HND or equivalent with considerable industrial experience may also be considered.

3. What should students expect to achieve in completing the course?

Award intended learning outcomes (ILOs) (skills and knowledge).

A. Postgraduate Diploma

In completing this course, and achieving the associated award, a diligent student should be able to:

- ILO 1. Understand the design, performance, operation and maintenance requirements of complex gas turbine engines, their components and associated equipment.
- ILO 2. Demonstrate a working knowledge of gas turbine cycles and performance under steady state and transient conditions.
- ILO 3. Critically assess the mechanical design and integrity of the major gas turbine components and identify methods of improving the mechanical integrity and safety of existing designs.
- ILO 4. Demonstrate a working knowledge of the aerodynamic design of Turbomachinery cascades for both the compressors and turbines of gas turbine engines.

- ILO 5. Demonstrate an understanding of the principles of combustion chemistry, the combustion process as encountered in practice and the influence of fuel properties on combustion performance.
- ILO 6. Apply the basic concepts and theories of heat transfer and cooling technologies to the cooling of turbine blades.
- ILO 7. Effectively manage time to produce work to a required schedule. Present technical work in an acceptable written format.
- ILO 8. Appreciate the cost, management and social effects of engineering decisions.
- ILO 9. Work effectively either as an individual or as a member of a team to produce solutions to engineering problems.
- ILO 10. Employ appropriate methods to identify and solve engineering problems.
- ILO 11. Confidently make well-informed decisions on operational and economic aspects of gas turbine operation.
- ILO 12. Make effective and immediate contributions to the work of a prospective employer.
- ILO 13. Identify candidate materials for particular applications in gas turbine engines recognising their relative merits and limitations.
- ILO 14. Appreciate the function and design requirements of current and future gas turbine engine systems.
- ILO 15. Use appropriate computer based tools such as simulation software for the design and evaluation of gas turbine engines and their components.

B. MSc

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 16. Make oral presentations to an expert audience and defend technical work
- ILO 17. Demonstrate a critical awareness of current research and development in the field of gas turbine technology as applied to the following options:
 - Aerospace propulsion
 - Gas turbine technology
 - Rotating machinery engineering and management
 - Power propulsion and environment

4. How is the course taught?

Students will be supported in their learning and personal development by:

Group based exercises, project work, presentations and interaction with external agencies.

The engine systems symposium is organised entirely by the students and is a team
activity involving the marketing of the symposium to external delegates and the raising of
funds to cover its cost.

5. What do students need to achieve in order to graduate?

Notwithstanding University Regulations and the authorities and powers exercised by examiners, students will normally need to demonstrate achievement in the elements of the course, as laid out in Section 7. Courses are structured through the accumulation of credit, where 1 credit represents 10 notional learning hours.

In brief, students will normally need to achieve the following in order to be awarded the qualifications:

A. Postgraduate Diploma Gas Turbine Technology Option

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 1, 3, 4, 5, (7*)	50 (60*)
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Modules chosen from modules 2, 6, 7*, 8 -12 to the total value of at least 70 (60*) credits	70 (60*)
TOTAL:	120

^{*} From March 2017 Module 7 (G-MTI) will be changed from an elective module to a compulsory module

B. MSc Gas Turbine Technology Option

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, (7*)	80 (90*)
Individual Research Project (15)	100
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Modules chosen from modules 7*-12 to the total value of at least 20 (10*) credits	20 (10*)
TOTAL:	200

^{*} From March 2017 Module 7 (G-MTI) will be changed from an elective module to a compulsory module

C. Postgraduate Diploma Aerospace Propulsion Option

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 1, 3, 4, 5 (7*)	50 (60*)
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Modules chosen from modules 2, 6, 7*, 8, 9, 10, 12 to the total value of at least 70 (60*) credits	70 (60*)
TOTAL:	120

^{*} From March 2017 Module 7 (G-MTI) will be changed from an elective module to a compulsory module

D. MSc Aerospace Propulsion Option

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, (7*), 9	90 (100*)
Individual Research Project (15)	100
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Modules chosen from modules 7*, 8, 10, 11, 12 to the total value of at least 10 (0*)	10 (0*)
TOTAL:	200

^{*} From March 2017 Module 7 (G-MTI) will be changed from an elective module to a compulsory module

E. Postgraduate Diploma Power, Propulsion and the Environment Option

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 1, 3, 4, 5, (7*)	50 (60*)
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Modules chosen from modules 2, 6, 7*, 8-12 to the total value of at least 70 (60*) credits	70 (60*)
TOTAL:	120

^{*} From March 2017 Module 7 (G-MTI) will be changed from an elective module to a compulsory module

F. MSc Power, Propulsion and the Environment Option

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 11	90
Individual Research Project (15)	100
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Modules chosen from modules 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, to the total value of at least 10 credits	10
TOTAL:	200

G. Postgraduate Diploma Rotating Machinery, Engineering and Management Option

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 1,2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11	120
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
N/A	
TOTAL:	120

H. MSc Rotating Machinery, Engineering and Management Option

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11 Individual Research Project (13)	100
, , ,	100
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
N/A	
TOTAL:	200

If a student does not meet the required standards for the award, the examiners for the programme may decide to offer a lower award associated with the programme, providing that a lower exit award exists and the student meets the requirements of that lower award; - this may require an extension of their registration and additional fees to allow attendance to the module along with the next cohort.

Pass Criteria

The University operates standard pass criteria which can be found in the Senate Handbook on Assessment Rules.

In order to achieve your award, you are required to achieve:

- An overall average mark of ≥50%;
- An average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessment;
- All assessments need to be completed and the minimum mark attained: no more than one
 failure to complete an assessment (as defined in Section 2.3) will be permitted throughout
 the course of your studies (Please note that the board of examiners does not have
 discretion to overrule this limit, but can refer a case to Senate's Education Committee);^{1 2}
- **For Taught Assessments**, the minimum mark for each individual taught assessment <u>on</u> the first attempt for the significant majority of the taught assessments, noting that:
 - if you fail to attain the minimum mark for <u>up to 30 learning credits</u>, you will be permitted to re-take all of those assessments (except for circumstances where a resit award capped at 50% would be insufficient to achieve an overall average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessments);
 - o if, having failed to attain the minimum mark for 30 learning credits, you fail to obtain the minimum mark for <u>any additional learning credits</u> over the course of your studies you will be disqualified from the right to re-take the assessments: this will normally result in intended award failure. (Please note the board of examiners may at its discretion overrule this limit, but this is not an automatic right);
 - o it is <u>not</u> permissible for you to fail an elective module and then proceed to take a different elective module in its place.
- For Substantial pieces of assessment (corresponding to ≥40 credits, which are not part of the taught assessment average), the pass mark of ≥50% (where they exist);
- For the thesis, a mark of ≥50% in order to receive a pass (where it exists).

6. How is the course structured?

Full-time students register for the masters' course in October or March and are expected to complete the course within twelve calendar months. All Thermal Power options are available for both entries.

The PgDip courses are full-time and are coincident with the MSc courses.

The mandatory modules are typically delivered and spread over the first term. Second term modules are generally delivered over a week each.

Project topics for the MSc course are allocated in the first week of term and work towards the project is undertaken through the academic year.

For students who were registered before 1 August 2015, the requirement to obtain a minimum mark for a taught assessment will not apply for taught assessment taken before 31 August 2015 (unless the assessment was designated as a "key assessment" under the previous Assessment Rules).

Providing the minimum mark is met, a mark of 40-49% will be automatically compensated if a student's overall average taught assessment mark (including the failed assessment) is greater than 50%. Students are advised, however, that they retain the right to re-take an assessment with a mark of <40% (but should note that a re-take attempt will be capped at 50%), as long as they haven't failed more than 30 credits. At the discretion of the Board of Examiners or by Board of Examiners Chair's Actions a student may be permitted a re-take attempt of modules in the range of 40-49% only if the average mark of their other taught modules would not allow them to qualify for their award (<50%).

Course modules

The following modules outline all parts of the programme leading to MSc. Other awards associated with the course include some or all of these modules.

October Intake

					D D				Calend	ar					As	sessment		
					/ Visiting		Z ×		d)	_	o or		endent ssment	Multi- _l	part Asses	ssment	Sub	omission dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers 4	Credits	Is the module shared?	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark' - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessments	v	Assessment / Exam Retake date
1	N-THP-C	Combustors	Dr Vishal Sethi	30	3	10	N		06/10/16	01/12/16	40	EX	100				13/01/17	At the next available opportunity which will be approximately six months later
2	N-THP- ES	Engine Systems	Dr Yiguang Li	40	0	20	N		21/10/16	22/03/17	40			100	ICW IPRES	70 30	07/04/17 13/03/17	At the next available opportunity which will be approximately six months later
3	N-THP- GPSD	Gas Turbine Performance,	Prof Pericles Pilidis	65	0	20	N		03/10/16	15/12/16	50	EX	100				04/01/17	At the next available opportunity which will be approximately

³ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

Assessment Types: AO - Attendance only: ICW - Individual Coursework: GCW - Group Coursework: IPRES - Individual Presentation: GPRES - Group Presentation: IPRAC - Individual Presentation: IPRAC - Individual Presentation: GPRES - Group Presentation: IPRAC - Individual PRESENTATION: IPRAC - INDIVIDU Practical; IPROJ – Individual Project (>20 credits); GPROJ – Group Project (>20 credits); EX – Examination; RP – Reflective Portfolio; OR- Viva Voce examination; THESIS - thesis

⁴ Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

⁵ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

⁶ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually. ⁷ For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

⁸ Failure to submit an element of a multi-part assessment will not require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then all elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

⁹ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

					ور ق				Calend	ar		-			Ass	sessment		
					/ Visiting		Z.		Φ		oo'		endent essment		oart Asses	ssment	Sub	omission dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers 4	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark' - 40% or 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment		Assessment / Exam Retake date
		Simulation and Diagnostics																six months later
4	N-THP-T	Turbomachinery	Dr David MacManus	40	0	15	N		17/10/16	06/12/16	40	EX	100				10/01/17	At the next available opportunity which will be approximately six months later
5	N-THP- BC	Blade Cooling	Dr David MacManus	10	10	5	N		28/03/17	29/03/17	40	EX	100				25/04/17	At the next available opportunity which will be approximately six months later
6	N-THP- MDT	Mechanical Design of Turbomachinery	Dr Panos Laskaridis	30		10	N		03/10/16	09/12/16	40	EX	100				12/01/17	At the next available opportunity which will be approximately six months later
7	G-MTI Occ B16	Management for Technology	Stephen Carver	46		10	Υ		16/01/17	20/01/17	4 0 40	EX GCW	50 50				20/03/17 23/01/17	
8	N-THP- CFDGT	Computational Fluid Dynamics for Gas Turbines	Dr Joao Amaral Teixeira	30	N	10	N		23/01/17	27/01/17	40	ICW	100				24/02/17	At the next available opportunity which will be approximately six months later
9	N-THP- PSPI	Propulsion Systems	Dr Devaiah Nalianda	30	8	10	N		27/02/17	06/03/17	40	EX	100				25/04/17	At the next available opportunity which

					٥ر			Calendar			-			Ass	sessment			
					/ Visiting		Y/N		Start Date		6 or		endent ssment	Multi-	oart Asses	ssment	Sub	omission dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers 4	Credits	Is the module shared?`	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Dat	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
		Performance and Integration																will be approximately six months later
10	N-THP- FF	Fatigue and Fracture	Dr Panos Laskaridis	25	7	10	Z		20/02/17	24/02/17	40	EX	100				27/04/17	At the next available opportunity which will be approximately six months later
11	N-THP- GTORM	Gas Turbine Operations and Rotating Machines	Dr Dr Uyioghosa Igie	30	22	10	N		13/02/17	17/02/17	40	ICW	100				13/04/17	At the next available opportunity which will be approximately six months later
12	N-THP- JEC	Jet Engine Control	Dr Theoklis Nikolaidis	30	N	10	Ν		13/03/17	17/03/17	40	EX	100				28/04/17	/04/18
13	N-THP- THES/F	Individual Research Project	Prof Pericles Pilidis	30		10 0	N		07/10/16	16/08/17				100	THESIS OR		16/08/17 05/09/17	N/A

March Intake

					ور و				Calenda	r					Assessme	ent		
					Visiting		N/		d)		%	Indepe Asses	endent sment	Multi-ր	oart Assessn			ssion dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ¹⁰	Total hours delivered by Lecturers 11	Credits	Is the module shared? \	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ¹² - 40% or 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module13 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ¹⁴ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ¹⁵	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ¹⁶	Assessment / Exam Retake date
1	N-THP-C Occ B	Combustors	Dr Vishal Sethi	30	3	10	N		13/03/17	02/05/17	40	EX	100				16/06/17	At the next available opportunity which will be approximatel y six months later
2	N-THP-ES Occ B	Engine Systems	Dr Yiguang Li	40	0	20	N		23/03/17	01/09/17	40			100	ICW IPRES	70 30	16/09/17 24/09/17	

¹⁰ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

Assessment Types: AO - Attendance only: ICW - Individual Coursework: GCW - Group Coursework: IPRES - Individual Presentation: GPRES - Group Presentation: IPRAC - Individual Practical: GPRAC - Group Coursework: IPRES - Individual Presentation: IPRAC - INDIVIDUAL PR Practical; IPROJ - Individual Project (>20 credits); GPROJ - Group Project (>20 credits); EX - Examination; RP - Reflective Portfolio; OR- Viva Voce examination; THESIS - thesis

¹¹ Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

¹² A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

¹³ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually.

14 For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

¹⁵ Failure to submit an element of a **multi-part assessment** will **not** require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then all elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

¹⁶ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

					Đ.				Calenda	r		•			Assessme	ent		
					y Visiting		N/Y		Φ		%		endent ssment	Multi-p	oart Assessn			ssion dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ¹⁰	Total hours delivered by Lecturers 11	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ¹² - 40% or 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module13 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 14(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ¹⁵	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ¹⁶	Assessment / Exam Retake date
3	N-THP- GPSD OccB	Gas Turbine Performance, Simulation and Diagnostics	Prof Pericles Pilidis	65	0	20	N	N/A	08/03/17	05/05/17	50	EX	100				06/06/17	At the next available opportunity which will be approximatel y 6 months later
4	N-THP-T Occ B	Turbomachinery	Dr David MacManus	40	0	15	Z		13/03/17	22/05/17	40	EX	100				13/06/17	At the next available opportunity which will be approximatel y six months later
5	N-THP-BC Occ B	Blade Cooling	Dr David MacManus	10	10	5	N		11/07/17	12/07/17	40	EX	100				11/09/17	At the next available opportunity which will be approximatel y six months later
6	N-THP- MDT Occ B	Mechanical Design of Turbomachinery	Dr Panos Laskaridis	30		10	N		07/03/17	25/04/17	40	EX	100				14/06/17	At the next available opportunity which will be approximatel y six months later

					бı				Calenda	r		<u>-</u>			Assessm	ent		
					y Visiting		Z X		Φ	4)	%		endent sment	Multi-p	oart Assessr			ssion dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ¹⁰	Total hours delivered by Lecturers 11	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ¹² - 40% or 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module13 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ¹⁴ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ¹⁵	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ¹⁶	Assessment / Exam Retake date
7	G-MTI	Management for Technology	Stephen Carver	46	0	10	Y		15/01/18	19/01/18	40 40	EX GCW	50 50				22/01/18 19/01/18	At the next available opportunity which will be approximatel y 12 months later
8	N-THP- CFDGT Occ B	Computational Fluid Dynamics for Gas Turbines	Dr Joao Amaral Teixeira	30	14	10	N		19/06/17	23/06/17	40	ICW	100				23/08/17	At the next available opportunity which will be approximatel y six months later
9	N-THP- PSPI Occ B	Propulsion System Performance and Integration	Dr Devaiah Nalianda	30	8	10	N		31/07/17	07/08/17	40	EX	100				12/09/17	At the next available opportunity which will be approximatel y six months later
10	N-THP-FF Occ B	Fatigue and Fracture	Dr Panos Laskaridis	25	7	10	N		17/07/17	21/07/17	40	EX	100				14/09/17	At the next available opportunity which will be approximatel y six months later

					<u></u>				Calendar	ſ					Assessm	ent		
					Visiting		N/Y		0		%		endent sment	Multi-p	oart Assessi			ssion dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ¹⁰	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ¹¹	Credits	Is the module shared? \	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ¹² - 40% or 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module13 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ¹⁴ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ¹⁵	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ¹⁶	Assessment / Exam Retake date
11	N-THP- GTORM Occ B	Gas Turbine Operations and Rotating Machines	Dr Uyioghosa Igie	30	22	10	N		29/06/17	07/07/17	40	ICW	100				01/08/17	At the next available opportunity which will be approximatel y six months later
12	N-THP- JEC Occ B	Jet Engine Control	Dr Theoklis Nikolaidis	30	13	10	N		07/08/17	11/08/17	40	EX	100				15/09/17	At the next available opportunity which will be approximatel y six months later
13	N-THP- THES/F Occ B	Individual Research Project	Prof Pericles Pilidis	30		100	N		08/03/17	09/01/18	50			100	THESIS OR		09/01/18 06/02/18	N/A

Module Type for Thermal Power Award Options

Modale 1 y	pe for Thermal Powe	1 Award Options				
Module Number	Module Code	Aerospace Propulsion	Gas Turbine Technology	Power Propulsion and the Environment	Rotating Machine, Engineering and Management	Joint with another MSc
1	N-THP-C	С	C	С	С	
2	N-THP-ES	C (E for PgDip)	C (E for PgDip)	C (E for PgDip)	С	
3	N-THP-GPSD	С	С	С	С	
4	N-THP-T	С	С	С	С	
5	N-THP-BC	С	С	С	С	
6	N-THP-MDT	C (E for PgDip)	C (E for PgDip))	E	С	
7	G-MTI*	E*	E *	C (E* for PgDip)	С	
8	N-THP-CFDGT	Е	E	E	C PgDip only	
9	N-THP-PSPI	C (E for PgDip)	E	E		
10	N-THP-FF	E	E	E	C PgDip only	
11	N-THP-GTORM	E	E	C (E for PgDip)	С	
12	N-THP-JEC	E	E	E		
13	N-THP-THES/F	C – MSc only	C – MSc only	C – MSc only	C – MSc only	

C - Compulsory; E - Elective;

^{*} From March 2017 this module will be Compulsory.

Please list all modules that are shared with another existing course.

Module code	Module title	Course that owns the module	Course(s)/programme(s) that share the module
G-MTI	Management for Technology	School of Management	 Computational and Software Techniques in Engineering Thermal Power SWEE Energy Programme

7. How are the ILOs assessed?

The course uses a range of assessment strategies. Students can expect to have up to eight written examinations, four assessments by submitted assignment work and at least two elements of assessment by presentation or viva. This approach has been adopted to enable students to learn via both formative and summative assessment strategies while simultaneously equipping them with transferrable skills.

Assessment and ILO Mapping

Complete the grid below by inserting in the boxes which assessments from the modules directly assess the Award ILOs.

A. Postgraduate Diploma

Award ILOs Module No.	ILO 1	ILO 2	ILO 3	ILO 4	ILO 5	ILO 6	ILO 7	ILO 8	ILO 9	ILO 10	ILO 11	ILO 12	ILO 13	ILO 14	ILO 15
1	EX				EX			EX	EX	EX		EX			
2														ICW	
3	EX	EX							EX	EX		EX			EX
4	EX		EX	EX					EX	EX		EX			
5	EX		EX			EX			EX	EX		EX	EX		
6	EX		EX						EX	EX	EX	EX			
7							GCW	GCW	GCW		GCW	GCW			
8				ICW			ICW		ICW	ICW		ICW			ICW
9	EX								EX	EX		EX		EX	
10	EX		EX						EX	EX	EX	EX	EX		
11							ICW	ICW	ICW	ICW	ICW	ICW		ICW	
12	EX								EX	EX		EX	EX		

B. MSc In addition to those outlined above, the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs Module No.	ILO16	ILO 17
2	IPRES	
13	OR	THESIS

<u>CROSS-MODULAR ASSESSMENT</u> (including any assessment which rests outside an individual module)

Title	Modules Covered	Assessment	
		Туре	Weight (%)

8. How will the University assure the quality of the provision?

New course proposals are reviewed by a Course Validation Panel, comprising at least the following membership: normally one subject matter expert external to the School or University, at least 3 academic staff not associated with the proposal. The Panel may include 1 member of professional staff. Panels are supported by an appropriately trained Secretary who acts as advisor to the Panel. Proposals are reviewed in line with the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA) Quality Code, in particular Chapter B1 (Programme Design and Approval) and in the case of partnership arrangements in accordance with Chapter B10 (Managing Higher Education with Others). New courses are ultimately approved by the University's Education Committee, on behalf of Senate.

Course changes are approved by the School's Director of Education on behalf of Education Committee and Senate. Significant changes to a course will be referred to a Course Review Panel at the discretion of the Director of Education.

The University has in place regular monitoring procedures for quality assurance including an Annual Reflective Review for each course and an in depth 6 year review of each School's (total) educational provision known as the Senate Review. For collaborative partnerships, in addition to the Annual Reflective Review there is an Annual Operating Statement and a 5 year review known as a Focussed Review which looks at each course in depth. In addition occasional site inspection visits are made.

Each course has at least one External Examiner who monitors all aspects of the assessment process. This is in line with the guidance provided by the QAA particularly in Chapter B7 (External Examining) which emphasises that external examining is one of the principal means for maintaining UK threshold academic standards within autonomous higher education institutions.

Each course has a formally constituted Examination Board, which includes the External Examiner, and which is responsible for ensuring that awards are made within the Regulations of the University and that students are made awards on the basis of meeting the specified Intended Learning Outcomes of a course at the appropriate standard.

Each course has a formally constituted Course Committee which meets at least twice a year to discuss, inter alia, programme design and planning, the student experience (including feedback) and student progress.

Each course has an Industry Advisory Panel (or similar) which meets at least once a year to engage with external stakeholders on curriculum design and currency of course content.

Student feedback both qualitative and quantitative is collected for each module studied. In addition students are invited to participate in the University's annual New Student Survey and Student Satisfaction Survey along with the annual national Postgraduate Taught Student Experience Survey. The results of all feedback are considered by the Course Committee and additionally, in respect of the University and national surveys, issues of quality are considered by

and acted on where appropriate by the Education Committee, Senate, School and University Executives.

9. What opportunities are graduates likely to have on completing the course?

Over 90% of the graduates of the course have found employment within the 12 months of completing course. Most of the graduates are employed in the following industries/capacities:

- Gas turbine engine manufacturers
- Airframe manufacturers
- Airline operators
- Regulatory bodies
- Aerospace/Energy consultancies
- Power production industries
- Academia: doctoral studies

COURSE SPECIFICATION



Cranfield University: Course Specifications

Course specifications outline the content and structure of a course leading to an award of Cranfield University. This version of the course specification has been approved by Education Committee and every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information. Courses are under constant review, however, and the University reserves the right, without notice, to withdraw, update or amend this course specification at any time.

COURSE TITLE: MSc in Through-life System Sustainment

Date of first publication/latest revision: October 2016

1. What is the course?

Course information

Course Title	MSc in Through-life System Sustainment
Course code	MSTLSPTC, PDTLSPTC, PCTLSPTC
Academic Year	2016/17
Valid entry routes	MSc
Additional exit routes	PgDip, PgCert
Mode of delivery	Part-time
Location of Study	Cranfield Campus and Shrivenham Site
School(s)	School of Aerospace, Transport and Manufacturing
Theme	Manufacturing
Centre	Through-life Engineering Services Institute- EPSRC
Course Director	Dr John Erkoyuncu
Awarding Body	Cranfield University
Teaching Institution	Cranfield University
Admissions body	Cranfield University
Entry requirements	Standard University entry requirements
UK Qualifications Framework Level	QAA FHEQ Level 7 (Masters)
Benchmark Statement(s)	N/A
Registration Period(s) available	Part-time MSc - up to three years
Course Start Month(s)	Throughout the year

Institutions delivering the course

This course is delivered by the School of Aerospace, Transport and Manufacturing, Manufacturing Theme, Through-life Engineering Services Institute – EPSRC where the research interests include product-service and maintenance systems, and teaching interests include "through-life capability management".

Cranfield University interacts with the following institutions and in the following ways:

Teaching will also be provided by external speakers, mostly leading industry practitioners, but may also include invited lectures from other institutions and other Schools within Cranfield University – this is likely, at least initially to be limited to University of Cambridge in the areas of information management (for asset management) and service solution design.

Cranfield University remains fully responsible for the quality of the delivery of the course.

Accreditation by Public, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)

This course is accredited formally by the Institution of Engineering and Technology (IET), Institution of Mechanical Engineers (IMechE) and Royal Aeronautical Society until 2015/16.

2. What are the aims of the course?

Cranfield University offers this course in order to:

- Establish a leading position in the field of technical product sustainment systems / engineering system support and maintenance management education.
- Establish a route to transfer emerging research into practice.
- Build a cadre of alumni with an interest and capability in system support and maintenance management to support future research programmes.

PgDip and PgCert exit routes are also intended for students who wish to access only parts of the course provided.

This programme is intended for the following range of students:

 Post-experience Science/ Technology/ Engineering/Mathematics (STEM) graduates sponsored by their employer. It may be expected that students will participate as part of a wider leadership development programme.

3. What should students expect to achieve in completing the course?

Award intended learning outcomes (ILOs) (skills and knowledge).

A. Postgraduate Certificate

In completing this course, and achieving the associated award, a diligent student should be able to:

- ILO 1. Demonstrate understanding of the concepts of long-life equipment support and sustainment service.
- ILO 2. Critically analyse specific through-life support solutions.
- ILO 3. Critically evaluate factors affecting a long-life system availability and effectiveness.
- ILO 4. Demonstrate understanding of latest diagnostics and prognostics techniques and practices.
- ILO 5. Demonstrate understanding of challenges in large scale data management and analysis.
- ILO 6. Develop and critically evaluate system support supply network models.
- ILO 7. Demonstrate understanding of cost drivers and whole life cost modelling.

- ILO 8. Demonstrate understanding of the leadership roles and responsibilities of capability management.
- ILO 9. Evaluate repair and maintenance services using good practices.
- ILO 10. Develop a whole life cost model for a long life equipment or asset.
- ILO 11. Present proposals and results in written and oral format to a variety of audiences.
- ILO 12. Undertake a critical appraisal of technical and/or commercial literature.
- ILO 13. Demonstrate ability in practical approaches to problem solving.
- ILO 14. Critically evaluate data.
- ILO 15. Discuss their work and relate it to the work of others.
- ILO 16. Work effectively under time pressure.
- ILO 17. Select appropriate technologies and methodologies to suit particular projects.

B. Postgraduate Diploma

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 18. Demonstrate understanding of major challenges in implementing the equipment sustainment policies.
- ILO 19. Critically evaluate quality of data required for through-life management.
- ILO 20. Demonstrate understanding of the sources of uncertainty in the through-life system sustainment.
- ILO 21. Demonstrate key management and personal development skills needed to influence and implement change.
- ILO 22. Demonstrate time and project management skills.
- ILO 23. Demonstrate team based project skills to develop through-life system sustainment solutions (Group project for MSc and PgDip only).

C. MSc

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 24. Demonstrate knowledge of the state of the art
- ILO 25. Critically evaluate the theory behind, and the selection of, appropriate analysis and design tools and apply them to develop technical and business system sustainment solutions.
- ILO 26. Undertake independent research on a subject relevant to through-life system sustainment involving project planning, development of new skills, critical evaluation of literature, evaluation of results, and discussion of findings and writing a thesis.
- ILO 27. Demonstrate knowledge of research techniques and their limitations.
- ILO 28. Demonstrate deeper knowledge on service quality expected from the customer (achieved through the individual project).
- ILO 29. Demonstrate understanding of cultural challenges within an organisation to provide long-term engineering service solutions.
- ILO 30. Technical document writing.
- ILO 31. Critical analysis of research results.
- ILO 32. Demonstrate independent learning.
- ILO 33. Contribute to new knowledge in the through-life system sustainment area.

4. How is the course taught?

The course is taught through:

- An unassessed introductory/contextual induction.
- 8 taught modules (6 will be required for the PgCert).
- Industry experience days (with sponsoring companies).
- A multi-sector Group Project supervised by Cranfield Academics.
- An Individual Project supervised by Cranfield Academics.

Students will be supported in their learning and personal development by:

- Individual coaching/mentoring.
- · Online learning platform.

5. What do students need to achieve in order to graduate?

Notwithstanding University Regulations and the authorities and powers exercised by examiners, students will normally need to demonstrate achievement in the elements of the course, as laid out in Section 7. Courses are structured through the accumulation of credit, where 1 credit represents 10 notional learning hours.

In brief, students will normally need to achieve the following in order to be awarded the qualifications:

A. Postgraduate Certificate

The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction Any 6 Taught Modules from Modules 2-9	0 60
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
None	
TOTAL:	60

B. Postgraduate Diploma

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction Modules 2-9 Group Project	0 80 40
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
None	
TOTAL:	120

C. MSc

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction	0
Modules 2-9	80
Group Project	40

Individual Research Project	80
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
None	
TOTAL:	200

If a student does not meet the required standards for the award, the examiners for the programme may decide to offer a lower award associated with the programme, providing that a lower exit award exists and the student meets the requirements of that lower award.

Pass Criteria

The University operates standard pass criteria which can be found in the Senate Handbook on Assessment Rules.

In order to achieve your award, you are required to achieve:

- An overall average mark of ≥50%;
- An average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessment;
- All assessments need to be completed and the minimum mark attained: no more than one
 failure to complete an assessment (as defined in Section 2.3) will be permitted throughout
 the course of your studies (Please note that the board of examiners does not have
 discretion to overrule this limit, but can refer a case to Senate's Education Committee);^{1 2}
- **For Taught Assessments,** the minimum mark for each individual taught assessment <u>on the first attempt</u> for the significant majority of the taught assessments, noting that:
 - if you fail to attain the minimum mark for <u>up to 30 learning credits</u>, you will be permitted to re-take all of those assessments (except for circumstances where a resit award capped at 50% would be insufficient to achieve an overall average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessments);
 - o if, having failed to attain the minimum mark for 30 learning credits, you fail to obtain the minimum mark for <u>any additional learning credits</u> over the course of your studies you will be disqualified from the right to re-take the assessments: this will normally result in intended award failure. (Please note the board of examiners may at its discretion overrule this limit, but this is not an automatic right);
 - it is <u>not</u> permissible for you to fail an elective module and then proceed to take a different elective module in its place.
- For Substantial pieces of assessment (corresponding to ≥40 credits, which are not part of the taught assessment average), the pass mark of ≥50% (where they exist);
- For the thesis, a mark of ≥50% in order to receive a pass (where it exists).

6. How is the course structured?

The course is offered only on a part-time basis and will run over 2 years. Students will be permitted to undertake the course over 3 years if necessary due to employer commitments.

For students who were registered before 1 August 2015, the requirement to obtain a minimum mark for a taught assessment will not apply for taught assessment taken before 31 August 2015 (unless the assessment was designated as a "key assessment" under the previous Assessment Rules).

Providing the minimum mark is met, a mark of 40-49% will be automatically compensated if a student's overall average taught assessment mark (including the failed assessment) is greater than 50%. Students are advised, however, that they retain the right to re-take an assessment with a mark of <40% (but should note that a re-take attempt will be capped at 50%), as long as they haven't failed more than 30 credits. At the discretion of the Board of Examiners or by Board of Examiners Chair's Actions a student may be permitted a re-take attempt of modules in the range of 40-49% only if the average mark of their other taught modules would not allow them to qualify for their award (<50%).

Modules will generally be delivered during intensive weeks. Group and Individual Projects will be undertaken mostly off site (at the industrial sponsors' facilities) on a part time basis over a period of 6 and 8 months respectively.

Course modules

The following modules outline all parts of the programme leading to an MSc. Other awards associated with the course include some or all of these modules.

					βι				Calendar	•					Assess	ment		
					v Visiting		Z ≻		ø.		or or	_	pendent essment	Multi-	part Asses			mission dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers 4	Credits	Is the module shared? \	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	5	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
1	I-TLS- INWK	Induction	Dr John Erkoyuncu	29		0	N		14/11/16	16/11/16	N/A	AO	N/A				N/A	
2	I-TLS- A1524	System Sustainment	Prof Rajkumar Roy	32		10	N		05/12/16	09/12/16	40	ICW	100				23/01/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
3	I-TLS- A1525	System Effectiveness	Laura Lacey	32		10	N		22/01/18	26/01/18	40	ICW	100				05/03/18	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the

³ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

⁵ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

⁶ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually.

⁷ For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

⁸ Failure to submit an element of a **multi-part assessment** will **not** require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then **all** elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

⁹ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

					<u></u> 6				Calenda	r					Assess	sment		
					y Visiting		Z ×		Φ	4)	6 or		pendent essment	Multi-	oart Asses			mission dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% - 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
																		following year
4	I-CE- A2012 Occ B16	Information Management	Dr Christos Emmanouilidis	32		10	Y		24/04/17	28/04/17	40	EX	100				17/07/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
5	I-IVH- A1514	Diagnostics and Prognostics	Dr Zakwan Skaf	32		10	N		17/07/17	21/07/17	40	ICW	100				16/10/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
6	I-TLS- CENG	Cost Engineering	Dr Yuchun Xu	32	10		Υ		16/10/17	20/10/17	40	EX	100				27/11/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
7	I-TLS- SNAM	Supply Network Analysis and Modelling	Petros Boutselis	32	10		N		27/11/17	01/12/17	40	ICW	100				15/01/18	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
8	I-TLS- ETLS	Effective Through Life Support	Jeremy Smith	32		10	N		20/02/17	24/02/17	40	ICW	100				10/04/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the

					<u>g</u>				Calenda	ſ	-				Assess	ment		
					√Visiting		N/X		a)		or or		endent ssment	Multi-	oart Asses	sment	Sub	mission dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? \	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	_	Minimum Mark ³ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
																		following year
9	I-TLS- LSS	Leadership and Service Skills	Prof Frank Howitz	32		10	N		16/04/18	20/04/18	40	ICW	100				25/05/18	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
10	I-TLS- GP	Group Project	Dr John Erkoyuncu	50		40	N		24/03/17	04/09/17				MULTI 80 MULTI 20	GCW GPRES ICW IPRAC	64 16 10 10	04/09/17	At the next available opportunity which may not be until the course runs the following year
11	I-TLS- THESIS	Individual Research Project	Dr John Erkoyuncu	20		80	N		02/01/18	03/09/18		THESIS OR	90 10				03/09/18	

Please list all modules that are shared with another existing course.

Module code	Module title	Course that owns the module	Course(s)/programme(s) that share the module
I-CE-A2012	Information Management	Through-life System Sustainment	Cost Engineering, Knowledge Management for Innovation
I-TLS-CENG	Cost Engineering	Through-life System Sustainment	Cost Engineering

7. How are the ILOs assessed?

The following assessment types are utilised:

- 2 written examinations
- 6 assignments
- Assessment of the Group Project Report
- · Assessment of the Individual Project Thesis

The methods are proposed based on recent experience with similar courses. The assessment will follow the School standard practices.

This approach has been adopted because:

The course is heavily applied with the content that is presented and the large number of assignments allows the course to further give the opportunity for students to put in practice the learning from the modules.

Assessment and ILO Mapping

Complete the grid below by inserting in the boxes which assessments from the modules directly assess the Award ILOs.

(Module numbers should correspond with those used in the Course module table above.)

For Example:

Award ILOs									
Module No.	ILO 1.	ILO 2.	ILO 3.	ILO 4.	ILO 5.	ILO 6.	ILO 7.	ILO 8.	
98	ICW		12001		EX	EX	ICW		
99	ICW1		ICW1	ICW2					

A. Postgraduate Certificate

Award ILOs Module No.					

Award ILOs Module No.					

B. Postgraduate Diploma

In addition to those outlined above, the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs Module No.					

C. MSc

In addition to those outlined above, the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs Module No.					

<u>CROSS-MODULAR ASSESSMENT</u> (including any assessment which rests outside an individual module)

Title	Modules Covered	Assessment	
		Туре	Weight (%)

8. How will the University assure the quality of the provision?

New course proposals are reviewed by a Course Validation Panel, comprising at least the following membership: normally one subject matter expert external to the School or University, at least 3 academic staff not associated with the proposal. The Panel may include 1 member of professional staff. Panels are supported by an appropriately trained Secretary who acts as advisor to the Panel. Proposals are reviewed in line with the Quality Assurance Agency for

Higher Education (QAA) Quality Code, in particular Chapter B1 (Programme Design and Approval) and in the case of partnership arrangements in accordance with Chapter B10 (Managing Higher Education with Others). New courses are ultimately approved by the University's Education Committee, on behalf of Senate.

Course changes are approved by the School's Director of Education on behalf of Education Committee and Senate. Significant changes to a course will be referred to a Course Review Panel at the discretion of the Director of Education.

The University has in place regular monitoring procedures for quality assurance including an Annual Reflective Review for each course and an in depth 6 year review of each School's (total) educational provision known as the Senate Review. For collaborative partnerships, in addition to the Annual Reflective Review there is an Annual Operating Statement and a 5 year review known as a Focussed Review which looks at each course in depth. In addition occasional site inspection visits are made.

Each course has at least one External Examiner who monitors all aspects of the assessment process. This is in line with the guidance provided by the QAA particularly in Chapter B7 (External Examining) which emphasises that external examining is one of the principal means for maintaining UK threshold academic standards within autonomous higher education institutions.

Each course has a formally constituted Examination Board, which includes the External Examiner, and which is responsible for ensuring that awards are made within the Regulations of the University and that students are made awards on the basis of meeting the specified Intended Learning Outcomes of a course at the appropriate standard.

Each course has a formally constituted Course Committee which meets at least twice a year to discuss, inter alia, programme design and planning, the student experience (including feedback) and student progress.

Each course has an Industry Advisory Panel (or similar) which meets at least once a year to engage with external stakeholders on curriculum design and currency of course content.

Student feedback both qualitative and quantitative is collected for each module studied. In addition students are invited to participate in the University's annual New Student Survey and Student Satisfaction Survey along with the annual national Postgraduate Taught Student Experience Survey. The results of all feedback are considered by the Course Committee and additionally, in respect of the University and national surveys, issues of quality are considered by and acted on where appropriate by the Education Committee, Senate, School and University Executives.

9. What opportunities are graduates likely to have on completing the course?

In terms of the likely career paths and employability of graduates completing the course, please refer to section 2. Students are sponsored by a current employer and are generally seeking a change in role that brings higher levels of formal responsibility, a broadening of existing skills and capabilities and a greater level of professionalism.

COURSE SPECIFICATION



Cranfield University: Course Specifications

Course specifications outline the content and structure of a course leading to an award of Cranfield University. This version of the course specification has been approved by Education Committee and every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information.

COURSE TITLE: MSc Vehicle and Weapon Engineering USA (Defence Engineering

Programme)

Date of first publication/latest revision: 28/05/16

1. What is the course?

Course information

Course Title	MSc Vehicle and Weapon Engineering USA (Defence Engineering Programme
Course code	MSVWEPTR, PDVWEPTR, PCVWEPTR, SPVWEPTR
Academic Year	2016/17
Valid entry routes	MSc, PgDip, PgCert
Exit routes	PgDip, PgCert
Mode of delivery	Part-time
Location of Study	Detroit, USA
School(s)	Cranfield Defence and Security
Theme	Defence and Security
Centre	Centre for Defence Engineering
Awarding Body	Cranfield University
Teaching Institution	Cranfield University
Admissions body	Cranfield University
Entry requirements	Standard University entry requirements
UK Qualifications Framework Level	QAA FHEQ level 7 (Masters)
Benchmark Statement(s)	N/A
Registration Period(s) available	Maximum of 5 years for MSc, 4 years for PgDip and 3 years for PgCert
Course Start Month(s)	The nature of the programme is such that prospective students can join the course at any time; however for administrative purposes it is preferred that students join the course in June.

Institutions delivering the course

This course is delivered by the Centre for Defence Engineering (CDE) in CDS where the research interests include various aspects of weapon and veicle systems such as mobility, lethality, survivability and systems integration. CDE is already delivering a similar suite of courses in Shrivenham to both UK Ministry of Defence (MOD) and members of Allied countries/forces. In addition, due to their expertise, CDE has provided consultancies to various government departments in the above areas.

The Defence Engineering programme (MS in Vehicle & Weapon Engineering) will be delivered on a part-time basis in Detroit in a flexible manner. The majority of the teaching and/or assessment will be provided by the CDE while two modules will be supported and delivered by the Centre for Systems Engineering (CSE). It's a CDS, Cranfield University initiative and the programme has no partners or collaborators.

Cranfield University remains fully responsible for the quality of the delivery of the course.

Accreditation by Public, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)

This course is not accredited by any external bodies.*

2. What are the aims of the course?

Cranfield University offers this course in order to:

- provide education and training at postgraduate level for military officers, defence industry staff and government servants who may expect to fill technically demanding appointments concerned with the design, development, procurement and operation of weapon systems
- provide graduates with the technical qualities, transferable skills and independent learning ability necessary to make them effective in organisations that design, develop, procure or operate military vehicles and gun systems.

The syllabus is designed to deliver the aim in a flexible manner over not more than 5 years as a part-time course. Taught modules are offered that provide balanced coverage of the main design aspects of weapon and vehicle systems, with an option to select either weapon or vehicle as a speciality.

The course has significant theoretical content and students are expected to develop skills in independent learning in order to process the quantity of taught material effectively. A group design study in the AFVWSS module is used to build team-working skills and explore the integration and trade-offs required in the design and development of vehicle and weapon systems. Group study is also designed to understand the user requirements and learn to apply a systems engineering approach in optimising the design. Attendees will be required to present their design to a critical audience and defend their design judgement and decisions.

An individual or group project presents the students with the opportunity to gain in-depth knowledge of a particular area of automotive or weapon engineering.

This programme is intended for the following range of students:

- Test and evaluation engineers, design and development engineers, manufacturing and industrial engineers, specification engineers, physicists and mathematicians working in the weapon and vehicle design, researchers and analysts working in the design and development of fighting vehicles
- Military personnel, government civil servants, defence industry, acquisition and procurement staff from DoD
- Graduates, who intend to take up a career in defence technology (DoD and industry)

3. What should students expect to achieve in completing the course?

Award intended learning outcomes (ILOs) (skills and knowledge).

A. Postgraduate Certificate in Vehicle and Weapon Engineering

In completing this course, and achieving the associated award, a diligent student should be able to:

- ILO 1. Demonstrate a systematic understanding of military vehicles and weapon systems technology including their systems engineering.
- ILO 2. Critically assess the design and integration of vehicle and cannon systems in the face of conflicting and limited information.
- ILO 3. Develop the modelling and simulation of weapon and vehicle components and systems using computer-based techniques; for example: ballistics, recoil, weapon control, ride, performance and handling.
- ILO 4. Critically analyse and evaluate the impact of new gun and vehicle technology on changes and developments in and to the threat.
- ILO 5. Apply the management and systems engineering techniques used in the integration of weapons and vehicles systems.

B. Postgraduate Diploma in Vehicle and Weapon Engineering

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 6. Explain the engineering and physical limitations to the performance of weapon and vehicle systems in relation to their design.
- ILO 7. Critically analyse and evaluate the impact of new weapon and vehicle technology on changes and developments in and to the threat
- ILO 8. Illustrate the management and systems engineering techniques used in the integration of weapon and vehicles systems
- ILO 9. Defend the critical requirements of weapon and vehicle systems and be able to critically analyse the design specifications

C. MSc in Vehicle and Weapon Engineering

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 10. Defend their design of Military Vehicle and Weapons systems
- ILO 11. Formulate a systematic approach and engineering judgement to the design and integration of vehicle and weapon systems in the face of conflicting and limited information
- ILO 12. Present and defend design solutions in an efficient manner

ILO 13. Generate the key requirements of weapon and vehicle systems and be able to critically analyse the design specifications

4. How is the course taught?

The programme will provide students with the technical knowledge and understanding of weapon systems and military vehicles to make them effective in specification, design, development and assessment. Special attention will be given to recent advances in defence technology, and to educating students in the analysis and evaluation of systems against changes and developments in the threat.

At the start of the course students will receive an induction programme covering administrative matters such as registration and being a CU student and academic related matters such as Study Skills, student support and use of the VLE via a videoed lecture.

The taught element of the programme will consist of 13 courses (modules) covering major aspects of defence technology, and providing a balanced and broad coverage of key aspects, critical issues and constraints associated with the design, development, performance and integration of weapon and vehicle systems.

The modular teaching programme culminates in an integrated Design Synthesis Course (Armoured Fighting Vehicle & Weapon Systems Study, AFVWSS). This draws together the material taught in the preceding courses and considers the design of the weapons and platform as a system, examining the compromises necessary to achieve optimum operational performance.

In addition to the teaching methods outlined above, students will be supported in their learning and personal development by undertaking computer based exercises specifically developed by the teaching team.

Linking theory to real examples adds credibility and builds confidence; therefore use of current and legacy equipment as a teaching aid to highlight design philosophy, design parameters and issues, constraints and trade-offs will be used as and when required.

To develop their confidence in conducting critical engineering analysis and systems evaluation, independent research and learning, students will undertake an AFVWSS design study.

Course tuition and project supervision will be undertaken as follows:

- The Centre for Defence Engineering (CDE) plans to visit Detroit three times a year in April, June and Nov/Dec for two weeks each visit to deliver two courses per visit and 5 days of project/design study supervision each year. This will allow delivery of 13 courses worth 120 credits, and project/design study worth 80 credits.
- During each visit, CDE will send a team of 3-6 academics and a module leader/course director to deliver the respective courses and supervision to the students.
- To ensure students are well prepared for courses, where required the course director will
 provide pre-reading material four weeks prior to the delivery of the course. Pre-reading
 material will be designed to provide background information necessary for the understanding
 of the critical design issues taught during the course. This pre-reading material is optional and
 will require no more than 2 -15 hours of private study.
- Each course will consist of lectures to develop better understanding in the students and will be supported by tutorials, (video) laboratory and computer based exercises to explain the application of engineering and applied science using real life examples.
- Depending upon the type of course, written examination and course work assessment will be undertaken. This element will require 40-45 hours of private study. If the course is assessed

by course work, students will be given eight weeks after the delivery of the course to complete their work and submit the assessment.

- Unless discussed and agreed prior to the class, assessment by written examination will be undertaken on the last day of the course. Coursework feedback will be given to students in accordance with University regulations. Project/design study feedback will be given the week following each visit.
- During each visit, the project supervisor along with course director will organise one-to-one
 meetings with the students to discuss and monitor their progress. Design study/project
 supervisors will also provide guidance and direction to the student(s). Any concerns and
 achievements will be documented and appropriate action will be taken to ensure that students'
 concerns are satisfactorily addressed.

The Group Design Study Aim

The aim of the Design Study is to give the students first-hand experience of the whole design process, as applied to a weapon system. The Design Study will start soon after the completion of the first two modules and would require 20 weeks private study spread over the entire duration of the course to complete.

The Individual Project

The overall aim of the project is to enable an individual student to develop, by first-hand experience, his expertise in engineering research, design or development in the field of military vehicle technology.

5. What do students need to achieve in order to graduate?

Notwithstanding University Regulations and the authorities and powers exercised by examiners, students will normally need to demonstrate achievement in the elements of the course, as laid out in Section 7. Courses are structured through the accumulation of credit, where 1 credit represents 10 notional learning hours.

In brief, students will normally need to achieve the following in order to be awarded the qualifications:

A. Postgraduate Certificate

The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Any combination of the PgDip modules with an accumulated credit of 60.	60
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
TOTAL:	60

B. Postgraduate Diploma

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
-------------	---------

COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Module 1a or 1b	5
Module 2 a or 2b	5
Modules 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, and 13	9 x 10
SPECIALISMS – CHOOSE EITHER VEHICLE OR WEAPONS SPECIALI	SM
Vehicle	
Module 5a	10
Module 10a	10
Weapons	
Module 5b	10
Module 10b	10
TOTAL:	120

C. MSc

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits									
COMPULSORY MODULES:										
Module 1a or 1b	5									
Module 2 a or 2b	5									
Modules 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, and 13	9 x 10									
Design Study/Projects	80									
SPECIALISMS – CHOOSE EITHER VEHICLE OR WEAPONS SPECIALISM										
Vehicle										
Module 5a	10									
Module 10a	10									
Weapons										
Module 5b	10									
Module 10b	10									
TOTAL:	200									

If a student does not meet the required standards for the award, the examiners for the programme may decide to offer a lower award associated with the programme, providing that a lower exit award exists and the student meets the requirements of that lower award.

Pass Criteria

The University operates standard pass criteria which can be found in the Senate Handbook on Assessment Rules.

In order to achieve your award, you are required to achieve:

- An overall average mark of ≥50%;
- An average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessment;
- All assessments need to be completed and the minimum mark attained: no more than one failure to complete an assessment (as defined in Section 2.3) will be permitted throughout

- the course of your studies (Please note that the board of examiners does <u>not</u> have discretion to overrule this limit, but can refer a case to Senate's Education Committee);^{1 2}
- **For Taught Assessments**, the minimum mark for each individual taught assessment <u>on the first attempt</u> for the significant majority of the taught assessments, noting that:
 - if you fail to attain the minimum mark for <u>up to 30 learning credits</u>, you will be permitted to re-take all of those assessments (except for circumstances where a resit award capped at 50% would be insufficient to achieve an overall average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessments);
 - o if, having failed to attain the minimum mark for 30 learning credits, you fail to obtain the minimum mark for <u>any additional learning credits</u> over the course of your studies you will be disqualified from the right to re-take the assessments: this will normally result in intended award failure. (Please note the board of examiners may at its discretion overrule this limit, but this is not an automatic right);
 - o it is <u>not</u> permissible for you to fail an elective module and then proceed to take a different elective module in its place.
- For Substantial pieces of assessment (corresponding to ≥40 credits, which are not part of the taught assessment average), the pass mark of ≥50% (where they exist);
- For the thesis, a mark of ≥50% in order to receive a pass (where it exists).

6. How is the course structured?

Please see the course structure document for details on the individual elements of the course. Overall, the programme is offered off-campus on a part-time basis only. The programme is divided into 2 main parts: the taught phase and the project/design study. Taught phase of the MSc course will be delivered over 4 years in Detroit. Two modules will be taught per visit with two to three visits per year. The project/design study will be integrated throughout the taught phase. The nature of the programme is such that prospective students can join the course at any time; however for administrative purposes it is preferred that students join the course in June.

For students who were registered before 1 August 2015, the requirement to obtain a minimum mark for a taught assessment will not apply for taught assessment taken before 31 August 2015 (unless the assessment was designated as a "key assessment" under the previous Assessment Rules).

Providing the minimum mark is met, a mark of 40-49% will be automatically compensated if a student's overall average taught assessment mark (including the failed assessment) is greater than 50%. Students are advised, however, that they retain the right to re-take an assessment with a mark of <40% (but should note that a re-take attempt will be capped at 50%), as long as they haven't failed more than 30 credits. At the discretion of the Board of Examiners or by Board of Examiners Chair's Actions a student may be permitted a re-take attempt of modules in the range of 40-49% only if the average mark of their other taught modules would not allow them to qualify for their award (<50%).

Course modules

The following modules outline all parts of the programme leading to an MSc. Other awards associated with the course include some or all of these modules.

				бı				Calendar						Assess	ment		
				Visiting		N/Y		a)		o or		ependent sessment	Multi-	oart Asse	essment	Submis	sion dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared?	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
1a	R- VWE- FVD	Fighting Vehicle Design	40	1	5	N	22/05/17	12/06/17	16/06/17 22/03/19	40			100	ICW EX	50 50	16/08/17 16/06/17 23/05/19 22/03/19	29/11/17 13/04/18 23/08/19 21/06/19
1b	R- VWE- FEDE	Finite Elements in Defence Engineering	35	N/A	5	N	21/11/16 04/03/19	5/12/16 18/03/19	9/12/16 22/03/19	40	ICW	100				09/02/17	17/05/17 23/08/19

³ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

⁴ Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

⁵ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

⁶ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually.

⁷ For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

⁸ Failure to submit an element of a **multi-part assessment** will **not** require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then **all** elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

⁹ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

				Ď.				Calendar						Assess	ment		
				/ Visiting		N N		d)		o or		ependent sessment		oart Asse	essment	Submis	sion dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
2a	R- VWE SEAP	Systems Engineering and Assured Performance	35	1	5	N	25/03/18	09/04/18	13/04/18	40			100	ICW EX	70 30	13/06/18 13/04/18	26/09/18 15/06/18
2b	R- VWE- MSC DE	Modelling, Simulation and Control in Defence Engineering	35		5	N	15/11/18	03/12/18	07/12/18	40	ICW	100				07/02/19	28/05/19
3	R- VWE- WST	Weapon Systems Technology	40	5	10	N	05/06/19	17/06/19	21/06/19	40			100	ICW EX	50 50	21/08/19 21/06/19	10/01/20 29/11/19
4	R- VWE- FB	Fundamentals of Ballistics	40	-	10	N	01/06/19	10/06/19	14/06/19	40			100	ICW EX	50 50	14/08/19 14/06/19	10/01/20 29/11/19
5a	R- VWE- MVP	Military Vehicle Propulsion (for vehicle speciality)	40	-	10	N	14/11/16 10/03/17 29/05/18	28/11/16 20/03/17 11/06/18	02/12/16 24/03/17 15/06/18	40			100	ICW EX	50 50	02/02/17 02/12/16 18/05/17 24/03/17	30/05/17 31/03/17 18/08/17 16/06/17

				Ď.				Calendar		-				Assess	ment		
				/ Visiting		Ϋ́N		d)		o or		ependent sessment	Multi-բ	oart Asse			sion dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
																16/08/18 15/06/18	30/10/18 06/12/18
5b	R- VWE MVP D	Military Vehicle Propulsion and Dynamics (for weapon speciality)	40		10	N	14/11/16 10/03/17 29/05/18	28/11/16 20/03/17 11/06/18	02/12/16 24/03/17 15/06/18	40			100	ICW EX	60 40	02/02/17 02/12/16 18/05/17 24/03/17 16/08/18 15/06/18	30/05/17 31/03/17 18/08/17 16/06/17 30/10/18 06/12/18
6	R- VWE EDT	Electric Drive Technologies	35	2	10	N	26/03/18	16/04/18	20/04/18	40			100	ICW EX	50 50	22/06/18 20/04/18	30/09/18 15/06/18
7	R- VWE LWD	Light Weapon Design	36	3	10	N	10/02/17	27/03/17	31/03/17	40			100	ICW EX	50 50	26/06/17 31/03/17	29/09/17 16/06/17
8	R- VWE MAV	Military Autonomous Vehicle	35	3	10	N	26/03/18	09/04/18	13/04/18	40			100	ICW EX	50 50	18/06/18 13/04/18	26/09/18 07/12/18
9	R- VWE- SUR V	Survivability	40		10	N	14/11/16 04/06/18	05/12/16 18/06/18	09/12/16 22/06/18	40			100	ICW EX	50 50	09/02/17 09/12/16 23/08/18	30/05/17 31/03/17 23/01/19

				<u> </u>				Calendar						Assess	ment		
				/ Visiting		Z Z		d)		6 or		ependent sessment	Multi-ր	oart Asse			sion dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
																22/06/18	30/11/18
10a	R- VWE MVD	Military Vehicle Dynamics (for Vehicle speciality)	40		10	N	28/05/17 13/11/17	19/06/17 27/11/17	23/06/17 01/12/17	40			100	ICW EX	40 60	23/08/17 23/06/17 19/02/18 01/12/17	01/12/17 23/11/17 06/05/18 13/04/18
10b	R- VWE GSD	Gun Systems Design (for Weapon speciality)	40		10	N	13/11/17	27/11/17	01/12/17	40	ICW	100				19/02/18	06/05/18
11	R- VWE- VSI	Vehicle Systems Integration	40		10	N	25/05/18	18/06/18	22/06/18	40			100	ICW EX	70 30	22/08/18 22/06/18	07/12/18 30/11/18
12	R- VWE- RSE	Reliability and System Effectiveness	36		10	N	10/11/18	26/11/18	30/11/18	40			100	ICW EX	70 30	30/01/19 30/11/18	22/04/19 22/03/19
13	R- VWE- AFV WSS	Armoured Fighting Vehicle and Weapon Systems Study (2 weeks	55		10	N	28/05/18	11/06/18	22/06/18	40	ICW	100				22/08/18	26/10/18

				бr				Calendar		Assessment														
											/ Visiting		N X		0		or or		ependent essment	Multi-p	oart Asse	essment	Submis	sion dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? \	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date		Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date							
		course)																						
14	R- VWE- DISS	Project/Design Study	100		80	N	-	17/06/16	19/02/20	50	THES IS	100				19/03/20	22/05/20							

Please list all modules that are shared with another existing course.

Module code	Module title	Course that owns the module	Course(s)/programme(s) that share the module
NIL			

7. How are the ILOs assessed?

The following assessment types are utilised:

The course uses a range of assessment types including written examination, coursework, thesis and oral examination.

This approach has been adopted to assess the intended learning outcomes and the weighting of assessment, particularly the use of written examinations addresses the educational expectation of the USA market.

Assessment and ILO Mapping

A. Postgraduate Certificate

Award ILOs Module No.	ILO 1.	ILO2.	ILO3	ILO4	ILO5
1a	Χ	Х		Χ	Χ
1b	Х		Х		
2a	Х			Х	Х
2b	Х	Х	X	Х	Х
3	Х	Х	Х	Χ	
4	Х	Х			
5a	Х	Х			
5b	Х	Х			
6	Х			Х	Х
7	Х			Χ	Х
8	Х		Х	Х	Х
9	Х			Х	
10a	Х	Х		Χ	
10b	Х	Х		Х	
11				Х	Х
12					
13	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х

Postgraduate Diploma

In addition to those outlined above, the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs Module No.	ILO6	ILO7	ILO8	ILO9	
1a	ILOU	ILO1			
1b	X				
2a	X				
2b					
3	X				
4	X	X			
5a	Х	X	Х	Х	
5b	Х	Х	Х	Х	
6	Х	Х		Х	
7	Х	Х	Х	Х	
8	Х	Х		Х	
9	Х	Х	Х	X	
10a	Х	Х	Х	Х	
10b	Х	Х	Х	Х	
11	Х			Х	
12	Х	Х	Х	Х	
13	Х	Х	Х	Х	

B. MSc

In addition to those outlined above, the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs Module No.	ILO10	ILO11	ILO12	ILO13	
1a		Х	Х		
1b		Х	Х		
2a		Х	Х		
2b		Х	Х		
3		Х	Х		
4		Х	Х		
5a			Х		
5b			Х		
6			Х		

Award ILOs Module No.	ILO10	ILO11	ILO12	ILO13	
7			Χ		
8			Х		
9	Х	Х	Х	Х	
10a	Х	Х	Х	Х	
10b	Χ	Х	Х	Х	
11				Х	
12	Х				
13	Х	Х	Х	Х	
14	Х	Х	Х	Х	

<u>CROSS-MODULAR ASSESSMENT</u> (including any assessment which rests outside an individual module)

Title	Modules Covered	Assessment	
		Туре	Weight (%)

8. How will the University assure the quality of the provision?

New course proposals are reviewed by a Course Validation Panel, comprising at least the following membership: normally one subject matter expert external to the School or University, at least 3 academic staff not associated with the proposal. The Panel may include 1 member of professional staff. Panels are supported by an appropriately trained Secretary who acts as advisor to the Panel. Proposals are reviewed in line with the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA) Quality Code, in particular Chapter B1 (Programme Design and Approval) and in the case of partnership arrangements in accordance with Chapter B10 (Managing Higher Education with Others). New courses are ultimately approved by the University's Education Committee, on behalf of Senate.

Course changes are approved by the School's Director of Education on behalf of Education Committee and Senate. Significant changes to a course will be referred to a Course Review Panel at the discretion of the Director of Education.

The University has in place regular monitoring procedures for quality assurance including an Annual Reflective Review for each course and an in depth 6 year review of each School's (total) educational provision known as the Senate Review. For collaborative partnerships, in addition to the Annual Reflective Review there is an Annual Operating Statement and a 5 year review known as a Focussed Review which looks at each course in depth. In addition occasional site inspection visits are made.

Each course has at least one External Examiner who monitors all aspects of the assessment process. This is in line with the guidance provided by the QAA particularly in Chapter B7 (External Examining) which emphasises that external examining is one of the principal means for maintaining UK threshold academic standards within autonomous higher education institutions.

Each course has a formally constituted Examination Board, which includes the External Examiner, and which is responsible for ensuring that awards are made within the Regulations of the University and that students are made awards on the basis of meeting the specified Intended Learning Outcomes of a course at the appropriate standard.

Each course has a formally constituted Course Committee which meets at least twice a year to discuss, inter alia, programme design and planning, the student experience (including feedback) and student progress.

Each course has an Industry Advisory Panel (or similar) which meets at least once a year to engage with external stakeholders on curriculum design and currency of course content.

Student feedback both qualitative and quantitative is collected for each module studied. In addition students are invited to participate in the University's annual New Student Survey and Student Satisfaction Survey along with the annual national Postgraduate Taught Student Experience Survey. The results of all feedback are considered by the Course Committee and additionally, in respect of the University and national surveys, issues of quality are considered by and acted on where appropriate by the Education Committee, Senate, School and University Executives.

9. What opportunities are graduates likely to have on completing the course?

This programme is intended for the following range of students as part of their continuing professional development to improve their skills in their current role and to enhance career progression opportunities within their current organisations:

- Test and evaluation engineers, design and development engineers, manufacturing and industrial engineers, specification engineers, physicist and mathematicians working in the weapon and vehicle design, researchers and analysts working in the design and development of fighting vehicles
- Military personnel, government civil servants, defence industry, acquisition and procurement staff from DoD
- Graduates, who intend to take up a career in defence technology (DoD and industry)

COURSE SPECIFICATION



Cranfield University: Course Specifications

Course specifications outline the content and structure of a course leading to an award of Cranfield University. This version of the course specification has been approved by Education Committee and every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information.

COURSE TITLE: MSc in Waste and Resource Management

Date of first publication/latest revision: 13/04/16 – 07/09/16

1. What is the course?

Course information

Course Title	Waste and Resource Management
Course code	MSWRMFTC, MSWRMPTC, PDWRMFTC, PDWRMPTC, PCWRMFTC, PCWRMPTC
Academic Year	2016/17
Valid entry routes	MSc, PgDip, PgCert
Exit routes	MSc, PgDip, PgCert
Mode of delivery	Full-time, Part-time
Location of Study	Cranfield
School(s)	School of Water, Energy, Environment
Theme	Environment & Agrifood
Centre	Institute for Resilient Futures
Course Director	Dr Kenisha Garnett
Awarding Body	Cranfield University
Teaching Institution	Cranfield University
Admissions body	Cranfield University
Entry requirements	 1st or 2nd class UK honours degree or equivalent; in a science or engineering subject; Candidates with other qualifications will be considered according to experience; Where applicable minimum IELTS score of 6.5 or TOEFL 580
UK Qualifications Framework Level	QAA FHEQ Level 7 (Masters)
Benchmark Statement(s)	N/A
Registration Period(s) available	Full-time MSc - one year, Part-time MSc - up to three years, Full-time PgCert - one year, Part-time PgCert - two years, Full-time PgDip - one year, Part-time PgDip - two years
Course Start Month(s)	Full-time: October. Part-time: throughout the year

Institutions delivering the course

This course is delivered by the School of Water, Energy and Environment where the research interests include water-waste-energy nexus challenges, circular economy, bioenergy and resource management

Cranfield University interacts with the following institutions and in the following ways:

Cranfield University actively seeks sponsorship and support for individual thesis projects from waste and water sector employers to provide professional experience and development opportunities for students. Thesis sponsors and supporters include: Waste Resources Action Programme (WRAP), Viridor, Chartered Institution of Waste Management (CIWM), Environment Agency, Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra), Severn Trent Water, Shanks, Ricardo Energy and Environment, Golder Associates, RSK, Arup, Mott MacDonald, VWP Ltd.

Cranfield University has agreements with a number of top quality European higher education institutions through its European Partnership Programme (EPP). Within these agreements students from partner institutions have the opportunity to take a Master of Science (MSc) at Cranfield University as an alternative to the final year of their home university programme.

Cranfield University remains fully responsible for the quality of the delivery of the course.

Accreditation by Public, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)

This course is accredited formally by the Chartered Institution of Waste Management (2015-2020) and the Chartered Institution of Water and Environmental Management (CIWEM) until **the** academic year2017-2018.

2. What are the aims of the course?

The aim of the course is to develop high calibre postgraduates with the breadth and depth of advanced technical and professional knowledge in waste and resource technology and management. The course will:

- Provide an holistic approach to waste and resource management in response to the proposed waste circular economy.
- Select and apply appropriate existing and emerging technologies that can achieve lower waste production and landfill diversion via an integrated and cross-disciplinary approach to sustainable waste management
- Enable the application of scientific, technical and engineering principles, economic consequences and risks of waste management options as best practice.
- Apply acquired knowledge to team working and independent problem solving.

The Cranfield MSc in Waste and Resource Management offers a tremendous range of career opportunities in the waste and resource sector. As part of the taught course, there are many opportunities to learn transferable skills that potential employers require.

This programme is intended for the following range of students:

• graduates with science, engineering, geography or related degrees keen to pursue careers in environmental management or waste management

• graduates currently in employment keen to extend their qualifications or to pursue a career change individuals with other qualifications but who possess considerable relevant experience

3. What should students expect to achieve in completing the course?

Award intended learning outcomes (ILOs) (skills and knowledge).

A. Postgraduate Certificate in Waste and Resource Management

In completing this course, and achieving the associated award, a diligent student should be able to:

- ILO 1. Explain waste and resource management operations and the regulatory framework
- ILO 2. Apply complex concepts and principles in order to design practical integrated waste management solutions
- ILO 3. Undertake critical appraisal of national and international waste management legislation and policy
- ILO 4. Analyse relevant waste management problems and design appropriate solutions taking account of social, environmental, technical, regulatory and commercial constraints
- ILO 5. Make effective oral and written presentations of their work

B. Postgraduate Diploma in Waste and Resource Management

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 6. Demonstrate effective behaviour in thinking and creativity, numeracy and IT skills, team-working and leadership, communication and enterprise and innovation.
- ILO 7. Integrate knowledge, understanding and skills from the taught modules in a real-life situation
- ILO 8. Effectively work in a small project team to identify project objectives and select appropriate methodologies to address problems faced by industrial clients; collaborating with other team members to communicate findings in a professional manner in written, oral and visual forms

C. MSc in Waste and Resource Management

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 9. Develop and deliver successful independent research projects relevant to appropriate public and private sector organisations
- ILO 10. Define a research question, develop aim(s) and objectives, select and execute a methodology, analyse data, and evaluate findings and draw appropriate conclusions.
- ILO 11. To communicate their findings successfully via a thesis, written in an approved School style and in an oral presentation.

4. How is the course taught?

The MSc course is taught in three sections: taught modules (40%), group projects (20%), and an individual research project (40%).

The taught programme, typically delivered between October and February, comprises a structured sequence of modules, each containing a series of lectures and other classroom-based teaching, supplemented by practical work. The taught modules are assessed by assignments and formal written examinations. Each module is taught over one week, usually followed by a week largely free of structured teaching to allow time for more independent learning and reflection.

The Group Projects are group-based research program typically undertaken between February and April. The projects are designed to integrate knowledge, understanding and skills from the taught modules in a real-life situation.

The thesis project, typically delivered between May and September, further develops research and project management skills that: provide the ability to think and work in an original way; contribute to knowledge; overcome genuine problems; and communicate through a thesis and oral exam. Each student is allocated a supervisor, who will guide and assess the student work. Guidance sessions are provided as to what is required from thesis and oral presentation.

Within induction week, students will be introduced to personal development planning and asked to reflect on their transferable skills and to take ownership of their personal development during the course.

5. What do students need to achieve in order to graduate?

Notwithstanding University Regulations and the authorities and powers exercised by examiners, students will normally need to demonstrate achievement in the elements of the course, as laid out in Section 7. Courses are structured through the accumulation of credit, where 1 credit represents 10 notional learning hours.

In brief, students will normally need to achieve the following in order to be awarded the qualifications:

A. Postgraduate Certificate

The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Any 6 taught modules	60
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
TOTAL:	60

B. Postgraduate Diploma

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction Module Modules 2-8 Group Project Dissertation in place of a Group Project (Part time)	0 80 40 40
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
TOTAL:	120

C. MSc

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction Module	0
Modules 2-8	80
Group project	40
Dissertation in place of a Group Project (Part time)	40
Individual thesis project	80
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
TOTAL:	200

If a student does not meet the required standards for the award, the examiners for the programme may decide to offer a lower award associated with the programme, providing that a lower exit award exists and the student meets the requirements of that lower award.

Pass Criteria

The University operates standard pass criteria which can be found in the Senate Handbook on Assessment Rules.

In order to achieve your award, you are required to achieve:

- An overall average mark of ≥50%;
- An average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessment;
- All assessments need to be completed and the minimum mark attained: no more than one
 failure to complete an assessment (as defined in Section 2.3) will be permitted throughout
 the course of your studies (Please note that the board of examiners does <u>not</u> have
 discretion to overrule this limit, but can refer a case to Senate's Education Committee);^{1 2}

For students who were registered before 1 August 2015, the requirement to obtain a minimum mark for a taught assessment will not apply for taught assessment taken before 31 August 2015 (unless the assessment was designated as a "key assessment" under the previous Assessment Rules).

Providing the minimum mark is met, a mark of 40-49% will be automatically compensated if a student's overall average taught assessment mark (including the failed assessment) is greater than 50%. Students are advised, however, that they retain the right to re-take an assessment with a mark of <40% (but should note that a re-take attempt will be capped at 50%), as long as they haven't failed more than 30 credits. At the discretion of the Board of Examiners or by Board of Examiners Chair's Actions a</p>

- **For Taught Assessments**, the minimum mark for each individual taught assessment <u>on the first attempt</u> for the significant majority of the taught assessments, noting that:
 - if you fail to attain the minimum mark for <u>up to 30 learning credits</u>, you will be permitted to re-take all of those assessments (except for circumstances where a resit award capped at 50% would be insufficient to achieve an overall average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessments);
 - o if, having failed to attain the minimum mark for 30 learning credits, you fail to obtain the minimum mark for <u>any additional learning credits</u> over the course of your studies you will be disqualified from the right to re-take the assessments: this will normally result in intended award failure. (Please note the board of examiners may at its discretion overrule this limit, but this is not an automatic right);
 - it is <u>not</u> permissible for you to fail an elective module and then proceed to take a different elective module in its place.
- For Substantial pieces of assessment (corresponding to ≥40 credits, which are not part of the taught assessment average), the pass mark of ≥50% (where they exist);
- For the thesis, a mark of ≥50% in order to receive a pass (where it exists).

6. How is the course structured?

Please see the course structure document for details on the individual elements of the course. Full-time students register for the course in October and are expected to complete the course within 12 calendar months.

All options are also offered on a part-time basis and such students are expected to complete the course within 2 to 3 years. Part-time students are not restricted to starting in October. Instead they are offered individual guidance on the best sequence of study based on their prior knowledge and availability to attend.

Course modules

The following modules outline all parts of the programme leading to an MSc. Other awards associated with the course include some or all of these modules.

					бı				Calendar					ŀ	Assessm	ient		
					/ Visiting		N X		a)	_	or or		ependent sessment	Multi-p		essment	Submissi	on dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? \	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
1	I-ENV- INWK	Induction	T Brewer	33		0	N		03/10/16	07/10/16	N/A	AO	N/A				N/A	
2	I-ERM- A2005	Environmental Risks- hazard, assessment and management	S Jude	24.5		10	Υ		10/10/16	14/10/16	40	ICW	100				FT - 22/10/2016 PT - 29/10/2016	
3	I- WRM- CRM	Circular Waste Management: Recycle,	R Villa	52		20	Υ		24 /10/16	28/10/16	40	ICW	100%				FT- 05/11/16 PT –	

³ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

Assessment Types: AO - Attendance only: ICW - Individual Coursework: GCW - Group Coursework: IPRES - Individual Presentation: GPRES - Group Presentation: IPRAC - Individual Practical: GPRAC - Group Coursework: IPRES - Individual Presentation: IPRAC - INDIVIDUAL PR Practical; IPROJ - Individual Project (>20 credits); GPROJ - Group Project (>20 credits); EX - Examination; RP - Reflective Portfolio; OR- Viva Voce examination; THESIS - thesis

⁴ Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

⁵ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

⁶ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually. ⁷ For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

⁸ Failure to submit an element of a multi-part assessment will not require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then all elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

⁹ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

					бı				Calendar		Assessment							
					y Visiting		N/Y		Φ		6 or		ependent essment		art Asse		Submissi	on dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared?`	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date³	Assessment / Exam Retake date
		Recover and Dispose							07/11/16	11/11/16							12/11/16 FT - 19/11/2016 PT - 26/11/2016	
4	I-EI- A1001	Modelling Environmental Processes	R Corstanje	26		10	Υ		21/11/16	25/11/16	40	IPRES	100				FT/PT - 03/12/2016	
5	I-EDI- A1127	Evaluating Sustainability Through Life Cycle Approaches	P Goglio	30		10	Y		05/12/16	09/12/16	40	ICW	100				FT & PT 07/01/2017	
6	I-IWM- A1500	Process emissions and control	I Mead	25		10	Y		09/01/17	13/01/17	40	ICW	100				FT - 21/01/2017 PT - 28/01/2017	
7	I-EDI- A1057	Risk Toxicology, Exposure and Health	S Rocks	30		10	Υ		23/01/17	27/01/17	40	EX	100				w/c 20/02/17	

					бı				Calendar		Assessment							
					/ Visiting		N/Y		a)	_	or or		ependent essment	Multi-p	oart Asse		Submissi	on dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? `	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
8	I-IWM- A1061	Pollution Prevention and Remediation Technologies	F Coulon	29		10	Υ		06/02/17	10/02/17	40	ICW	100				FT - 18/02/2017 PT - 25/02/2017	
Proje	ects																	
9	I-ENV- GRPP	Group Project	Supervisors	16		40	Y		20/02/17	05/05/17	50	GPRO J ICW	80 20				GPROJ - 02/05/2017 ICW - 06/05/2017	
10	I-ENV- DISS	Dissertation	Supervisors	10		40	Υ		03/10/16	30/09/17	50	ICW	100				30/09/17	
11	I-ENV- THESI S	Individual Research Project	Supervisors	20		80	Y		08/05/17	08/09/17	50	THESI S OR	90				4/9/17	

Please list all modules that are shared with another existing course.

Module code	Module title	Course that owns the module	Course(s)/programme(s) that share the module
I-EI-A1001	Modelling Environmental Processes	Environmental Data Science	Environmental Risk Management Environmental Water Management Waste and Resource Management
I-ERM-A2005	Environmental Risks- hazard, assessment and management	Environmental Risk Management	Environmental Data Science Energy from Waste Waste and Resource Management
I-EDI-A1127	Evaluating Sustainability	Environmental Management for Business	Environmental Risk Management Biofuels Process Engineering Energy Supply for Low Carbon Futures Waste and Resource Management
I-EDI-A1125	Risk Toxicology Exposure and Health	Environmental Risk Management	Waste and Resource Management
I-WRM-CRM	Circular Waste Management: Recycle Recover & Dispose	Waste and Resource Management	Environmental Engineering, Energy from Waste
I-IWM-A1500	Process Emission and Control	Waste and Resource Management	Environmental Engineering, Atmospheric Emission Technology
I-IWM-A1061	Pollution Prevention and Remediation Technologies	Waste and Resource Management	Environmental Risk Management, Environmental Engineering
I-EDI-A1057	Risk, Toxicology, Exposure and Health	Environmental Risk Management	Waste and Resource Management

7. How are the ILOs assessed?

The following assessment types are utilised:

The course is assessed as three elements:

- The taught modules (40%) are assessed by in-module assessment (including coursework, which focuses on application of principles studied and class tests, which support underpinning knowledge) or examination in January;
- Group projects (20%) are assessed by means of a written group report and presentations
- The Research project (40%) is assessed by a thesis and an oral examination.

This approach has been adopted because:

The overall assessment workload and type used for the course is balanced and appropriate; it covers well the ILOs set out for each module of the course and develops the type of skills required for the students for their future career

<u>CROSS-MODULAR ASSESSMENT</u> (including any assessment which rests outside an individual module)

Title	Modules Covered	Assessment	
		Туре	Weight (%)

8. How will the University assure the quality of the provision?

New course proposals are reviewed by a Course Validation Panel, comprising at least the following membership: normally one subject matter expert external to the School or University, at least 3 academic staff not associated with the proposal. The Panel may include 1 member of professional staff. Panels are supported by an appropriately trained Secretary who acts as advisor to the Panel. Proposals are reviewed in line with the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA) Quality Code, in particular Chapter B1 (Programme Design and Approval) and in the case of partnership arrangements in accordance with Chapter B10 (Managing Higher Education with Others). New courses are ultimately approved by the University's Education Committee, on behalf of Senate.

Course changes are approved by the School's Director of Education on behalf of Education Committee and Senate. Significant changes to a course will be referred to a Course Review Panel at the discretion of the Director of Education.

The University has in place regular monitoring procedures for quality assurance including an Annual Reflective Review for each course and an in depth 6 year review of each School's (total) educational provision known as the Senate Review. For collaborative partnerships, in addition to the Annual Reflective Review there is an Annual Operating Statement and a 5 year review known as a Focussed Review which looks at each course in depth. In addition occasional site inspection visits are made.

Each course has at least one External Examiner who monitors all aspects of the assessment process. This is in line with the guidance provided by the QAA particularly in Chapter B7 (External Examining) which emphasises that external examining is one of the principal means for maintaining UK threshold academic standards within autonomous higher education institutions.

Each course has a formally constituted Examination Board, which includes the External Examiner, and which is responsible for ensuring that awards are made within the Regulations of the University and that students are made awards on the basis of meeting the specified Intended Learning Outcomes of a course at the appropriate standard.

Each course has a formally constituted Course Committee which meets at least twice a year to discuss, inter alia, programme design and planning, the student experience (including feedback) and student progress.

Each course has an Industry Advisory Panel (or similar) which meets at least once a year to engage with external stakeholders on curriculum design and currency of course content.

Student feedback both qualitative and quantitative is collected for each module studied. In addition students are invited to participate in the University's annual New Student Survey and

Student Satisfaction Survey along with the annual national Postgraduate Taught Student Experience Survey. The results of all feedback are considered by the Course Committee and additionally, in respect of the University and national surveys, issues of quality are considered by and acted on where appropriate by the Education Committee, Senate, School and University Executives.

9. What opportunities are graduates likely to have on completing the course?

Career prospects for students on the Waste and Resource Management course are excellent. The waste management industry is undergoing a change in strategy as a result of the introduction of increasingly arduous legislation, tougher enforcement, and better educated consumers making demands about the environment (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs – DEFRA, 2006 and 2011; Energy and utility skills, 2010). This is stimulating considerable investment by the waste industry in alternative technologies to reduce and reuse waste, rather than rely on simple landfill. This sector therefore has an urgent requirement for well qualified staff with management expertise who can meet the challenges of this future sustainable agenda. On completion, graduates have a broader network of global contacts, increased opportunities for individual specialism in their chosen career.

Some of the employers over the last three years include:

- Environment Agency
- Golder Associates
- Viridor
- Shanks
- ERM
- Veolia
- WSP
- Resourcefutures
- Local Authorities
- WRC plc
- Kier
- TIRU, EDF group

COURSE SPECIFICATION



Cranfield University: Course Specifications

Course specifications outline the content and structure of a course leading to an award of Cranfield University. This version of the course specification has been approved by Education Committee and every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information.

COURSE TITLE: MSc in Water and Wastewater Engineering

Date of first publication/latest revision: 26/01/16 - 19/09/16

1. What is the course?

Course information

Course Title	Water and Wastewater Engineering
Course code	MSWWEFTC, MSWWEPTC, PDWWEFTC, PDWWEPTC, PCWWEFTC, PCWWEPTC
Academic Year	2015/16
Valid entry routes	MSc, PgDip, PgCert
Exit routes	MSc, PgDip, PgCert
Mode of delivery	Full-time, Part-time
Location of Study	Cranfield
School(s)	School of Water, Energy and Environment
Theme	Water
Centre	Cranfield Water Sciences Institute
Course Director	Dr Jitka MacAdam
Awarding Body	Cranfield University
Teaching Institution	Cranfield University
Admissions body	Cranfield University
Entry requirements	 •1st or 2nd class UK honours degree or equivalent; in a science or engineering subject; • Candidates with other qualifications will be considered according to experience • Where applicable minimum IELTS score of 6.5 or TOEFL 580
UK Qualifications Framework Level	QAA FHEQ Level 7 (Masters)
Benchmark Statement(s)	N/A
Registration Period(s) available	Full-time MSc - one year, Part-time MSc - up to three years, Full-time PgCert - one year, Part-time PgCert - two years, Full-time PgDip - one year, Part-time PgDip - two years
Course Start Month(s)	October; PTs –any time

Institutions delivering the course

This course is delivered by the Cranfield Water Sciences Institute where the research interests include the science, engineering and management of water in municipal, industrial and natural environments. Water science's activities encompass treatment technologies, engineering, irrigation, socioeconomics and policy where these relate to the improvement of water quality, and the protection and enhancement of the natural, human and industrial environments.

Cranfield University interacts with the following institutions and in the following ways:

- Teaching and assessment is provided by an Engineering Consultant (Richard Hill, Whitewater Ltd). A number of lectures are delivered by representatives from UK water utilities, regulators and consultancies.
- Students undertake their research and/or project work off campus, or at another institution.
- Teaching is provided from utility companies, other external agencies, or jointly with other institutions.
- The course has defined feeder streams from other institutions, including significant sponsorships.

Cranfield University remains fully responsible for the quality of the delivery of the course.

Accreditation by Public, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)

This course is accredited formally by the Chartered Institution of Water and Environmental Management (CIWEM) until October 2016.

2. What are the aims of the course?

Cranfield University offers this course in order to:

- Develop suitably trained and qualified process engineers and design engineers in all aspects
 of water and wastewater treatment, enabling them to make a significant contribution to their
 future or current employee's performance and operation, with the potential to progress further
 into senior management positions.
- Deliver graduates whose acquired understanding of process engineering and design of treatment works will enable them to work within organisations involved in water treatment technology and process design for improving water quality to meet environmental and industrial standards (full-time students).
- Deliver graduates whose acquired understanding will enable them to develop their existing
 capability within organisations involved in water treatment technology and process design to
 improve water quality to meet environmental and industrial standards (part-time students).

Postgraduate Diploma (PgDip) and Postgraduate Certificate (PgCert) exit routes are provided for students who wish to access only parts of the course provided.

This programme is intended for the following range of students:

Graduates with an undergraduate degree with a strong science and engineering element keen
to pursue careers within companies and organisations involved in water and wastewater
treatment, including utilities, contractors, consultants, equipment manufacturers, suppliers and
industrial water users.

- Graduates currently working in the water sector keen to extend their qualifications
- Individuals with other qualifications who possess considerable relevant experience.

3. What should students expect to achieve in completing the course?

Award intended learning outcomes (ILOs) (skills and knowledge).

A. Postgraduate Certificate in Water and Wastewater Engineering

In completing this course, and achieving the associated award, a diligent student should be able to:

- ILO 1. Identify the design principles, practice and operational experience of conventional and advanced treatment processes together with practical design considerations and calculate water & wastewater treatment flowsheets
- ILO 2. Select the appropriate scientific management and engineering strategies which promote environmental good practice and sustainable development in the water sector and which contribute to tackling new challenges.
- ILO 3. Systematically and critically apply scientific and engineering principles to the design, interconnection and sustainable operation of processes for water quality improvement in municipal, environmental and industrial water and wastewater treatment contexts.

B. Postgraduate Diploma in Water and Wastewater Engineering

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 4. Critically assess product water quality requirements for specific applications and select appropriate physical, chemical and biological processes to meet those requirements.
- ILO 5. Assess and improve the hydraulic operation of existing processes for water/wastewater treatment systems.
- ILO 6. Assess treatment works pumping needs and select an appropriate pumping system design.
- ILO 7. Integrate knowledge, understanding and skills from the taught modules in a real-life situation
- ILO 8. Effectively work in a small project team to identify project objectives and select appropriate methodologies to address problems faced by industrial clients; collaborating with other team members to communicate findings in a professional manner in written, oral and visual forms

C. MSc in Water and Wastewater Engineering

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 9. Design and execute and evaluate a programme of independent research
- ILO 10. Define a research question, develop aim(s) and objectives, select and execute a methodology, analyse data, and evaluate findings and draw appropriate conclusions.
- ILO 11. To communicate their findings successfully via a thesis, written in an approved School style and in an oral presentation.

4. How is the course taught?

Students will be supported in their learning and personal development by:

- Understanding is developed through the application of knowledge from the taught modules and laboratory practicals to deliver optimum solutions to specified process design briefs.
- The case study-based design brief is used to develop independent research and presentation skills that are later applied at an advanced level in the design and thesis project.
- Research and provate study is necessary for the successful completion of design and thesis projects which also enhanced knowledge and individual study abilities.
- Formative feedback on assessed assignments enhances the learning process and informal feedback on non-assessed individual or group exercises are also used.
- Course Directors and Module Convenors are available for advice on course study and additional reading material.
- Academic staff are readily available for informal advice and feedback.
- Each student is allocated a thesis project supervisor to guide and direct the research.

5. What do students need to achieve in order to graduate?

Notwithstanding University Regulations and the authorities and powers exercised by examiners, students will normally need to demonstrate achievement in the elements of the course, as laid out in Section 7. Courses are structured through the accumulation of credit, where 1 credit represents 10 notional learning hours.

In brief, students will normally need to achieve the following in order to be awarded the qualifications:

A. Postgraduate Certificate

The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction Any 6 Taught modules	0 60
ELECTIVE MODULES:	

TOTAL:	60

B. Postgraduate Diploma

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction 8 Taught Modules Potable/Wastewater Group Design Project (Full-time students) Potable/Wastewater Individual Design Project (Part-time students)	0 80 40 40
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
TOTAL:	120

C. MSc

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Induction	0
8 Taught Modules	80
Potable/Wastewater Group Design Project (Full-time students)	40
Potable/Wastewater Individual Design Project (Part-time students)	40
Thesis project	80
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
TOTAL:	200

If a student does not meet the required standards for the award, the examiners for the programme may decide to offer a lower award associated with the programme, providing that a lower exit award exists and the student meets the requirements of that lower award.

Pass Criteria

The University operates standard pass criteria which can be found in the Senate Handbook on Assessment Rules.

In order to achieve your award, you are required to achieve:

- An overall average mark of ≥50%;
- An average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessment;
- All assessments need to be completed and the minimum mark attained: no more than one failure to complete an assessment (as defined in Section 2.3) will be permitted throughout

- the course of your studies (Please note that the board of examiners does <u>not</u> have discretion to overrule this limit, but can refer a case to Senate's Education Committee);¹²
- **For Taught Assessments**, the minimum mark for each individual taught assessment <u>on the first attempt</u> for the significant majority of the taught assessments, noting that:
 - if you fail to attain the minimum mark for <u>up to 30 learning credits</u>, you will be permitted to re-take all of those assessments (except for circumstances where a resit award capped at 50% would be insufficient to achieve an overall average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessments);
 - o if, having failed to attain the minimum mark for 30 learning credits, you fail to obtain the minimum mark for any additional learning credits over the course of your studies you will be disqualified from the right to re-take the assessments: this will normally result in intended award failure. (Please note the board of examiners may at its discretion overrule this limit, but this is not an automatic right);
 - it is <u>not</u> permissible for you to fail an elective module and then proceed to take a different elective module in its place.
- For Substantial pieces of assessment (corresponding to ≥40 credits, which are not part of the taught assessment average), the pass mark of ≥50% (where they exist);
- For the thesis, a mark of ≥50% in order to receive a pass (where it exists).

6. How is the course structured?

Full-time students register for the course in October and are expected to complete the course within 12 calendar months.

All options are also offered on a part-time basis and such students are expected to complete the course within 2 to 3 years. Part-time students are not restricted to starting in October. Instead they are offered individual guidance on the best sequence of study based on their prior knowledge and availability to attend.

Each module is taught over two weeks, with the second week largely free of structured teaching to allow time for more independent learning and reflection on the previous weeks work.

There are two modules (Process Science and Engineering and Water Reuse & Resource Recovery) structured as 'flipped classroom' where the first week is spent on individual study of online materials and during the second week there are a number of activities/sessions organised including a fieldtrip (water reuse only) and various workshops and tutorials.

For students who were registered before 1 August 2015, the requirement to obtain a minimum mark for a taught assessment will not apply for taught assessment taken before 31 August 2015 (unless the assessment was designated as a "key assessment" under the previous Assessment Rules).

Providing the minimum mark is met, a mark of 40-49% will be automatically compensated if a student's overall average taught assessment mark (including the failed assessment) is greater than 50%. Students are advised, however, that they retain the right to re-take an assessment with a mark of <40% (but should note that a re-take attempt will be capped at 50%), as long as they haven't failed more than 30 credits. At the discretion of the Board of Examiners or by Board of Examiners Chair's Actions a student may be permitted a re-take attempt of modules in the range of 40-49% only if the average mark of their other taught modules would not allow them to qualify for their award (<50%).

Course modules

The following modules outline all parts of the programme leading to an MSc. Other awards associated with the course include some or all of these modules.

					бı				Calendar					,	Assessm	ent	ent	
					/ Visiting		Λ'N		ø.		or		ependent sessment	Multi-p	art Asse	essment	Submission dates	
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? \	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
1	I-WAT- INWK	Induction Week	A Parker	24		0	Υ		03/10/16	07/10/16	N/A	AO	N/A				N/A	
2	I-WSC- A1096	Water and Wastewater Treatment Principles	JMacAdam	30		10	Υ		10/10/16	14/10/16	40	ICW	100				FT 22/10/16 PT 29/10/16	
3	I-WSC- A1093	Process Science and Engineering	M Pidou	25		10	Y	24/10/16	31/10/16	04/11/16	40	EX	100				W/C 03/01/17	

³ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

⁴ Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

⁵ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

⁶ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually.

⁷ For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

⁸ Failure to submit an element of a **multi-part assessment** will **not** require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then **all** elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

⁹ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

					бı				Calendar		Assessment							
					/ Visiting		N/Y		Ø)		o or		ependent essment	sment Wulli-part Assessment S		Submission	n dates	
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared?`	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
4	I-WSC- A1087	Biological Processes	ASoares	30		10	N		07/11/16	11/11/16	40	ICW	100				FT 19/11/16 PT 26/11/16	
5	I-WSC- A1095	Risk Management and Reliability Engineering	J Macadam	28		10	Y		21/11/16	25/11/16	40	ICW	100				FT/PT 03/01/17	
6	I-WSC- A1507	Hydraulics and Pumping Systems	I Carra	26		10	Y		05/12/16	09/12/16	40	ICW	100				FT 17/12/16 PT 03/01/17	
7	I-WSC- A1089	Chemical Processes	E Goslan	31		10	N		09/01/17	13/01/17	40	EX	100				20/02/17	
8	I-WSC- A1092	Physical Processes	P Jarvis	30		10	N		23/01/17	27/01/17	40	EX	100				W/C 20/02/17	
9	I-WSC- WRRR	Water Reuse and Resource Recovery	H Smith	18		10	N	06/02/17	13/02/17	17/02/17	40	IPRES	100				17/02/17	
PRO	JECTS																	
10	I-WAT- GRPP	Group Project	Supervisors	16		40	Y		20/02/17	06/05/17	50	GPRO J ICW	80 20				02/05/17 06/05/17	

					бı		Calendar Assessment							ent				
					/ Visiting		Z X		a)	_	or or	Assessment Walti part / 13553 month Gabrilla Significant					n dates	
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? `	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
11	I-WAT- DISS	Individual Project (PT MSc and PgDip only)	Supervisors	10		40	Υ		03/10/16	30/09/17	50	IPROJ	100				30/09/17	
12	I-WAT- THESI S	Individual ResearchProjec t	Supervisors	20		80	Υ		08/05/16	08/09/17	50	THESI S OR	90				04/09/17	

Please list all modules that are shared with another existing course.

Module code	Module title	Course that owns the module	Course(s)/programme(s) that share the module
I-WSC-A1096	Water & Wastewater Treatment Principles	MSc in Water & Wastewater Engineering	Module is delivered to students from STREAM and Environment Programme (MSc in Environmental Engineering)
I-WSC-A1093	Process Science & Engineering	MSc in Water & Wastewater Engineering	Module is delivered to students from STREAM
I-WSC-A1095	Risk Management & Reliability Engineering	MSc in Water & Wastewater Engineering	Module is delivered to students on courses in the Environment Programme (MSc in Environmental Engineering), and STREAM
I-WSC-A1507	Hydraulics and Pumping Systems	MSc in Water & Wastewater Engineering	Module is delivered to students from STREAM

7. How are the ILOs assessed?

The following assessment types are utilised:

The course uses a range of assessment types. Students can expect to have a maximum of 3written examinations, a maximum of 8 pieces of assessment by submitted work and 3 elements of assessment by presentation or viva. The course is assessed as three elements:

- The taught modules (40%) are assessed by in-module assessment (including coursework, which focuses on application of principles studied and class tests, which support underpinning knowledge) or examination;
- Group projects for FTs (20%) are assessed by means of a written group report and presentations. Individual design projects (PTs) are assessed by means of a written dissertation.
- The research project (40%) is assessed by a thesis and an oral examination.

This approach has been adopted because:

Different types of assessments enable the evaluation of a range of M-level skills. A mixture of both individual and group assessments is important in helping students to develop both individual skill and team work related skills. Group and thesis projects follow the completion of the taught part of the course and at this stage more emphasis is on enquiry based learning and problem solving.

<u>CROSS-MODULAR ASSESSMENT</u> (including any assessment which rests outside an individual module)

	Туре	Weight (%)

8. How will the University assure the quality of the provision?

New course proposals are reviewed by a Course Validation Panel, comprising at least the following membership: normally one subject matter expert external to the School or University, at least 3 academic staff not associated with the proposal. The Panel may include 1 member of professional staff. Panels are supported by an appropriately trained Secretary who acts as advisor to the Panel. Proposals are reviewed in line with the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA) Quality Code, in particular Chapter B1 (Programme Design and Approval) and in the case of partnership arrangements in accordance with Chapter B10 (Managing Higher Education with Others). New courses are ultimately approved by the University's Education Committee, on behalf of Senate.

Course changes are approved by the School's Director of Education on behalf of Education Committee and Senate. Significant changes to a course will be referred to a Course Review Panel at the discretion of the Director of Education.

The University has in place regular monitoring procedures for quality assurance including an Annual Reflective Review for each course and an in depth 6 year review of each School's (total) educational provision known as the Senate Review. For collaborative partnerships, in addition to the Annual Reflective Review there is an Annual Operating Statement and a 5 year review known as a Focussed Review which looks at each course in depth. In addition occasional site inspection visits are made.

Each course has at least one External Examiner who monitors all aspects of the assessment process. This is in line with the guidance provided by the QAA particularly in Chapter B7 (External Examining) which emphasises that external examining is one of the principal means for maintaining UK threshold academic standards within autonomous higher education institutions.

Each course has a formally constituted Examination Board, which includes the External Examiner, and which is responsible for ensuring that awards are made within the Regulations of the University and that students are made awards on the basis of meeting the specified Intended Learning Outcomes of a course at the appropriate standard.

Each course has a formally constituted Course Committee which meets at least twice a year to discuss, inter alia, programme design and planning, the student experience (including feedback) and student progress.

Each course has an Industry Advisory Panel (or similar) which meets at least once a year to engage with external stakeholders on curriculum design and currency of course content.

Student feedback both qualitative and quantitative is collected for each module studied. In addition students are invited to participate in the University's annual New Student Survey and Student Satisfaction Survey along with the annual national Postgraduate Taught Student Experience Survey. The results of all feedback are considered by the Course Committee and additionally, in respect of the University and national surveys, issues of quality are considered by and acted on where appropriate by the Education Committee, Senate, School and University Executives.

9. What opportunities are graduates likely to have on completing the course?

Graduates will leave the course well educated, skilled and experienced to operate and manage vital water and wastewater treatment services. The demand for such graduates is already high and will only increase over coming years as environmental standards for water quality increase, and pressures on our water supplies continue to grow. Graduates from the course are highly employable within companies and organisations involved in water and wastewater treatment, including utilities, contractors, consultants, equipment manufacturers, suppliers, regulators and industrial water users.

COURSE SPECIFICATION



Cranfield University: Course Specifications

Course specifications outline the content and structure of a course leading to an award of Cranfield University. This version of the course specification has been approved by Education Committee and every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information.

COURSE TITLE: Weapon and Vehicle Systems Programme
• Military Vehicle Technology (MVT)

• Gun Systems Design (GSD)

Date of first publication/latest revision: 16/12/15

1. What is the course?

Course information

Course Title	Weapons and Vehicle Systems Programme [Military Vehicle Technology (MVT) and Gun Systems Design (GSD)]
Course code	MSMVTFTR-PDMVTFTR-PCMVTFTR MSMVTPTR-PDMVTPTR-PCMVTPTR MSGSDFTR-PDGSDFTR-PCGSDFTR MSGSDPTR-PDGSDPTR-PCGSTPTR
Academic Year	2016-17
Valid entry routes	MSc, PgDip, PgCert
Exit routes	MSc, PgDip, PgCert
Mode of delivery	Full time & Part time
Location of Study	Shrivenham
School(s)	Cranfield Defence and Security
Theme	N/A
Centre	Centre for Defence Engineering
Awarding Body	Cranfield University
Teaching Institution	Cranfield University
Admissions body	Cranfield University
Entry requirements	Standard University entry requirements
UK Qualifications Framework Level	QAA FHEQ level 7 (Masters)
Benchmark Statement(s)	N/A
Registration Period(s) available	Up to 1 year Full Time; Part Time: MSc 3 Years, PgDip and PgCert 2 Years,
Course Start Month(s)	September

Institutions delivering the course

This course is delivered by Centre for Defence Engineering at Cranfield Defence and Security, where the research interests include Vehicle Dynamics – Ride and Handling of Military Vehicles, Vehicle Protection, Vehicle Design – including the development of parametric modelling tools, hybrid military vehicles, modelling of threat mechanisms for vehicles, ground interaction of military vehicles – terramechanics, dynamics of tracked vehicles, integration of weapon systems on military vehicle, internal, external and terminal ballistics, gun design and survivability.

Cranfield University interacts with the following institutions and in the following ways:

Teaching and assessment is also provided by the Department of Informatics and Systems Engineering at Cranfield Defence and Security.

Cranfield University remains fully responsible for the quality of the delivery of the course.

Accreditation by Public, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)

This course is accredited formally by the Institute of Mechanical Engineers until 2015/16.

2. What are the aims of the course?

Cranfield University offers this programme in order to:

- Provide graduates with the technical qualities, transferrable skills and independent learning ability necessary to make them effective in organisations that design, develop, procure or operate military vehicles and gun systems.
- Postgraduate Diploma and Postgraduate Certificate exit routes are provided for students who
 wish to access only parts of the course provided.

This programme is intended for the following range of students:

Engineers, Managers and Military Officers/Non-commissioned Officers working in

- Weapon systems design, development and procurement
- Military vehicle design, development and procurement
- Weapons and vehicle systems engineering and integration

3. What should students expect to achieve in completing the course?

Award intended learning outcomes (ILOs) (skills and knowledge).

Note GSD students will concentrate on Weapon subjects, while MVT students will concentrate on Vehicle topics.

A. Postgraduate Certificate in Military Vehicle Technology/Gun Systems Design

In completing this course, and achieving the associated award, a diligent student should be able to:

- ILO 1. Demonstrate a comprehensive understanding of military vehicles and/or gun systems and be able to critically assess the mechanical design using appropriate methods:
- ILO 2. Explain the engineering and physical limitations to the performance of gun or vehicle systems in relation to their design;

- ILO 3. Apply the appropriate techniques and tools to analyse and evaluate mechanical system problems, propose solutions and implement them demonstrating a systematic approach and the use of engineering judgement;
- ILO 4. Demonstrate a practical and sound engineering approach to problem solving.

B. Postgraduate Diploma in Military Vehicle Technology/Gun Systems Design

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 5. Demonstrate knowledge of modelling and simulation of gun or vehicle components and systems using computer-based techniques: for example; ballistics, recoil, weapon control, vehicle ride, performance and handling;
- ILO 6. Critically analyse and evaluate the impact of new gun or vehicle technology on changes and developments in, and to the threat;
- ILO 7. Solve problems using a system approach, allowing the vehicle student to gain an understanding of the weapon system (and its impact on the vehicle), and the gun student to demonstrate an appreciation of vehicle design and therefore the implications for the integration of the weapon system onto a platform;
- ILO 8. Demonstrate the ability to learn independently, work effectively under time pressure and present their results, proposals and conclusions in written and oral form:
- ILO 9. Critically appraise technical and commercial literature and select appropriate technologies and methods to suit particular problems and projects;
- ILO 10. Demonstrate the ability to critically assess their own technical performance and that of others.

C. MSc

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

ILO 11. Demonstrate self-direction and originality in developing and delivering successful independent research to include informed judgements regarding incomplete and/or fuzzy data, and then being able to define problems, propose suitable hypotheses and complete the appropriate analysis in order to draw the required conclusions.

4. How is the course taught?

Lectures, tutorials and practical exercises are used to develop the necessary knowledge. Formal feedback on assessed assignments enhances the learning process and informal feedback on non-assessed individual or group exercises is used.

Supervision is provided for projects, which provides guidance for the students taking the MSc. Students will be supported in their learning and personal development by:

The use of the 'Virtual Learning Environment' (VLE) where additional resources will be added to complement those used directly in the taught modules

The use of 'Research and Briefing' exercises where students study a topic while undertaking one of the modules and then presenting the topic back to the group

Discussion sessions regarding new technology and developments of current military equipment Participation on the modules of serving Military Officers, who are able to raise current issues and comment on the latest developments

5. What do students need to achieve in order to graduate?

Notwithstanding University Regulations and the authorities and powers exercised by examiners, students will normally need to demonstrate achievement in the elements of the course, as laid out in Section 7. Courses are structured through the accumulation of credit, where 1 credit represents 10 notional learning hours.

In brief, students will normally need to achieve the following in order to be awarded the qualifications:

Note: The numbers used throughout the following tables can be found in the Course Module table that follow in Section 6

A. Postgraduate Certificate in Gun Systems Design

The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 5, 6	20
ELECTIVE MODULES	
Modules to make up 40 credits, excluding modules 7 or 10	40
TOTAL:	60

B. Postgraduate Diploma in Gun Systems Design

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 1, 2 Modules 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 11, 12, 15 and 19 Module 9	0 90 20
ELECTIVE MODULES	
Modules to make up 10 credits	10
TOTAL:	120

C. MSc in Gun System Design

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 1, 2 Modules 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 11, 12, 15 and 19 Module 9 Project	0 90 20 80
ELECTIVE MODULES	
Modules to make up 10 credits	10
TOTAL:	200

D. Postgraduate Certificate in Military Vehicle Technology

The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 7 or 10 Plus one of modules 11, 14, 15 or 16	20 10
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Modules to make up 30 credits, excluding module 5	30
TOTAL:	60

E. Postgraduate Diploma in Military Vehicle Technology

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 1, 2 Modules 3, 4, 6, 11,15 and 19 Module 7 and 10	0 60 40
ELECTIVE MODULES	
Modules to make up 20 credits	20
TOTAL:	120

F. MSc in Gun Military Vehicle Technology

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Modules 1, 2	0
Modules 3, 4, 6, 11, 15 and 19	60
Module 7 and 10	40
Project	80
ELECTIVE MODULES	
Modules to make up 20 credits	20
TOTAL:	200

If a student does not meet the required standards for the award, the examiners for the programme may decide to offer a lower award associated with the programme, providing that a lower exit award exists and the student meets the requirements of that lower award.

Pass Criteria

The University operates standard pass criteria which can be found in the Senate Handbook on Assessment Rules.

In order to achieve your award, you are required to achieve:

- An overall average mark of ≥50%;
- An average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessment;
- All assessments need to be completed and the minimum mark attained: no more than one
 failure to complete an assessment (as defined in Section 2.3) will be permitted throughout
 the course of your studies (Please note that the board of examiners does not have
 discretion to overrule this limit, but can refer a case to Senate's Education Committee);^{1 2}
- For Taught Assessments, the minimum mark for each individual taught assessment on the first attempt for the significant majority of the taught assessments, noting that:
 - if you fail to attain the minimum mark for <u>up to 30 learning credits</u>, you will be permitted to re-take all of those assessments (except for circumstances where a resit award capped at 50% would be insufficient to achieve an overall average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessments);
 - o if, having failed to attain the minimum mark for 30 learning credits, you fail to obtain the minimum mark for any additional learning credits over the course of your studies you will be disqualified from the right to re-take the assessments: this will normally result in intended award failure. (Please note the board of examiners may at its discretion overrule this limit, but this is not an automatic right);
 - it is <u>not</u> permissible for you to fail an elective module and then proceed to take a different elective module in its place.
- For Substantial pieces of assessment (corresponding to ≥40 credits, which are not part of the taught assessment average), the pass mark of ≥50% (where they exist);
- For the thesis, a mark of ≥50% in order to receive a pass (where it exists).

6. How is the course structured?

Please see the course structure document for details on the individual elements of the course.

Full-time students register for the MSc course in September and are expected to complete the course within a maximum of 13 months calendar months. PgCert and PgDip students will be shorter than this depending on module choice; typically 12-15 weeks for PgCert and 26 weeks for PgDip.

This course is also offered on a part-time basis. Students have up to 3 years (MSc) to complete the MSc, part-time PgDip and PgCert students have up to 2 years.

For students who were registered before 1 August 2015, the requirement to obtain a minimum mark for a taught assessment will not apply for taught assessment taken before 31 August 2015 (unless the assessment was designated as a "key assessment" under the previous Assessment Rules).

Providing the minimum mark is met, a mark of 40-49% will be automatically compensated if a student's overall average taught assessment mark (including the failed assessment) is greater than 50%. Students are advised, however, that they retain the right to re-take an assessment with a mark of <40% (but should note that a re-take attempt will be capped at 50%), as long as they haven't failed more than 30 credits. At the discretion of the Board of Examiners or by Board of Examiners Chair's Actions a student may be permitted a re-take attempt of modules in the range of 40-49% only if the average mark of their other taught modules would not allow them to qualify for their award (<50%).

Course modules

The following modules outline all parts of the programme leading to an MSc. Other awards associated with the course include some or all of these modules.

								Calendar		Assessment							
				Visiting		_	-			_	Independent Assessment		Multi-part Assessment			Submission dates	
Module Number	Module code	Title	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Vi Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre- course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% or 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date [§]	Assessment / Exam Retake date
1	R- ESD- IS	Introductory Studies (IS)	30		0	N	N/A	06/09/16	09/09/16	N/A	AO	100				N/A	N/A
2	R- ESD- CAD	Solid Modelling and CAD (CAD)	30		0	N	N/A	12/09/16	16/09/16	N/A	AO	100				N/A	N/A
3	R- ESD- MSC	Modelling Simulation and Control (MSC)	35		10	N	N/A	19/09/16	23/09/16	40	ICW	100				03/10/16 FT 17/10/16 PT	By individual arrangement

³ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

⁵ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

⁶ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually.

⁷ For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

⁸ Failure to submit an element of a **multi-part assessment** will **not** require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then **all** elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

⁹ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

								Calendar						Assess	ssessment					
				isiting		_	Pre-			ي		ependent sessment		part Asse	ssment	Submis	ssion dates			
Module Number	Module code	Title	Contact hours³	Total hours delivered by Visiting Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pr course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark 5 - 40% or 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date			
4	R- ESD- FE	Finite Elements in Engineering (FEE)	35	0	10	N	N/A	26/09/16	30/09/16	40	ICW	100				31/10/16 FT 14/11/16 PT	By individual arrangement			
5	R- ESD- FB	Fundamentals of Ballistics (FoB)	33	0	10	N	N/A	03/10/16	07/10/16	40 40	ICW EX	35 65				14/11/16 FT 12/12/16 PT 12/12/16	By individual arrangement (Block 2)			
6	R- ESD- WST	Weapon Systems Technology (WST)	30	0	10	N	N/A	10/10/16	14/10/16	40	ICW	100				16/01/17 FT 13/02/17 PT	By individual arrangement			
7	R- ESD- MVD	Military Vehicle Dynamics (MVD)	70	0	20	N	N/A	24/10/16	04/11/16	40 40	ICW EX	50 50				23/01/17 FT 20/02/17 PT 14/12/16	By individual arrangement (Block 2)			
8	R- ESD- ED	Element Design (ED)	35	0	10	N	N/A	24/10/16	28/10/16	40	ICW	100				20/03/17 FT 18/04/17 PT	By individual arrangement			
9	R- ESD- GSD	Gun Systems Design (GSD)	70	0	20	N	N/A	14/11/16	25/11/16	40	ICW	100				06/03/17 FT 03/04/17 PT	By individual arrangement			
10	R- ESD- MVP	Military Vehicle Propulsion (MVP)	70	0	20	N	N/A	14/11/16	25/11/16	40	ICW	100				13/02/17 FT 13/03/17 PT	By individual arrangement			
11	R- ESD- SURV	Survivability (Surv)	35	0	10	N	N/A	28/11/16	02/12/16	40	ICW	100				27/02/17 FT 27/03/17 PT	By individual arrangement			

								Calendar						Assess	ment		
				Visiting		_	Pre-			ي		ependent sessment	Multi-	part Asse	ssment	Submis	ssion dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Contact hours³	Total hours delivered by V Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pr course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark $^{\rm s}$ - 40% or 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment8	Assessment Submission and/or exam date [§]	Assessment / Exam Retake date
12	R- ESD- MVPD	Military Vehicle Propulsion and Dynamics (MVD&P)	32	0	10	N	N/A	09/01/17	13/01/17	40	ICW	100				20/03/17	By individual arrangement
13	R- MAA- GW	Guided Weapons (GW)	27	0	10	Y	N/A	16/01/17	20/01/17	40	ICW	100				20/03/17	By individual arrangement
14	R- ESD- UMVS	Uninhabited Military Vehicle Systems (UMVS)	35	0	10	N	N/A	23/01/17	27/01/17	40	ICW	100				10/04/17	By individual arrangement
15	R- ESD- VSI	Vehicle Systems Integration (VSI)	32	0	10	N	N/A	30/01/17	03/02/17	40	ICW	100				03/04/17 FT 01/05/17 PT	By individual arrangement
16	R- ESD- RSE	Reliability and Systems Effectiveness (RSE)	31	0	10	N	N/A	06/02/17	10/02/17	40	ICW	100				27/03/17	By individual arrangement
17	R- EOS- RMP	Rocket Motors and Propellants (RMP)	22	0	10	Υ	N/A	20/02/17	24/02/17	40	EX	100				20/03/17	By individual arrangement
18	R- ESD- LWD	Light Weapon Design(LWD)	34	0	10	N	N/A	27/02/17	03/03/17	40 40	ICW EX	20 80				03/04/17 ??/03/17	By individual arrangement

								Calendar						Assess	ment		
				Visiting			ф			_		ependent sessment	Multi-	part Asse	ssment	Submi	ssion dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Vi Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared? Y/N	Module Start Date (eg Pre course task)	'Residential' Start Date	'Residential' End Date	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% or 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assesments 7 (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
19	R- ESD- AFVW S	Armoured Fighting Vehicle and Weapon Systems Study (AFVWSS)	55	0	10	N	N/A	11/07/17	20/07/17	40	ICW	100				22/07/17	By individual arrangement
20	R- ESD- DISS	Dissertation	10	0	80	N	n/a	N/A	N/A	50	THESI S	100				11/07/17	By individual arrangement

Please list all modules that are shared with another existing course.

Module code	Module title	Course that owns the module	Course(s)/programme(s) that share the module
R-EOS-RMP	Rocket Motors and Propellants	MSc Explosives Ordnance Engineering	MSc Explosives Ordnance Engineering
R-MAA-GW	Guided Weapons	MSc Military Aerospace and Airworthiness	MSc Military Aerospace and Airworthiness
R-ESD-RSE	Reliability and System Effectiveness	MSc Military Vehicle Technology	MSc System Engineering for Defence Capability

7. How are the ILOs assessed?

The following assessment types are utilised:

Students will undertake a range of examinations, assessed coursework and project work. The mix of coursework and examinations will depend on the modules undertaken. Coursework (and to some extent examinations) will cover a range of question styles, including descriptive, technical discussions, analysis of engineering problems, and simulation of systems using computer aided engineering tools. In the final module (PgDip and MSc) students have to present their findings and defend their solution to a system problem. In addition to the above, the MSc students are also assessed in their ability to orally present and defend the findings of their project in a viva voce examination.

Assessment and ILO Mapping

Complete the grid below by inserting in the boxes which assessments from the modules directly assess the Award ILOs.

(Module numbers should correspond with those used in the Course module table above.)

For Example:

Award ILOs Module									
No.	ILO 1.	ILO 2.	ILO 3.	ILO 4.	ILO 5.	ILO 6.	ILO 7.	ILO 8.	
98	ICW				EX	EX	ICW		
99	ICW1		ICW1	ICW2					

A. Postgraduate Certificate

Award ILOs Module No.					

Award ILOs Module No.				

B. Postgraduate Diploma

In addition to those outlined above, the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs Module No.					

C. MSc

In addition to those outlined above, the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs Module No.					

<u>CROSS-MODULAR ASSESSMENT</u> (including any assessment which rests outside an individual module)

Title	Modules Covered	Assessment	
		Туре	Weight (%)

8. How will the University assure the quality of the provision?

New course proposals are reviewed by a Course Validation Panel, comprising at least the following membership: normally one subject matter expert external to the School or University, at least 3 academic staff not associated with the proposal. The Panel may include 1 member of professional staff. Panels are supported by an appropriately trained Secretary who acts as advisor to the Panel. Proposals are reviewed in line with the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA) Quality Code, in particular Chapter B1 (Programme Design and Approval) and in the case of partnership arrangements in accordance with Chapter B10 (Managing Higher Education with Others). New courses are ultimately approved by the University's Education Committee, on behalf of Senate.

Course changes are approved by the School's Director of Education on behalf of Education Committee and Senate. Significant changes to a course will be referred to a Course Review Panel at the discretion of the Director of Education.

The University has in place regular monitoring procedures for quality assurance including an Annual Reflective Review for each course and an in depth 6 year review of each School's (total) educational provision known as the Senate Review. For collaborative partnerships, in addition to the Annual Reflective Review there is an Annual Operating Statement and a 5 year review known as a Focussed Review which looks at each course in depth. In addition occasional site inspection visits are made.

Each course has at least one External Examiner who monitors all aspects of the assessment process. This is in line with the guidance provided by the QAA particularly in Chapter B7 (External Examining) which emphasises that external examining is one of the principal means for maintaining UK threshold academic standards within autonomous higher education institutions.

Each course has a formally constituted Examination Board, which includes the External Examiner, and which is responsible for ensuring that awards are made within the Regulations of the University and that students are made awards on the basis of meeting the specified Intended Learning Outcomes of a course at the appropriate standard.

Each course has a formally constituted Course Committee which meets at least twice a year to discuss, inter alia, programme design and planning, the student experience (including feedback) and student progress.

Each course has an Industry Advisory Panel (or similar) which meets at least once a year to engage with external stakeholders on curriculum design and currency of course content.

Student feedback both qualitative and quantitative is collected for each module studied. In addition students are invited to participate in the University's annual New Student Survey and Student Satisfaction Survey along with the annual national Postgraduate Taught Student Experience Survey. The results of all feedback are considered by the Course Committee and additionally, in respect of the University and national surveys, issues of quality are considered by and acted on where appropriate by the Education Committee, Senate, School and University Executives.

9. What opportunities are graduates likely to have on completing the course?

Invariably, students are sponsored on the course by their employer. The main reason for the sponsor providing this support is to ensure they (the students) are equipped to undertake senior positions within weapon or vehicle engineering teams in the organisation. This may be within procurement teams for ministry sponsored students or system design and development teams for industrially sponsored students.

COURSE SPECIFICATION



Cranfield University: Course Specifications

Course specifications outline the content and structure of a course leading to an award of Cranfield University. This version of the course specification has been approved by Education Committee and every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information.

COURSE TITLE: MSc in Welding Engineering

Date of first publication/latest revision: June 2016

1. What is the course?

Course information

Course Title	MSc in Welding Engineering
Course code	MSWEEFTC, MSWEEPTC, PDWEEFTC, PDWEEPTC, PCWEEFTC
Academic Year	2016/17
Valid entry routes	MSc, PgDip, PgCert
Additional exit routes	Not Applicable
Mode of delivery	Full-time, Part-time
Location of Study	Cranfield University
School(s)	School of Aerospace, Transport and Manufacturing
Theme	Manufacturing
Centre	Welding Engineer and Laser Processing Centre
Course Director	Dr Paul Colegrove
Awarding Body	Cranfield University
Teaching Institution	Cranfield University
Admissions body	Cranfield University
Entry requirements	Standard University entry requirements
UK Qualifications Framework Level	QAA FHEQ Level 7 (Masters)
Benchmark Statement(s)	N/A
Registration Period(s) available	One year full-time, two-five years part-time
Course Start Month(s)	Full-time: October. Part-time: throughout the year

Institutions delivering the course

This course is delivered by the School of Aerospace, Transport and Manufacturing, Manufacturing Theme, Welding Engineer and Laser Processing Centre where the research interests include:

- Pipeline Welding
- Aerospace Welding
- Hyperbaric Welding
- Laser Micro-Joining
- High Power Laser Welding
- Hybrid Laser/Arc Welding
- Additive Manufacture
- Weld Repair and Modelling
- Friction-based Welding

Cranfield University interacts with the following institutions and in the following ways:

- students may undertake their research and/or project work off campus, or at another institution if suitable
- some teaching is provided by external agencies, or jointly with other institutions

Cranfield University remains fully responsible for the quality of the delivery of the course.

Accreditation by Public, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs)

This course is accredited formally by Institution of Mechanical Engineers (IMechE) up to and including Academic year 2019-20, Institution of Engineering and Technology (IET) up to and including Academic year 2019-20, Royal Aeronautical Society (RAeS) up to and including Academic year 2019-20, Institute of Materials, Minerals and Mining (IOM3) up to and including Academic year 2018-19 and The Welding Institute (TWI) up to and including Academic year 2017-18.

This qualification may also contribute to the assessment of candidates applying via the Alternative Route to study for the International Welding Engineer/Technologist/Specialist Diploma available through TWI.

2. What are the aims of the course?

Cranfield University offers the MSc course in order to deliver graduates who are able to hold positions of significant engineering responsibility in the wide range of organisations using welding and joining technologies. The graduates will be qualified to act as responsible persons as defined by European and International quality standards, will have met a major part of the requirements for membership of the appropriate professional organisations, and will have experience and skills in the management of research and development projects. The MSc course will prepare graduates for positions of management responsibility, in the operation of welding manufacturing activities, and in acting as their company's representative to ensure that fabricated products meet quality and safety standards.

Postgraduate Diploma (PgDip) and Postgraduate Certificate (PgCert) exit routes are provided for students who wish to access only part of the course provided.

This programme is intended for the following range of students:

Students with a background in Engineering, Materials Science as well as those from an industrial background who are currently working as a Welding Engineer.

3. What should students expect to achieve in completing the course?

Award intended learning outcomes (ILOs) (skills and knowledge).

A. Postgraduate Certificate

In completing this course, and achieving the associated award, a diligent student should be able to:

- ILO 1. Demonstrate a critical awareness of the scientific principles and industrial application of several areas of welding engineering, selected from the effect of welding on materials, welding processes, the design and analysis of welded structures, and the management of weld quality by the application of codes and standards.
- ILO 2. Extract data on welding engineering from a wide range of sources, including hard copy, electronic databases and internet based sources.
- ILO 3. Evaluate the quality data, and determine its relevance in research and industrial contexts.
- ILO 4. Use independent learning skills to continuously advance their knowledge and understanding of welding engineering.
- ILO 5. Select suitable material, welding processes and weld design for a particular application.
- ILO 6. Demonstrate a critical awareness of the scientific principles and industrial application of several areas of welding engineering, selected from the effect of welding on materials, welding processes, the design and analysis of welded structures, and the management of weld quality by the application of codes and standards.
- ILO 7. Appreciate the requirements of health and safety legislation in relation to welding, and demonstrate knowledge of National, European and International standards relating to quality assurance in welding.
- ILO 8. Evaluate welding procedures, materials and methods to ensure fitness for purpose and compliance with National and International standards in specific areas of welding technology.
- ILO 9. Manage the operation of welding and fabrication systems.

B. Postgraduate Diploma

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

- ILO 10. Use conceptual thinking to critically evaluate previous and current research, to reach logical conclusions on the basis of their analysis of research data, to determine the potential for industrial application of research data, and to analyse commercial significance.
- ILO 11. Plan, organise, undertake, and analyse research and industrial projects to increase knowledge and understanding of welding engineering, and to evaluate the application of welding technology in industrial applications.
- ILO 12. Develop initiatives in proposing new developments, and in solving welding technology problems, both individually and as part of a team (full-time students only).
- ILO 13. Communicate effectively results of developments, proposals and analyses to specialist and non-specialist audiences, both orally (Full time students only) and in writing.

C. MSc

ILO 14. Demonstrate the ability to plan and manage research projects at the cutting edge of welding technology, show self-direction in the performance and analysis of welding research, and show on-going interest in advancing their knowledge and skills.

In addition to the intended learning outcomes outlined above, a diligent student would also be expected to:

4. How is the course taught?

The Welding Engineering course is unique in its use of flexible learning which is used for four of the seven modules and involves providing the students a set of notes which contains a summary of the different topics covered in the course as well as additional readings for the students to refer to. The topics contain a series of Self-Assessment Questions (SAQs) which are used as a form of formative assessment, to help the students reflect on what they have learned, as well as providing them with problems that can aid learning. In delivering this material, the full-time students have a one to two hour tutorial each day over a period of two weeks. Before each tutorial session, it is expected that the students will have read through the tutorial material and attempted all the SAQs. The part-time students go through the material in their own time at home and are provided answers to the questions, once they have provided evidence of having made an attempt.

In addition to the teaching methods outlined students will be supported in their learning and personal development by:

- Comprehensive course materials are provided, as well as a web-site using the Blackboard™
 Virtual Learning Environment (VLE).
- Students are guided through the use of study texts, and use of interactive exercises.
- Full-time students have face to face discussions.

5. What do students need to achieve in order to graduate?

Notwithstanding University Regulations and the authorities and powers exercised by examiners, students will normally need to demonstrate achievement in the elements of the course, as laid out in Section 7. Courses are structured through the accumulation of credit, where 1 credit represents 10 notional learning hours.

In brief, students will normally need to achieve the following in order to be awarded the qualifications:

A. Postgraduate Certificate

The accumulation of 60 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Design Project for PgCert students (9c)	10
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
Taught modules three from 2, 4, 5, 7 and either 3 or 6 and 8	50
RECOMMENDED MODULE	
Introduction	0
TOTAL:	60

B. Postgraduate Diploma

The accumulation of 120 credits (or more) through the assessment of taught modules as detailed below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	

Taught modules 2-8 Group Project for full-time students (9a) or Design Project for part-time	80
students (9b)	40
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
None	
RECOMMENDED MODULE	
Introduction	0
TOTAL:	120

C. MSc

In addition to the requirement for the Postgraduate Diploma outlined above, students must successfully complete the thesis. An MSc will be awarded on successful completion of 200 credits as outlined below:

Description	Credits
COMPULSORY MODULES:	
Taught Modules 2-8 Group Project for full-time students (9a) or Design Project for part-time students (9b) Individual Research Project (10)	80 40 80
ELECTIVE MODULES:	
None	
RECOMMENDED MODULE	
Introduction	0
TOTAL:	200

If a student does not meet the required standards for the award, the examiners for the programme may decide to offer a lower award associated with the programme, providing that a lower exit award exists and the student meets the requirements of that lower award.

Pass Criteria

The University operates standard pass criteria which can be found in the Senate Handbook on Assessment Rules.

In order to achieve your award, you are required to achieve:

- An overall average mark of ≥50%;
- An average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessment;
- All assessments need to be completed and the minimum mark attained: no more than one
 failure to complete an assessment (as defined in Section 2.3) will be permitted throughout
 the course of your studies (Please note that the board of examiners does not have
 discretion to overrule this limit, but can refer a case to Senate's Education Committee);^{1 2}

For students who were registered before 1 August 2015, the requirement to obtain a minimum mark for a taught assessment will not apply for taught assessment taken before 31 August 2015 (unless the assessment was designated as a "key assessment" under the previous Assessment Rules).

Providing the minimum mark is met, a mark of 40-49% will be automatically compensated if a student's overall average taught assessment mark (including the failed assessment) is greater than 50%. Students are advised, however, that they retain the right to re-take an assessment with a mark of <40% (but should note that a re-take attempt will be capped at 50%), as long as they haven't failed more than 30 credits. At the discretion of the Board of Examiners or by Board of Examiners Chair's Actions a student may be permitted a re-take attempt of modules in the range of 40-49% only if the average mark of their other taught modules would not allow them to qualify for their award (<50%).

- **For Taught Assessments**, the minimum mark for each individual taught assessment <u>on the first attempt</u> for the significant majority of the taught assessments, noting that:
 - if you fail to attain the minimum mark for <u>up to 30 learning credits</u>, you will be permitted to re-take all of those assessments (except for circumstances where a resit award capped at 50% would be insufficient to achieve an overall average mark of ≥50% across the taught assessments);
 - o if, having failed to attain the minimum mark for 30 learning credits, you fail to obtain the minimum mark for <u>any additional learning credits</u> over the course of your studies you will be disqualified from the right to re-take the assessments: this will normally result in intended award failure. (Please note the board of examiners may at its discretion overrule this limit, but this is not an automatic right);
 - it is <u>not</u> permissible for you to fail an elective module and then proceed to take a different elective module in its place.
- For Substantial pieces of assessment (corresponding to ≥40 credits, which are not part of the taught assessment average), the pass mark of ≥50% (where they exist);
- For the thesis, a mark of ≥50% in order to receive a pass (where it exists).

6. How is the course structured?

Full-time MSc students register for the course in October and are expected to complete the course within 11 calendar months. The technical modules and design project are delivered between October and April, thereafter the full-time students undertake an individual research project. Both taught and flexible learning modules are taught over two weeks. The second week for the taught modules is largely free of structured teaching to allow time for more independent learning and reflection.

Full-time PgDip students register for the course in October and are expected to complete the course within 7 calendar months. The technical modules and design project are delivered between October and April.

Full-time PgCert students register for the course in October and are expected to complete the course within 7 calendar months. The technical modules and design project are delivered between October and April.

The courses are also offered on a part-time basis. The overall duration of the part-time course would normally be 2-3 years; the maximum overall duration normally permitted will be 5 years. Both taught and flexible learning modules are taught over two weeks. Week's two to four of the taught modules are largely free of structured teaching to allow time for more independent learning and reflection.

Course modules

The following modules outline all parts of the programme leading to an MSc. Other awards associated with the course include some or all of these modules.

					βι				Calenda	ar					Assessm	nent		
			Calendar N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N			Independent Assessment			Multi-	oart Assessr		Submission dates						
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers ⁴	Credits	Is the module shared?`	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date	=	Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
1	I-MAT- INWK	Introduction	Dr Sue Impey	26		0	Υ		03/10/16	06/10/16	n/a	AO	n/a				n/a	
2	I-WEE- WPE	Welding Processes and Equipment [FL]	Dr Paul Colegrove	24		10	N		31/10/16	11/11/16	40	EX	100				18/11/16	Manufacturing resit exams will be during week commencing 18/09/17
3	I-WEE- A1108	Welding Systems and Research Methods [Conv]	Dr Paul Colegrove	26		20	N		21/11/16	25/11/16	40	ICW	100				16/12/16 (f-t) 27/03/17	Re-assessment date to be set by agreement of Course Director

³ Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice

Visiting Lecturer = a member of staff (with RTS) but not on a permanent contract (does not include those acting as occasional guest speakers)

⁵ A mark of 50% is required to pass the assessment however, where the stated minimum mark is 40%, a mark of 40-49% may be compensated by good performance in other modules providing that the overall average is ≥50%. This will be at the Board of Examiners discretion.

⁶ For **independent assessments** please record type and weighting of each separate piece of assessment individually.

⁷ For **multi-part assessments** please record the overall weighting of module which should be 100%.

⁸ Failure to submit an element of a **multi-part assessment** will **not** require remedial action if the absence of the marks for the assignment still results in a pass for the assessment (whether 40 or 50% as appropriate). If, however, the absence of marks fails to meet the minimum mark for the module then **all** elements of the assessment must be re-taken.

⁹ Please ensure you include submission dates for both FT and PT students and that you give details of the submission date for each individual element of a multi-part assessment.

					βι				Calenda	ar					Assessm	ent		
					v Visiting		ΝX		a)		or or		endent ssment	Multi-part Assessment			Submission dates	
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers 4	Credits	Is the module shared? \	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date		Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% or 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments 7(100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment ⁸	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date
																	(p-t)†	and Module Leader as/when required.
4	I-WEE- A1101	Design of Welded Structures [FL]	Dr Paul Colegrove	20 f- t/ 0 p-t		10	Y		17/10/16	16/11/16 †	40	EX	100				06/01/17	Manufacturing resit exams will be during week commencing 18/09/17
5	I-WEE- A1103	Welding Metallurgy [FL]	Dr Supriyo Ganguly	20		10	N		23/01/17	03/02/17 †	40	EX	100				08/02/17	Manufacturing resit exams will be during week commencing 18/09/17
6	I-WEE- A1109	Introduction to Materials for Welding Engineering [Conv]	Dr Supriyo Ganguly	34.5		10	Ν		09/10/16	14/10/16 †	40	EX	100				04/01/17	Manufacturing resit exams will be during week commencing 18/09/17
7	I-WEE- A1102	Management of Weld Quality [FL]	Adrian Addison	20		10	N		09/01/17	13/01/17 †	40	ICW	100				20/01/17 (f-t) 08/05/17 † (p-t)	Re-assessment date to be set by agreement of Course Director and Module Leader as/when required.
8	I-WEE-	Advanced Welding	Dr Wojciech	32		10	Υ		28/11/16	02/12/16 †	40	EX	100				06/02/17	Manufacturing resit exams will

					βι				Calenda	ar		-			Assessm	nent				
					Visiting		ΥN		a)		or or		Independent Assessment					Assessment		nission dates
Module Number	Module code	Title	Module Leader	Contact hours ³	Total hours delivered by Lecturers 4	Credits	Is the module shared? \	Module Start Date (eg Pre-course task)	'Residential' Start Date		Minimum Mark ⁵ - 40% or 50%	Type of Assessment	Weighting within module6 (%) of Independent assessments	Weighting within module of multi-part assessments ⁷ (100%)	Type of Assessment	Weighting of individual elements of multi-part assessment8	Assessment Submission and/or exam date ⁹	Assessment / Exam Retake date		
	A1110	Processes [Conv]	Suder															be during week commencing 18/09/17		
9a	I-WEE- GRPP	Group Project for full- time students	Dr David Ayre	20		40	N		08/02/17	28/04/17				80 GCW	GPRES GPROJ	16 64	28/04/17			
														20 ICW	ICW Observed Behaviour	10 10				
9b	I-WEE- DP	Design Project for part-time students	Dr Paul Colegrove	20		40	N		08/05/17 †	02/10/17 †		ICW	100				02/10/17			
9c	I-WEE- DPC	Design Project for PgCert students	Dr Paul Colegrove	10		10	N		08/02/17 †	28/04/17 †	40	ICW	100				28/04/17 †	Re-assessment date to be set by agreement of Course Director and Module Leader as/when required.		
10	I-WEE- THESIS	Individual Research Project	Dr Yuchun Xu	20		80	N		01/05/17 †	08/09/17 †		THESIS OR	90 10				08/09/17 †			

^{*} Please note that all contact hours are indicative and represent scheduled teaching, which is subject to minor changes and variation at short notice.

[†] Part time students have flexibility with dates in these components [FL]=flexible learning module [Conv]=conventionally taught module

Please list all modules that are shared with another existing course.

Module code	Module title	Course that owns the module	Course(s)/programme(s) that share the module
I-MAT-INWK	Introduction	Manufacturing Technology and Materials Programme	Applied Nanotechnology, Advanced Materials, Aerospace Materials
I-WEE-A1101	Design of Welded Structures	Welding Engineering	Renewable Energy Marine Structures EngD
I-WEE-A1110	Advanced Welding Processing	Welding Engineering	Manufacturing Technology and Management, Aerospace Manufacturing, Renewable Energy Marine Structures EngD

7. How are the ILOs assessed?

The following assessment types are utilised:

Students can expect to have either examinations or assessment by submitted work and elements of assessment by presentation or viva.

This approach has been adopted in order to ensure that students demonstrate their understanding through a wide range of learning techniques, but are not disadvantaged through any one approach.

Assessment and ILO Mapping

Complete the grid below by inserting in the boxes which assessments from the modules directly assess the Award ILOs.

(Module numbers should correspond with those used in the Course module table above.)

A. Postgraduate Certificate

Award ILOs Module No.	ILO 1.	ILO 2.	ILO 3.	ILO 4.	ILO 5.	ILO 6.	ILO 7.	ILO 8.	ILO 9.
1									
2	EX				EX	EX	EX		
3	ICW	ICW	ICW	ICW					
4	EX				EX	EX	EX		
5	EX				EX	EX			
6	EX				EX	EX			
7	ICW					ICW	ICW	ICW	ICW
8	EX				EX	EX	EX		
9c	ICW	ICW	ICW	ICW		ICW			

B. Postgraduate Diploma

In addition to those outlined above, the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs Module No.	ILO 1.	LO 2.	LO 3.	LO 4.	ILO 5.	LO 6.	ILO 7.	LO 8.	LO 9.	ILO 10.	ILO 11.	ILO 12.	LO 13.
9a	GPROJ	GPROJ	GPROJ	GPROJ		GPROJ				GPROJ	GPROJ	GPROJ	GPROJ GPRES
9b	ICW	ICW	ICW	ICW		ICW				ICW	ICW	ICW*	ICW*

^{*} Note that not all aspects of the learning outcome apply to part-time students who don't participate in group work or do an oral presentation.

C. MSc

In addition to those outlined above, the Award intended learning outcomes are assessed by the following module assessments:

Award ILOs Module No.	ILO 1.	ILO 2.	ILO 3.	ILO 4.	ILO 5.	ILO 6.	ILO 7.	ILO 8.	ILO 9.	ILO 10.	ILO 11.	ILO 12.	ILO 13.	ILO 14.
10	THESIS	THESIS	THESIS	THESIS		THESIS				THESIS	THESIS	THESIS	THESIS OR	THESIS

<u>CROSS-MODULAR ASSESSMENT</u> (including any assessment which rests outside an individual module)

Title	Modules Covered	Assessment	
		Туре	Weight (%)

8. How will the University assure the quality of the provision?

New course proposals are reviewed by a Course Validation Panel, comprising at least the following membership: normally one subject matter expert external to the School or University, at least 3 academic staff not associated with the proposal. The Panel may include 1 member of professional staff. Panels are supported by an appropriately trained Secretary who acts as advisor to the Panel. Proposals are reviewed in line with the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA) Quality Code, in particular Chapter B1 (Programme Design and Approval) and in the case of partnership arrangements in accordance with Chapter B10 (Managing Higher Education with Others). New courses are ultimately approved by the University's Education Committee, on behalf of Senate.

Course changes are approved by the School's Director of Education on behalf of Education Committee and Senate. Significant changes to a course will be referred to a Course Review Panel at the discretion of the Director of Education.

The University has in place regular monitoring procedures for quality assurance including an Annual Reflective Review for each course and an in depth 6 year review of each School's (total) educational provision known as the Senate Review. For collaborative partnerships, in addition to the Annual Reflective Review there is an Annual Operating Statement and a 5 year review known as a Focussed Review which looks at each course in depth. In addition occasional site inspection visits are made.

Each course has at least one External Examiner who monitors all aspects of the assessment process. This is in line with the guidance provided by the QAA particularly in Chapter B7 (External Examining) which emphasises that external examining is one of the principal means for maintaining UK threshold academic standards within autonomous higher education institutions.

Each course has a formally constituted Examination Board, which includes the External Examiner, and which is responsible for ensuring that awards are made within the Regulations of the University and that students are made awards on the basis of meeting the specified Intended Learning Outcomes of a course at the appropriate standard.

Each course has a formally constituted Course Committee which meets at least twice a year to discuss, inter alia, programme design and planning, the student experience (including feedback) and student progress.

Each course has an Industry Advisory Panel (or similar) which meets at least once a year to engage with external stakeholders on curriculum design and currency of course content.

Student feedback both qualitative and quantitative is collected for each module studied. In addition students are invited to participate in the University's annual New Student Survey and Student Satisfaction Survey along with the annual national Postgraduate Taught Student Experience Survey. The results of all feedback are considered by the Course Committee and additionally, in respect of the University and national surveys, issues of quality are considered by and acted on where appropriate by the Education Committee, Senate, School and University Executives.

9. What opportunities are graduates likely to have on completing the course?

Successful students develop diverse and rewarding careers in engineering management in a wide range of organisations deploying welding technologies. Roles include the management of welding manufacturing operations, and management of design and fabrication of welded structures. The international nature of such activities means that career opportunities are not restricted to the UK. Cranfield graduates develop careers around the world.